IDAPA 44 - OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULES 44.01.02 - RULES GOVERNING RULE WRITING IN IDAHO DOCKET NO. 25/0101.1201

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND EXTENSION OF WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD

TAKEN DECEMBER 4, 2012

REPORTED BY:

MARY (RAINEY) STOCKTON, CSR No. 746

Notary Public

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 2 THE HEARING OF THE IDAHO OUTFITTERS AND 1 GUIDELINES LICENSING BOARD, was taken at The Shilo Inn, 2 780 Lindsay Boulevard, Idaho Falls, Idaho, commencing at 3 7:30 P.M. on December 4, 2012, before M. Rainey Stockton, Certified Shorthand Reporter and 5 Notary Public within and for the State of Idaho, in the 6 above-entitled matter. APPEARANCES: RICHARD L. GARDNER, Ph.D. 9 **BOOTSTRAP SOLUTIONS** 10 752 East Braemere Road 11 Boise, Idaho 83702 12 13 JAKE HOWARD, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 14 IDAHO OUTFITTERS and GUIDES LICENSING BOARD 15 16 1365 North Orchard Boise, Idaho 83706 17 18 WAYNE HUNSAKER, Board Chairman 19 20 21

you have an opinion, if you could stand up and state your name for the record, I would really appreciate it.

MR. GARDNER: Yeah. Whoever wants to begin, and if Rainey can't hear you, she may ask you to come a little closer to the front so she can.

A VOICE: Can I just ask a question for clarification?

MR. GARDNER: You bet.

A VOICE: On the first page there, 3A, Non-outfitted Areas for Waterfowl. And then there's a number of waterways.

Drop down to number 1i or ii. It says the Teton River upstream from Highway 33.

So, is that just limited to the river, the high watermark that they cannot outfit so they have the river and three feet, but everything else, they could, if I understand this correctly.

MR. HUNSAKER: Well, that's to be determined yet. I mean, if the consensus is and we feel that it's appropriate, we can say within two miles of the river or maybe it's a corridor that's five miles from the river.

MR. GARDNER: The way it's written right now meets the public waters.

MR. HUNSAKER: Right. That meets the public waters. We can define those areas that, if it's a

Page 3

(Mr. Wayne Hunsaker welcomed everyone to the

Page 5

hearing and an overview of the issue and a review of proposed rule was presented by Mr. Dick Gardner)

MR. GARDNER: We appreciate everybody coming. I'm very excited because this is by far the biggest turnout. We didn't have too many people turn out in Orofino so it's good to see you all here.

My name is Dick Gardner and I'm the moderator for this negotiated rule-making workshop for the Idaho Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board. They are the governing agency for commercial outdoor recreation activity in Idaho.

We're going to get input and suggestions on how these rules should be tailored or modified and hear your point of view.

In some cases, I may ask if there are other people who have the same point of view. In an effort to save a little time, we can kind of do a polling of the group from time to time. You know how you'll go to formal hearings and you'll hear the same points made over and over and over again, we'll try to sort that out a little bit, if we can.

So. Rainey --

THE COURT REPORTER: If you would, please, if

highly intense hunting area, and you feel or we feel or everybody agrees that maybe there ought to be a mile corridor on either side of the river that should not be outfitted, you know --

And maybe in some places like Ada County, it might be the whole county.

So, that's the kind of information we need from the sportsman groups and the hunters that are actually out in those areas and give us biological and sociological reasons that we can defend in a court and then we will say no or in a limited --

MR. GARDNER: I should say that we're not expecting like you're going to have the data in your hip pocket.

MR, HUNSAKER: But over time -- we've got a year now to put this together. And we will be taking input and written input is welcome over that time to come up and develop that. Fish and Game is helping us to do that development.

MR. GARDNER: Are you done, sir? A VOICE: Yes. MR. GARDNER: Okay. MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: I just want to --MR. GARDNER: Could you please state your name, too?

22

23

74

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 9

Page 6

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Sure. Craig Gaudio. So, anyway, when you're talking about outside of the rivers, you're still talking about private land, correct?

MR. HUNSAKER: Right.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: So, limited outfitted areas would consist of what?

MR. HUNSAKER: It could be a piece of corridor of land that is within a certain mileage of the river.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: But still private?

MR. HUNSAKER: Still private.

But we can limit outfitters, and we do this currently with all of our big game and deer and elk and whatever, we put sight boards and limits on the outfitter to say: Here's what you can do in that area.

And if it's deemed that we will have limited outfitting with no guiding and simply get access; provide the decoys but not set them up; build a blind but not call the ducks in... Those sorts of things are what we're talking about.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: I was just at Beaver Dick State Park. I know there's a private club there near a private landowner. And it's all marked "No Trespassing," the whole thing, but those very same signs they have on their property, they have now started its primary concern. That's why we're doing the rulemaking so we can set limits and restrictions on whatever we get or tell somebody no or whatever.

But the Board also can initiate requests and request applications, say, for if we have an area that we feel like we need to have some outfitting activity, commercial activity going on. And we do that through what we call a prospectus.

And typically what happens if we do that, we do it typically with the federal agencies; the Forest Service and the BLM, and we will follow their lead.

After they go through the NEPA process, we would do that.

And then we would do it -- there would be a coordinated invitation for applications. And typically the limitations and restrictions are already in place.

With turkey and waterfowl, I don't think that that's really going to be appropriate because, number one, we're going to put limits and restrictions in place on the rivers already. And we suspect that as that moves forward, we're probably going to get some people initiating applications and we can follow-up on it.

And then with the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management -- it's probably more so for turkey with the Forest Service and the BLM than maybe

Page 7

putting around all the islands below the high watermark.

And they're all --

A VOICE: That guy's out of California and that is his property.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Can he go on the river?
A VOICE: I understand it's private property.
My dad's house is right next to him.

MR. HOWARD: Where's Beaver Dick State Park? MR. GARDNER: Just outside of Rexburg.

MR. HOWARD: I worked for the State parks for 10 years and that didn't jump out at me. It must be a

10 years and that didn't jump out at me. It must be a new one.

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, don't forget about me.

MR. GARDNER: All right. Okay. Jake, I want to ask you a question, if I may.

MR. HOWARD: Sure.

MR. GARDNER: I saw some quizzical looks when I read the part of the rule that said the Board shall not initiate hunting on public lands or waters.

And I think there was some curiosity about what that means.

MR. HOWARD: What the Board -- you know, the Board has the ability, through the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act to respond on application. And that's

waterfowl.

When they go through the NEPA process, if they determine, as an agency, that there's a need for some sort of commercial activity in the forest, whatever it might be, in this case maybe turkey hunting, they can go through and do the NEPA analysis and then ask us to participate.

If they do that, it would be inappropriate first to put a rule into place that tells the Forest Service that they can't follow their own planning process.

So, that's what it's about in a nutshell. I hope that makes sense, but we would not -- we would not -- if this rule's in place, we would not initiate it. It would happen in some other way.

MR. HUNSAKER: Typically the Forest Service and BLM would come to us and say our planning procedures have determined that we're going to have horseback riding in this area and we want you to put a prospectus together to get an outfitter to provide that service to this part of the forest.

We don't think they're going to do that with turkey and waterfowl, but what we're saying is we will not initiate that ourselves through the Board.

MR. GARDNER: Okay. Who else? Sir?

Page 10

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: Can we start? This is our time.

Well, one of the things that concerns me is where you --

MR. HOWARD: State your name.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: Greg Weatherby.

When you have private inholdings in, say, State parks or other park set-asides, I'd like to find a way that we could really look closely at that and say: No, you're not going to have doggone 10 duck blinds inside this park.

And so I'd like to really have you guys take a really close look at, you know, here's a guy who's going to be guiding and when you have a -- when his land is completely surrounded by --

MR. GARDNER: Is there a particular place you're thinking of where this might apply?

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: One area that I -- we were going to make a park out of it down near Firth and we ended up not doing it as a State.

But there isn't one particular area, but I'd like it to kind of cover the whole State and just be really careful about the way you issue permits there.

MR. GARDNER: So, a concern about inholdings particularly --

Page 12

MR. HOWARD: Yeah. Let me help you understand. We mentioned earlier that this -- we're talking about outfitting and not guiding. Guiding's going to be very limited to youth, the disabled and elderly.

A landowner is basically the business entity.

And so this rule is focused on providing public access.

It's not focused at all on providing guided opportunities.

In other words, there's not going to be somebody in the field with — say, if you're out there with an outfitter and you're being outfitted, there's not going to be somebody in the field with you calling birds, hunting, doing that. You're going to be on your own. It's kind of like a drop camp if you've ever hunted big game.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: I would like to see, though, what are the requirements for this person to be an outfitter?

MR. GARDNER: Okay.

MR. HOWARD: It's currently in our rules. We do have specific things.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: So, they would have to meet all those requirements?

MR. HOWARD: Yeah.

Page 11

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: Yeah.

MR. GARDNER: -- in either State or Federal parks and I suppose county parks.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: Sure. And I'm kind of interested in what kind of training is required for landowners as guides.

MR. GARDNER: Jake, you can answer that one.

MR. HOWARD: They would have to go do -- we require a first aid training.

And I guess we haven't really sorted anything out about hunting experience and all that with --

Generally, with hunting what we do is require that -- I'm talking about landowners -- we require that a guide or somebody providing service in the field is familiar with the area that they're hunting in.

Typically what we've done in the past is with big game hunting and most of the areas are quite remote. So, that would be the other consideration.

We require that they be familiar with the equipment they use and things like that, but it's fairly general.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: I know that becoming a guide is a difficult task. And so I would -- I just don't want to see you handing out licenses to Joe-farmer out there: Hey, you're a guide now.

Page 13

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: The last thing I have is just a concern. We hunt turkey around here. Some really nice areas. And we have some landowners that are very gracious to us, but we take care of things.

And I can just see them slamming the door to us as the public because they want to hold that land for their clients.

And, you know, when I follow the money, who's making money on this? When there's money at stake, it means that things are going to get shut down.

I have a lot of friends that live in the east and this is exactly what happened.

So, I do have a concern. I'd almost like to see the access where, look, you're going to hunt this land, you're going to guide on it, you have to open it to the public. That would be a tough sell. Thank you.

MR. GARDNER: Thank you. Yes.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: My name's Travis Mitchell. I want to just follow-up with what he was saying.

You explained that you're trying to go about this as an opportunity to open up land to the public, but I think you need to focus on what he's saying.

My view and my opinion is that that's going to be exactly what doesn't happen in a lot of areas. There

Page 17

Page 14

might be some land out there that's already under a lease or this, that and the other, and they allow limited access.

But the dollar will win every time. There's very few people that will say: You know what? I'm setting the money aside because I believe that I'm going to pay it forward because this guy let me hunt on this land when I was a kid and I want to pay it forward. I just don't see it happening.

So, that's a really big concern to me because this little group of guys right here that I'm sitting by, we have a lot of contacts and we've done a lot of things for the landowners to maintain a good rapport with them so that we can hunt their land every year. There's a lot of respect that goes into that and appreciation.

And I hate to see that be at risk of being lost because an outfitter came in and the next thing you know we got Idaho on the outdoor channel with such-and-such -- Idaho outfitters duck and goose hunting. And now we're not duck and goose hunting anymore. We're watching it on TV. I don't want to do that. That's not what it's about for me.

The other thing that I wanted to ask you was some clarification on that 3 percent. I can't remember

MR. HUNSAKER: Right. 3 percent spread out across the entire State.

MR. HOWARD: Excuse me. I think actually it's in -- didn't we do it by Fish and Game region, Dick?

MR. GARDNER: It's by region. If you want to come up here, I can show you.

MR. HOWARD: I think it's by region.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: There's two zones now.

MR. HUNSAKER: It will be whatever the --

Your point's well taken. I think that we need to make --

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: If there's a 107 day season every year, I know it's coming. It sounds like it's 3 percent of that. That's 3.1 days; but, really, that's not the case.

MR. GARDNER: What region are we in here? MR. HOWARD: Region 6.

MR. GARDNER: So, 3 percent of goose hunting days would be 391 hunter days of duck hunting days 687.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: So, that means an outfitter can have -- if that's 391 hunter days --

MR. GARDNER: For all the outfitters.

MR. HOWARD: That would be all the outfitters combined.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: Let's say there's one

Page 15

exactly what it said, but there was only going to be allowed 3 percent of -- 3 percent of the hunter days were going to be allowed to outfitting. Is that correct?

MR. HUNSAKER: Something like that.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: Let me clarify what my understanding of a hunter day is. If I go hunting 20 times in the year, that's 20 hunter days.

MR. HOWARD: Right.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: If he's with me every time, that's 40 hunter days.

MR. HUNSAKER: Right.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: Correct?

MR. HUNSAKER: Correct.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: So, let's say that we have 10,000 people that go waterfowl hunting one day, that's 10,000 hunter days.

3 percent of that is 300 days.

Does that mean that every outfitters --

MR. HUNSAKER: Dual seasons.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: That's two seasons.

22 MR. HUNSAKER: Yes.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: Because the season's 107

days. So, does that mean it's all-inclusive across the

25 State?

outfitter, he can take three guys every day of the season.

MR. HOWARD: If he gets all those user days.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: So, 3 percent sounds
nice, but I don't think it really is. I don't think
it's very limiting. Let me say it that way. It sounds
like, hey, these guys can only hunt once a week, but I

don't think that's the case.

MR. HOWARD: We'll take a look at that. That's a good point.

MR. GARDNER: And I should report, we'll probably be modifying this rule because the data on turkeys, we don't have turkey hunter days. We just have the total number of licenses sold. So, for instance, the sold number of turkey hunters, 3 percent is only seven in Region 6.

MR. TATE BROOKS: They don't sell too many tags in this region.

MR. GARDNER: Yeah, they don't sell too many tags in this region.

Yes, sir.

MR. RON FARRIS: Yeah. Ron Farris.

One of my concerns is the idea -- in our particular region, we have a lot of Utah hunters and the moment you open this hunting up to outfitters --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 18

And I've seen this happen in the wilderness. I've spent time on horses and mules in the back country of my own.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These guys will come up; utilize the outfitter; learn the area; buy an Idaho tag, which is cheap; and they'll be here in our back pocket. The impact of that is huge. Pretty soon they know all the hot spots, the sweet spots.

And then the Utahans, they're already up here ruining it in this area. And we're going to add to that. This is an additive problem.

As a hunter and sportsman and a member of Ducks Unlimited, there's no return on investment for us as sportsmen. There's not one positive aspect of them guiding and outfitting in Idaho. None. Zero. You can't sell me on that. You can't tell me the quality, quantity or the access is going to increase for me as a hunter.

And my concern, as a Ducks Unlimited member, and as a State representative for Ducks Unlimited, is this -- and I'm not here to represent DU because I can't do that officially, but I will tell you two of the biggest problems we've got in hunting is recruitment and retention.

And the moment we affect access to our hunters

damn outfitters think they own the place.

I about got in a fight the last time I went 2 into the wilderness because the guy was an asshole. I've been hunting there 10 years and he's saying I'm trespassing on his hunting stuff.

If that ain't going to happen in waterfowl, I call BS. That's going to happen.

And the conflict between us and those outfitters is going to get bad because these people really do believe they own it. And I'm not here to promote this. I think this is the worst idea ever.

The Moratorium is the best thing we can continue with. We've got to find a way to stop it and not add to it.

MR. GARDNER: I've seen some nodding heads. Let me just ask: I think, correct me if I'm wrong, what your concern is is that outfitters represent increased competition that will crowd out existing non-outfitted hunters.

MR. RON FARRIS: And the retention and --MR. GARDNER: How many people agree with that point of view? And we'll just do a count here.

Let the record note there's 15 hands agreeing with that --

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: Out of what percent?

Page 19

and we raise the cost -- I lived back east when I was in the Navy -- totally destroyed hunting. I couldn't hunt ever, not one day because there was no place to hunt because hunting clubs and the outfitters had taken up the entire damn State. And it became a rich man's sport.

Now, I'm a common man. I'd like my sons to be able to hunt. My son's a guide. He's a licensed Idaho guide.

But we're going to destroy this for our children. And if we continue down this path, I don't believe that hunters will have the voice that we currently have. It's diminishing so rapidly now. This is just one more stake in that coffin. And if we don't do something about this and stop this madness -- and this, to me, is just one incremental step towards the loss of hunting in Idaho -- it will become a rich man's sport.

And we've got to consider all the stakeholders. If our kids don't grow up to be hunters and they play those stupid video games, we're going to lose this. And this is going to add to it, gentlemen. We've got to think about the future of hunting in Idaho.

And an outfitting guide, I'm not against them, but, damn it, I've been in the back country and those Page 21

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: And just think -- I lost my train of thought. Go ahead.

MR. GARDNER: How about this gentleman in the Boise State --

MR. JASON STEWART: Jason Stewart. I'd like to agree with the man in the black coat. I've been hunting waterfowl since I was 15. So, that's 25 years.

And, just recently, I've seen more landowners going to trespass fees in the past four or five years.

Fields that I've hunted since I was 15 and had a good rapport with the landowners and that land's changed holdings, either to sons or different owners, and that ground's not accessible.

One field in particular is being leased to a Utah guide that came up. The spot was shared to him by somebody local and now that field is leased by more money than I could afford to take my boys on. And I can't hunt it.

On your little e-mail, it said the Moratorium was put in place for the concern over the potential of loss of public access to private land. Okay?

If that Moratorium isn't kept in place, the public is going to have even less access to that public and private land.

Now, you said you're going to limit the

Page 22

private landowners alongside the rivers where there's harder pressure, correct? You're going to limit that.

How are you going to prevent that landowner from suing you and not allowing hunting on that ground? How are -- because this is over a lawsuit.

MR. HOWARD: That's why we're putting the rule in place.

MR. JASON STEWART: This deals over money and the lawsuit, correct?

MR. HOWARD: Yeah.

MR. JASON STEWART: Those two things. Why don't you just keep the Moratorium in place? If you can have a rule alongside the river, just have a rule over the whole State.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: I think the concern is the Moratorium is not legally defensible.

MR. HOWARD: Exactly.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Are you guys trying to figure out how to make it legally defensible?

MR. GARDNER: Right.

MR. HOWARD: Yes.

MR. GARDNER: That's the purpose of what this whole thing is about.

MR. HOWARD: We're trying to figure out how to put restrictions in place.

collectively as Idahoans, don't want this to happen.

Now, private landowners, we have the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act in dealing with regulating outfitting and it's kind of like Planning and Zoning.

If somebody submits an application, and particularly if they're a private landowner, and we deny it, we better have a pretty good damn reason why we're denying it. And there may be some. I think there are.

But that's what we're looking for. We're looking for the sportsmen and the Fish and Game Department to help us provide some rationale where it's appropriate and where it's not. Where it needs to be "no way in hell," where it's "it can be limited" and where "it can be opened up." Okay?

MR. GARDNER: Let's hear from the gentleman back in the corner and then the guy in the green vest.

MR. RICK MARTIN: I'm Rick Martin. I'm from Shelley. And I was one of the board of directors of the Idaho Waterfowl Association for a year and a very active member of it.

I sat in, by teleconference, on several of the waterfowl/turkey advisory group meetings. And I read in-depth all of the minutes and comments and stuff from the waterfowl/turkey advisory group meetings.

You guys are shorthanded because you don't

Page 23

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: You want to scratch the Moratorium and you want to figure out how to make it --

MR. HOWARD: We need to put rules in place that are appropriate. There may be places in the State that it would be appropriate to allow some activity.

There's a whole bunch of places -- you know, I'm from Aberdeen. I know a lot about hunting over here. So, there are places in Idaho -- and I also live down in Boise and I'm familiar with the Snake River south of Boise along Canyon County.

And there are places down there that there should not be any commercial activity at all, in my mind.

What we're looking for is how to substantiate that in such a way from, either a biological issue -- which seems to be somewhat fleeting. We've been dealing with Fish and Game -- or some resource management issues recognizing crowding.

Wayne and I were talking driving in this morning about, just between Blackfoot and Idaho Falls, the amount of residential development that's occurred along the river.

Those are all justifiable reasons. What we need to do is wrap our arms around it and put it into a rule so it can be legally enforced where it is that we,

Page 25

have all the information at hand that I've seen and I
 have to take exception to some of your opening comments.

MR. GARDNER: Okay.

MR. RICK MARTIN: Because, number one, the private land survey was not initiated by the advisory group of waterfowl/turkey.

If you go back to the Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board minutes, that came out of the Board; not from the advisory group.

The advisory group suggested that a survey be done of all sportsmen in Idaho. That was never done. It was only done by selective individuals out of the Farm Bureau database. And it was a skewed survey.

And I know you helped put it together and you took offense to that. I saw your e-mails. That survey did not target waterfowl and turkey. And you shake your head no, Mr. Howard, but it was skewed. It did not target. It was open. It was open-ended.

And very little of waterfowl hunting was mentioned in there or turkey.

There was a lot of stuff that came up on big game hunting and where the property was at was within a certain distance of river, agricultural.

Agricultural land is dependent each year on what's produced by the private landowner.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

Game.

Page 26

MR. GARDNER: I'm just putting up a map. This is where we were surveying.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RICK MARTIN: One year it may be in corn. The next year it may be in hay. So, that private land that they're showing is skewed based on the Farm Bureau data

I had to get the information through a public foyer request from Mr. Howard and the Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board and Fish and Game to see what the raw data showed.

The raw data did not show exactly what was in the report, plain and simple. Okay?

You guys are right on. The Moratorium was fought tooth and nail by a sportsmen's group over the year-and-a-half to two years that they met to keep that Moratorium in place using the information you guys gave.

But also if you go to the Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board's regulations to allow an activity such as this commercial activity, it's supposed to be based on a needs analysis.

Nowhere, to date -- and it's been asked for over and over again -- has that needs analysis been shown to the public where there have been people asking for waterfowl or turkey licenses to the Board.

We've asked and asked for that information.

They have violated their own rules because right now there's only four licensed outfitters and guides in Idaho and they're all in East Idaho. Not a one of them, as of the end of 2011, through the Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board, provided any data or harvest information to them and for the Fish and

How can we trust the Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board to enforce any further outfitters in guiding if they can't enforce only four currently in the State?

Besides their enforcement, I know of at least three individuals guiding in Bingham County right now.

A VOICE: Unlicensed.

MR. RICK MARTIN: Unlicensed. At least three.

And I turned one in a number of years ago and nothing's ever been done about it.

As far as biological and social issues, that advisory group, the sportsmen's groups and the other private landowners provided numerous amounts of biological and social information to the Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board.

But because the sportsmen's groups took a hard stance in the end and said no to the guiding issue, that the Moratorium should stay in place, all that input and

Page 27

rage

It's not been provided and nowhere has that information been given by anybody from the Board.

So, there's one aspect you guys need to be aware of.

Number two, I know that the Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board's legal attorney said it was not legally defensible.

But there were attorneys that came out on the other side that said, yes, it was because we're not following your current policies for a needs analysis.

Would you like to speak up so we can hear what you said, Mr. Howard?

MR. HOWARD: I didn't say anything. MR. RICK MARTIN: Yeah, you did.

MR. HOWARD: Why don't you just stick to your point, buddy.

MR. RICK MARTIN: I am.

MR. HOWARD: Good.

MR. RICK MARTIN: Anyhow, you can go online and find their information in their own manuals.

They also require, with the MOU and with the Fish and Game, to report annually the harvest and user days that they have for waterfowl and turkey. That's never been recorded. We've asked and asked for that information. We asked this Advisory Board for that.

data that was collected has been ignored. There have been volumes of input on this issue. And, to date, it's been ignored.

ISCAP, that represents numerous sportsmen's groups in this State, over 22,000 members strong, has come out with a letter strongly opposing this proposal and they've ignored that input. To date, they have ignored every bit of sportsmen's input that has been done. We can sit here and say no, no, no and give the best information we can and they still, as an independent agency in the State of Idaho, can do as they want. They do not have to justify what they're doing. All they have to do is get it through the Legislature.

And Fish and Game, yes, they're required to get input. But Fish and Game, you would think since they control wildlife in the State, would be a co-signer on this.

Outfitters and Guides Board does not have to go with what Fish and Game recommends on wildlife. They only have to take their input. They don't have to agree it. They can do their own thing.

I'd still like to know how many requests the Outfitters and Guides Board has had from the public to be a guide or an outfitter in this State because they say that they've had an increase in number of requests

Page 30

to do it. How many? Who? Where? That information has been requested for a minimum of four years, that I know of, and the Turkey Association in Idaho asked for it before that,

So, you guys are being shorthanded with a lot of information that you haven't seen. There's been a lot of work done by numerous groups and individuals and, I'll concede, a lot of private landowners.

But there's a lot of information that's going under the table that could have solved this a long time ago, but because the Outfitters and Guides Licensing Board was -- and I can go to some minutes and quote Mr. Howard -- that there will be guiding in Idaho, but they're going to determine where and when.

Now, with an attitude like that from a head of a State agency that we, the public, should have some say into, it means, to me, that they're not listening to us, the public.

And I can pull up the minutes where that's quoted. It was in the Fish and Game Commission meeting back in 2009, I think it was. So, his mind was made up a long time ago. Now they're just going to tell us how they're going to do it without listening to what we're going to say.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: You seem to be pretty

it's totally against the sportsmen.

I spent 15 years hunting on some private property and I got to know the landowner very well. And we're talking -- and it was multiple landowners. We're talking 100,000 acres worth of big game hunting.

All the sudden, out of the blue, somebody comes in and flashes their checkbook and says I want to pay you a "No Trespassing" fee. No trespass the whole thing. Don't let anybody in here but me and my clients. And he wrote them a check. I was out. Just that quick.

Every year I was able. Because I respected that property, I knew the landowners, you know, I was respectful with them, I treated their property correctly. And if people were in there cutting fences and doing things they shouldn't have been doing, we would run them out. We were trying to respect the landowner.

I spent the last -- I don't know -- 15 years managing farms, managing property, managing people that can come on and off the property. That's a different subject. I have so many things going through my head.

It's really frustrating. We shot elk and deer every year and I'd go shoot an elk and a deer and put it in my freezer. It's fun for the sportsman. But my family eats that meat. And I know lots of people that

Page 31

Page 33

```
studied on this. Who benefits?
```

MR. RICK MARTIN: Who benefits?

A VOICE: The Board.

MR. RICK MARTIN: The Board.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: Why?

MR. RICK MARTIN: And also those that end up getting a license because of financial, economics, like he said, being able to get the money.

A VOICE: It's the money.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: Who are the four license holders?

MR. GARDNER: He's not going to answer questions.

Are you done with your --

MR. RICK MARTIN: I'll tell you who the four outfitters are in the State of Idaho. Teton Valley Lodge, Blackhawk Outfitters, Henry's Fork Outfitters. And I forgot the fourth one -- Three Rivers Ranches.

MR. GARDNER: Okay. Thank you for your input.

The gentleman in the green vest.

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: Spencer Pancheri.

There's a lot of different topics on this that need to have key points brought up, but I think one of the

largest is this is a revenue game. That's all it is is

a revenue game for whoever benefits, the outfitters; but

that public game -- let me -- "public" game; not "money making" game -- "public" game, they put that in their freezer and they eat it. That's part of what sustains them through the wintertime.

As soon as this trespassing fee thing went through and the guy flashed a little bit of money, I've shot one elk in five years. One cow elk in five years. And we were successful every year prior to that. Okay?

I spent enough money this year driving around looking for elk, now that I don't have that property to hunt on, I could have bought a half a beef easy. I should have just went and bought a half a beef and been done with it and put it in any freezer. Okay?

A VOICE: And you feel that it's going to be the same way in waterfowl?

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: No matter what you do, it's going to be the same way.

There's a canyon that we went up that's public property that all the sudden there's "No Trespassing" signs all over the place. It's public land. You can't no trespass public land.

The outfitter was bluffing his way into keeping people out. That's all it was. He had no legal right. And when he got called on it, he got it pulled down, except the next year they were back there again

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 34

until they got caught again and then he pulled them down. He's bluffing his way in keeping people out of his money-maker, is what he's doing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 i

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I mean, you take all these things -- and I don't know how many instances I can go through, whether you're talking the South Fork and commercial fishermen on the South Fork or guided big game hunts, it doesn't matter, every time I've had a run-in with an outfitter, it's been negative. It has not been positive.

When you turn it into a revenue game, when you turn it into a money game, all you're doing is pricing the general public right out of it.

And you're going to do the same thing to the waterfowl as we have done to fish, to every other thing by doing this.

I mean, there's a reason that they haven't allowed this to begin with, that they haven't done this.

From a land manager or landowner aspect --

We had a guy that come in that we knew from Utah that -- he was a friend and we let him come in and hunt some ducks on a piece of property. He went back to Utah with video. We didn't know he was taking video. He went back to Utah with video and all his buddies saw this video and how great the hunting was in Idaho.

I ran five Utah rigs out of that field in four

Page 36

Page 37

MR. HOWARD: Right.

MR. GARDNER: Jake, do you want to answer

that?

MR. HOWARD: That's right. It would be -there would be specific areas identified.

MR. TATE BROOKS: So, you could have one? You 'could have four?

MR. HOWARD: We probably would allow one. We pretty much keep outfitters pretty much in unique operating areas.

The four outfitters that you're -- let me answer your earlier question.

The four outfitters that are currently licensed, actually, two of them have fairly substantial areas. The other two are fairly limited.

But in order to reduce their areas, again, it's like going to Planning and Zoning. We have to go through a hearing process to make a determination that their area needs to be different than what it is now. And we have to justify why. And there could be a number of different reasons.

But once we make the decision and the decision is perceived as being adverse by the outfitter, in this particular instance, they have the right to appeal it to District Court.

Page 35

weeks that did not have permission, didn't ask anybody, didn't know where they were at, didn't know anything because one Utah guy took video and took that and showed them. And they've ruined their hunting down there, so now they have to come up here.

I think I've hit all of my points so far.

MR. TATE BROOKS: Tate Brooks. Two of these licensed outfitters that were grandfathered in, I'm very familiar with.

The areas, the territories that they're restricted to hunt are actually quite large.

MR. GARDNER: Waterfowl.

MR. TATE BROOKS: Yeah, for waterfowl.

The areas -- basically if you were to allow it, would those areas stay the same size as those other two areas are now? One can guide Palisades and the South Fork. One can guide in Henry's and Ashton and down the North Fork a little ways. Those are pretty big areas.

Basically my question would be another similar size of area would be from, say, Lorenzo to Shelley, would you have one outfitter in there or one or two? Is there --

MR. GARDNER: I think it would be connected to specific parcels of land, right?

And we have to be able to -- again, we have to justify why that is.

And, so, again, we're looking for biological reasons or clearly substantiated sociological reasons why we would do something like that.

MR. GARDNER: So, Jake, I'm hearing you say it's easier to be restrictive going forward than it is going backwards.

MR. HOWARD: Yes. Bingo. Bingo.

MR, TATE BROOKS: You referred to, like, the Planning and Zoning. Say you had a license to a certain area, could you include a private piece of ground outside of that area as part of your --

MR. HOWARD: Well, outfitters are licensed. And you can go on to our web site. We have the outfitters operating areas.

And typically what happens is outfitters are licensed on public land exclusive to that public land. And they're required to have a specific authorization for private land.

So, there's a couple instances I can think of where we have one outfitter that's licensed on a big chunk of Forest Service land and in the middle of it, there's another outfitter that has a private ranch that he operates on. So, we have that kind of stuff.

Page 41

Page 38

But the outfitting, there has to be a land manager's authorization for every land that they operate on. Okay?

Now, we probably have some slipping through the cracks. We have, I think, around 3,000 operating areas and there may be some out there where we don't know about it. It's entirely possible.

If we have somebody -- this fellow over here's talking about somebody who is operating in a private area, private lands, if he's not licensed in there, he's technically illegal.

And you can do one of two things. Illegal, unlicensed outfitting in Idaho is a criminal activity. It can be reported to Idaho Fish and Game Department. Law enforcement. It can be reported to a local Sheriff and they can investigate it. Or it can be reported to the Board and we can initiate that. Proving it is the difficult part. Okay?

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: You mentioned --MR. GARDNER: Let's get the gentleman in the red here.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: I have a couple of questions and then I have a couple of points to make and I'll try and be as quick as I can.

First of all, I might offend some people in

how we approach these people.

So far what I've heard, I'm honestly going to say, you know, you guys are trying to be as unbiased as possible. There's probably a lot of people in here that don't believe that.

MR. HOWARD: Yeah.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: And I hope I'm right. I'm going out on a limb saying that and I do hope I'm right. I hope you guys are being unbiased. That's why I say we need to be careful how we do it. That's one point I do want to make.

I'm the type of guy that — waterfowl around here is competitive and I'm probably one of the most competitive. I'm the type of guy that if I pull into a field and somebody else has got permission there and they were there before me, I'm going to back down and leave. I'm going to say, hey, guys, sorry, you beat me here. Fair game.

I've seen fights over this stuff, like, literally fights. I mean --

I guess my opinion on the whole deal is I have to agree with what's been said so far.

First of all, the only thing I'm going to say about Utah is this: I can't blame those guys for wanting to come up here and hunt, some of them anyway,

Page 39

this room by saying this, but I'm going to anyway. It seems to be a habit of mine lately.

The one thing that I do want to ask is: In 1989 when this was put in place, if I understand this correctly, you know, it was off of Fish and Game data and the advice of a few groups, per se or whatever, and you guys took everybody's knowledge and put this in place the way it is now, correct?

MR. HOWARD: Right. Uh-huh.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: First of all, I appreciate that. Sometimes I kind of feel like we go about this wrong. And this is where I'm going to try not to offend people because I know that people are passionate about it and I'm extremely passionate about it and it's sometimes hard not to get carried away.

First of all, I really do appreciate what's been done here as it is now because that's what's kept us doing what we're doing and doing what we love. So, for that, I guess, thank you. I want to make that point to the people sitting in this room.

I agree with, basically, everything you guys are saying.

But, on the other hand, the rules that are in place now are because of this Board. That's where they came from. We've got to be careful how we go about it,

as long as they go about it right, because they don't have a place to hunt.

But through my friends and family that I have in Utah, the reason they don't have a place to hunt, in my opinion, is because of things like the clubs and so on and so forth.

I was an insurance salesman for my previous job. I ended up going down by Tremonton and tried to sell some farm insurance.

While my boss and I were down there, we ended up asking some guys -- you know, these landowners if we could hunt there, because there was a lot of waterfowl in the area.

I cannot tell you how much ground we covered and how many landowners we asked. All that ground was sucked up by hunting clubs with pretty extreme club fees.

So, I do agree with the fact that it becomes a money game. I'd like to see everybody get along. I really would. I can't blame an outfitter or a guide for wanting to make a living, especially with the way things are today. I, myself, is in between jobs right now. I know what it's like. You know, I can't blame those guys for that.

What I don't want to see is I don't want see

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 42

this be the first step in what I call the wildfire. 1 That's what my friends in Utah call it. Once it 2 started, once outfitters and guides or clubs gained a 3 little bit of that control, from then on they pushed and 4 pushed and pushed and it never stopped until pretty 5 quick, like a bunch of these people in here have said, 6 the average Joe was out. It becomes a money game. And 7 I do agree with the fact that, in the end, the dollar 8 wins. I am pretty stern on that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Like my brother and a few other people in here, I've had instances myself where I've hunted property a long time and somebody offered a trespass fee, you know, the friendship you thought you had long-standing, 15 years -- I'm 28 years old. I've been hunting this place ever since I was 12, ever since I was old enough to hunt waterfowl. The friendship and the connection you thought you had was gone because of the dollar. And that's fact. There's no way to stop that. The dollar will win. I mean, it's no different than government or anything else.

But the last point I guess I want to make is just to the guys in this room. And I'm really not trying to be a jerk. I just want to say I don't want to this deal turn into the presidential election where it's just who can knock who and who's going to come out on I really just want to take a much quicker

approach and kind of voice concerns, especially for turkey hunting.

A lot of what we're talking about has to do with waterfowl and that's obviously significant.

But I think if you guys want to see this impact in a negative way, these rules that you fellows are talking about, I think it will hurt turkey hunting even more than it will hurt waterfowl hunting.

When I've hunted in Northern Idaho -- because there's not a lot here. There's some turkeys in the Preston area, you know, and there's some up our way towards Rexburg. There are few turkeys. They're permit birds and that's fine because we just don't have a lot.

But, boy, you go anywhere up in Northern Idaho, Orofino, on and on and on, the public land is tough to get on to. There's not a lot of access and the outfitting is going to, I think, squish that even more.

And I've also hunted in South Dakota in the Black Hills area where there is a lot of public land. And that's a fun, neat place to go and --

But I've also hunted in the very eastern part of Wyoming that kind of connects to the Black Hills there. And, boy, if you want to see a place that's been swallowed up by outfitters, go there.

Page 43

top, who can dig up more crap on the other side.

I really would like to see everybody get along. Express your honest opinion and that's fine. Let's just -- let's be careful how we do it.

And, as I previously stated, I hunt a lot. I mean, I've done a lot of waterfowl hunting, especially in the last five years; both on public waters, on private land that I've gotten permission on.

And it's the same thing -- as I said, I know quite a few of these gentlemen sitting next to me. It's the same for all of us. And I do see this whole situation, I guess, as a risk of that severely diminishing the future.

And, so, I guess to end it, that is my honest concern. I think there is solutions, but I guess as far as I stand on it right now, it's -- I would like to see if -- if it's about the being -- I forget how you guys worded it -- you know, defendable in court, I would like to see that happen, but I would also like to see most of the stuff that's in place now stay as it is.

MR. GARDNER: Thank you. I appreciate your effort.

Go ahead.

MR. REED HENDRICKS: My name's Reed Hendricks. I'm from the Rexburg area.

You see sign after sign after sign "No Hunting" and you kind of go check with the landowners. and it's always -- there's always leases. It's just

gone to the public person.

And you go to Northern Idaho where the primary part of our turkey hunting is available, and, man, if we turn this over to outfitters, boy, I'm telling you, we're going to see much less places to hunt.

MR. GARDNER: You saw the list of water stretches on the waterfowl side. We haven't identified any areas where to either exclude outfitting or only allow limited outfitting on the turkey side.

Can you see a way a rule or -- are there some particular areas that ought to be --

MR. REED HENDRICKS: I can't give you a very -- I can't give an opinion based on biology, but I can say to you that I can't think of single place that I've hunted turkeys in the State of Idaho that I recommend be open for outfitters. I, honestly, can't see anyplace.

The hunting pressure is intense. And, you know, you can get one or two turkeys. You can't get it.

I don't know what the limit is on ducks for each time you go out.

You can get one or two turkeys and the hunting pressure is intense and thick and hard. You see guys

Page 49

Page 46

all over the place and you work your tush off to get the connections with farmers and ranchers and landowners.

But, anyway, I see your point and you're looking for some biological evidence, if you can. I wish I could be more accurate.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: I'd like to add to that real quick just because I don't want to forget the turkey thing either. I'm like you. I can't support it with fact.

But one thing I was going to say as far as outfitting on turkeys is, as far as this valley is concerned in Southeast Idaho --

I mean, I've spent my whole entire life every minute that I possibly can outdoors. I mean, whether it's fishing, hunting, you know, I'm constantly on the South Fork, constantly on the North Fork.

One thing I will say about the turkey thing is, in my own honest opinion -- I cover a lot of ground, public and private every year, and I don't see enough turkeys around here to justify -- you know, like I said, technically can I support that? No. It is an opinion.

When I'm fishing on the South Fork and I see a turkey fly across the river, I think it's awesome. I mean, and I personally -- I've wanted to shoot one. I've personally not hunted turkey because I don't think

Forest Service to a determination whether --

MR. TATE BROOKS: But if they did jump through the hoops?

MR. HOWARD: Let me finish.

It's very public. When they go through the process, it's a very public process. And there's a number of different considerations that are taken into play.

And, as a matter of fact, we have a memorandum of understanding with the Forest Service that, not only requires that they go through NEPA, that they also contact the Idaho Department of Fish and Game to determine specifically if there's any wildlife resource issues.

And, so, one of the things that is an example, we have been concerned about allowing outfitters to accommodate trapping wolves in Northern Idaho. There's an article in the Lewiston paper that has, basically, told -- it was about two weeks ago -- but it talks about the Forest Service going through the NEPA process; and the NEPA process, because they don't have enough time or staff to follow it, they, basically, have just shut the whole thing down. Okay?

That whole concept is a very, very comprehensive analysis or review before there would ever

Page 47

there's enough of them around. I'm the type of guy who would actually sacrifice — I would actually rather not hunt turkeys right now to see numbers grow.

MR. GARDNER: So, your opinion of the biology is that there's not enough of the resource.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: Correct. And as far as this meeting is concerned, I guess I kind of agree with this gentleman over here that, as far as outfitting or guiding, whatever -- however you want to look at it, the turkey population, to me, is not -- it's just not there. I mean, I just don't see it as being a good option for anybody at this point.

MR. TATE BROOKS: Will turkey hunting be allowed on public ground in Northern Idaho?

MR. HOWARD: No.

MR. HUNSAKER: Not at this time. Unless the Forest Service comes to us with a specific proposal and request that we put an outfitter on public land, there will not be an outfitter.

MR. TATE BROOKS: But if somebody comes in and applies and does all the steps that are needed, they would be allowed to hunt or guide on public ground?

MR. HOWARD: Well, you've got to realize NEPA, the National Environmental Policy Act, is a very comprehensive process that needs to be employed by the

be a decision made to do what you're asking about.

So, we can sit here and tell you what the rule says or what the draft rule says and what's likely to happen. What actually happens with the Forest Service five years from now, who knows?

MR. GARDNER: This gentleman back here has been very patient.

MR. KENT CLARK: Kent Clark. I'm from Menan. I've hunted waterfowl for 45 years. And something happened here a few years ago, and I don't know if it was global warming or what, but our flyaway here is -- I would guess is probably 30 to 35 percent of what it was. The birds aren't coming through.

I used to take the week of Thanksgiving off every year and hunt nothing but geese all week. There were geese everywhere. That's not the case anymore.

The ducks, the same way, right now in my country there's hardly any ducks at all.

And I don't think there's any reason to outfit and guide this if there isn't any product to offer to start with.

On turkeys, I've hunted a lot of turkeys here. I've been fortunate enough and brought them in. As a matter of fact, I was kind of instrumental in getting some of the turkeys here.

Page 50

MR. REED HENDRICKS: I want to touch you for a minute. Hold on. Rub some of that off.

MR. KENT CLARK: You know, the turkey thing has gotten to where they've almost become pets to some of the people who have them on their places. I've asked them and they say: You can't shoot my turkeys. They're mine. They've actually told me that.

And I says, wait a minute, as sportsmen, we brought those turkeys down from North Idaho.

But where this is strictly a controlled hunt, there's no room, no room for outfitters in Idaho. With the birds we have, we're limited in our opportunities as it is. So, to bring outfitting and guiding for turkeys here is just -- to me, is just totally out of the question.

MR. RON FARRIS: I would like to see you pull that particular prospectus.

I've hunted east, west and north for turkey and drawn this tag many times.

MR. GARDNER: Are you talking about turkeys in this region?

MR. RON FARRIS: Well, his point was --

And specifically the areas where you have controlled hunts for turkey, it's totally inappropriate to provide guides and even limit us more because it's a 1 for quite a while.

MR. JOSH ORR: My name is Josh Orr, O-R-R.

I just have to point out I like what Ron had to say. That was great. Because, first of all, I've only been waterfowl hunting -- you know, I did when I was really young, 12-years-old started and then stopped.

And I got back into it in the last four years and it has been, by far, the funnest thing I've ever done.

And when I do something, I research, I study, I learn how to do it. And not only that, I've learned to do what I call foot work. I find places, fields where there are birds flying and I call friends and have friends come hunt.

The places I find are from private landowners. So, for me, where this is so new to me and I enjoy this sport so much, I just think it would be devastating to where we're going to get overrun.

Travis Mitchell right here brought up the 3 percent and the hunting and hunter days, when you really look at the numbers that don't get shown here, it's ridiculous.

And then when we look at the other things that have been pointed out. Rick Martin had a lot of things to say, but other things, too.

Page 51

already very limited.

MR. GARDNER: Let's turn this into a question then.

How many agree that in areas where there are controlled hunts for turkeys, turkey outfitting should not be allowed? Let's see a show of hands. 14.

MR. TATE BROOKS: Let me ask this question. How many people have applied for turkeys and how many people have actually drawn on turkeys? I've applied. How many people have applied?

A VOICE: I've applied one time.

MR. GARDNER: 12.

MR. TATE BROOKS: How many people have drawn?

MR. GARDNER: Half the people have drawn that have applied.

MR. HUNSAKER: What I would say is we'd take note of that.

We're still waiting for Fish and Game to come back with their recommendations. You'll notice on the rulemaking, all those areas for turkey is left blank and we're waiting for that data to put it in there.

MR. KENT CLARK: I'd like to say one more thing.

MR. GARDNER: First, could we get the guy in the red cap? I know he's been wanting to say something

Page 53

I mean, it's just going back to Ron. It's the future hunters. I'm probably, by far, the youngest person in this room. I am a future hunter. I would like my kids in the near future to do what I do.

But if this gets overrun by these guides and these outfitters, we don't have an option.

Gentlemen, can I ask you: Do you hunt with outfitters and guides?

MR. HUNSAKER: Yes.

MR. JOSH ORR: That's just for the record. I just wanted to ask.

So, I mean, putting foot work in, spending your own fuel money to scout birds, scout turkeys, it adds up. It's just something that seems like all this hard work, you want it to pay off. And when it does, it's fun. You really don't want some asshole outfitter to come who has the money to just take away your fun and have it with others. That's what I have to say, where I'm new to this.

MR. GARDNER: Before people speak a second time, let me just ask: Is there anybody who hasn't spoken yet? I'm looking at you, ma'am. Do you guys want to say anything?

MR. BILL FUCHS: My name's Bill Fuchs. I was at one of the original Fish and Game meetings over in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

Page 54

Boise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I asked them this question of why there's a Moratorium that's been going on for 20-plus years at that point?

I'm a fifth generation Idahoan. I've lived here my whole life, but I have some property here.

And my question that I posed to the commissioners that day was: How come I can't have friends come from other places or if it's -- you know, and it's kind of tough times out there these days with the economy and everything else. Why can't I let people shoot waterfowl on my own property? And I just asked them those questions.

And they had no answers. They said we don't know why we've had this Moratorium. We'll get back to you.

Well, I've been patient all these years. And when they had this meeting, I was encouraged to come and hear and participate.

So, I'm kind of -- and I'm a former chairman of the Rexburg Ducks Unlimited, a long time hunter. I appreciate everything you guys feel.

The only difference is -- and I don't know your circumstances, but we do have some property here that has water on it. And I've gone in and taken my

resource that we, as the public, want to access.

I heard about going up and dealing with the outfitters up in the wilderness or wherever it is, yeah, but they're paying a fee there.

At that same meeting over in Boise years ago, there was an outfitter there that was having to -because the wolf thing was just starting right then and he said, you know, I'd like to give back my outfitting license because the wolves had decimated his herd of elk that he was hunting. He was not making a living there.

And the outfitters, most of them, are not making big money. The hunting clubs and the no trespass fees is not even covered in what they're talking about here. That's going to happen anyways.

But on the outfitters' side of it, they are just trying to provide a service, just like fishing or downhill skiing or whatever, to get the public out there.

And when somebody comes from Oklahoma and wants to go waterfowl hunting, he's got to bring all of that stuff with him; his dog, his decoys and all that stuff that you guys throw in your pick-up. How is he going to get that here to enjoy Idaho?

I mean, Idaho's got the tourism board and all these different things trying to get people here, but

Page 55

money and developed waterfowl habitat because I love ducks. I love to hunt. I love to hunt. Like to fish. but I love to hunt. Got that from my dad.

And, so, when people come to Idaho from Oklahoma and Fish and Game is trying to provide as much opportunity to the residents as well as out-of-state people, they base their budgets on out-of-state fees.

And what I hear from a lot of you guys is that there isn't a lot of habitat on the public ground.

Well, the problem is is that the State is not doing a very good job of managing the public ground. I would guess just under 70 percent of Idaho is public ground.

And you're trying to regulate a small percentage of what's left, that private ground, and it's getting developed and everything else around it over in Ada and everything else.

I've talked to lots of people that have told me about pheasant hunting just outside of the city limits of Boise or Emmett or wherever, and it's getting gobbled up, that prime habitat that we all remember. I grew up down in Rigby and there's subdivisions growing everywhere.

But you need to support the habitat development and support Fish and Game on developing that Page 57

we're tying their hands.

And I really understand about the Utah aspect of this. I know what they'll do. I know what they've done.

But to try to limit the 15 or 20 percent that's in agricultural crop ground is limiting and stepping on private property rights.

And those guys that are giving you that access -- and I give people access to my place -- is that you need to ask.

Because I've got all those instances when they trespass and they're not supposed to be there and they didn't ask and I've been lied to in all those different aspects of how they get access. From a property owner's standpoint, it's difficult to them. And they're in the same economy we all are and if they could get a couple more bucks "wow." I don't know what the solution to that is.

But right now I live up in Fremont County and my place is wide open for fishermen. I've got signs. I'm actually becoming a sportsmen manager to give people access. I've got some things like they put on trail heads so people can sign in and everything else. It's a cattle ranch, really, but it's a unique place and it's just a great -- and I leave it open.

Page 58

But when I've had problems in the past, it has been with waterfowl hunters and some fishermen, as well. But, for the most part, it's been they're parking in the way or something because we need to get in and out.

But, for the most part, we just manage it and the biggest problem is is that there's more people than when we were kids out there doing it.

Unfortunately, waterfowl hunter numbers are going down because there isn't a lot of recruitment and everything else.

And I encourage everybody to take their kids hunting, just get those people out there because it is -- waterfowl hunting, besides the dogs, the decoys, the birds, and everything else, it's a social thing. You go out there and you're with your buddies and having a great day. There's the weather and everything else that happens when you're out there. It's magic.

And that's what we need to teach those young people of what it's all about and encourage them to bring their friends and everything else. There's just so much of it and it's more than -- and it's for women and old people and everything else because you don't have to get to the top of some mountain. Usually it's easier to do.

So, that's my soapbox, I guess. But I really

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: It doesn't matter. Everybody in this room who lives in the State of Idaho has paid tax dollars to support the Fish and Game and support everything that goes on. It's public money. It's public game.

MR. REED HENDRICKS: We're too stupid for not owning land is what the deal is.

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: You're turning it into a money game. The guy that owns the land, the guy that has the biggest pocketbook that can pay 5,000, 10,000 or whatever thousand dollars to come in and hunt on somebody else's property, because they have a big enough checkbook to get the trespassing fee, you're commercializing public game. That's the problem.

If you own that property and you want to take that property and you want to make it into a huge hunting reserve and you want to go out there and shoot a 28-and-a-half point buck every year because it's your property and you did that, great.

It's public game. You can't commercialize it.

MR. GARDNER: That's a point of view. Okay.

Did you want to say something?

MS. KATHY MOORE: And I'm not a waterfowl hunter, so that was not my agenda for being here.

There's a lot of controversy. I hear a lot of

Page 59

understand what you guys are doing.

The Fish and Game needs to do a better job of managing the wildlife and the habitat that's out there. And we're just stepping on a lot of property owner's toes to do that.

MR. GARDNER: I appreciate you showing this other side. This is the horns of the dilemma. I've been listening to all of you.

We're all frustrated when somebody with more money can crowd us out of our hunting spot; and, yet, if you're a landowner, you do have the right to do what you want on your land and that right there is the --

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: I want to ask one question. He asked the question why can't he call his buddies from Oklahoma or Missouri or wherever they might come from and take the decoys, the dogs, go out there and have a good old time during the day and hunt on his property and he supplies the dogs and decoys and calls and shotguns, there's absolutely no reason why you can't.

MR. BILL FUCHS: Right. But if I took a dollar from them to do that, I can't.

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: Then you're commercializing public game.

MR. BILL FUCHS: But it's on private ground.

threatened people. I come from a family of generations of hunters, so I understand all of your positions.

I'm a little bit confused about the Outfitters and Guides' role and position.

And with this gentleman here, I kind of side as private landowners, I'm kind of here as to concerns to what are our rights and how much control does Outfitters and Guides take over when it comes to a private landowner.

I can understand the public land part and a lot of these people are talking about public land.

Let me tell you, as private landowners, we do a lot for our own land. And then suddenly we have somebody dictating to us as to what can and can't happen on it. It's really confusing and frustrating.

And I didn't come here with the same agenda as everybody else. I really didn't come with an agenda. A little bit of curiosity about what your association is and what you're trying to do for people or not do for people.

And I've been in several government or public hearings where I'm always confused as: Have we identified the problem here? And are we making a solution to fix it? Because I'm not really clear about what problem we're addressing here.

Page 62

I mean, I hear a lot of upset hunters. And I have a son old enough to hunt that's hunted his whole life. And hunting has changed. I know. I'm not an active hunter anymore. I was raised on venison and trout and I understand the hunting game, but it's not my reason for being here.

I don't know if I'm making any sense. This private land thing, what is the position? What is Outfitters and Guides' goal here? And what is the problem we're addressing? And are we finding a solution that matches the problem or are we just making controls to upset hunters?

It doesn't sound like we have that many outfitters in the whole State of Idaho. It sounds like we're a real minority.

I sit on a Chamber of Commerce in a region that's high for hunting that has no outfitters and can't figure out why. I don't think they make it easy. I don't think the problem is that we're abundant in outfitters.

So, I guess I would ask you: What's the problem we're really addressing here?

MR. GARDNER: The problem is the current policy, which is a temporary Moratorium that was implemented in 1989 to today, is judged by the agency to

business? I don't understand.

MR. HUNSAKER: The primary role was set up by the State legislature for the safety and health and welfare of the general public when it is the company of an outfitter and guide in a hazardous situation or a dangerous situation.

And then they put on top of that any animal or -- any animal or bird hunting operation and recreational activities is the purview of this Board and we're mandated to take an application. Once we take an application, we have 90 days to respond to it.

We have been turning down applications and refusing to take them because of the Moratorium and we have had landowners requesting that, if we don't do something, they will put a lawsuit together and go after us.

We spent \$70,000 last year defending the area system and outfitters' opportunities in the State of Idaho, defending the right to limit outfitters to certain activities and areas because the lawsuit wanted to open it up to --

Like, Montana, you pay a fee, you get your guide license and you're on your own, do whatever you want across the entire State.

If you want that, then we would not have

Page 63

not be legally tenable.

MS. KATHY MOORE: Is there a lawsuit pending? Do we have somebody upset with it?

MR. GARDNER: I'm not sure there is at current.

But either you or Mr. Fuchs could assert your landowner rights to do some outfitting on your private land. And they would need to make a response and it would have to be a defensible response based on either biological or sociological reasons.

MS. KATHY MOORE: As a private landowner, if I choose, then I have to come to your Board for permission to do certain activities on my own private land.

MR. HUNSAKER: If it relates to outfitting? Yes. You have to get a license.

MS. KATHY MOORE: I don't own any waterfowl property -- well, not heavy waterfowl.

MR. GARDNER: You could collect trespass fees for people just to hunt on your land. That's not outfitting. If you want to go beyond that to provide --

MS. KATHY MOORE: What's the reason? What's the goal of the agency for doing that, for imposing that kind of control and regulation and fee upon a private landowner? What's the goal? What does it do for the private landowner? Is this just to keep us out of

fought those things in lawsuits. Okay?

I think it was close to 50,000 a year before that and 30,000 a year before that. And we keep getting lawsuits and we can't afford it, honestly.

We need to put a law in place that we can defend without having to be threatened with a lawsuit.

MR. GARDNER: To answer your question, ma'am, I think this was — this is in a proposed rule. If you're a landowner and you just charge fees for access, you don't have to be an outfitter.

If you provide outfitter guided services or solicit participation from the general public for those services, that's when you have to get --

MS. KATHY MOORE: This is regarding hunting waterfowl specifically?

MR. HOWARD: Any activity provided to the public.

MS. KATHY MOORE: It's just all confusing to me because partly on the guided side of things, I sometimes feel like, in our agencies, we're always rewarding and penalizing the wrong people.

And I'm not an advocate to being a guide. I'm not a guide or anything like that.

If you bring people up into our country or come searching out to do this that are ignorant or that

Page 66

go about things on their own, they cause a lot of trouble sometimes. They really do. So, sometimes I think the benefit of a guide is helpful.

R

I'm not necessarily saying -- I think somebody that's new to hunting shouldn't go out there and try to figure it out on their own. I don't know where you send these people. I guess that's what outfitters and guides are for or they find a buddy.

I think there's a lot of other activities outside of hunting that you're better off without that.

It kind of feels like your agency wants to penalize people for providing that service. I'm confused by it.

MR. GARDNER: I think the agency is in the business of managing those services.

A VOICE: I'm sorry, who is bringing these lawsuits, the 30,000, 50,000, 70,000 in litigation attorney fees? I guess a flag that went up for me when you went through the litany there, you had an attorney's opinion. Well, you can get 10 attorneys and get 10 opinions. Did it come from our State Attorney General? Who was this?

MR. HOWARD: It came from a Board attorney that's hired by the Board. He does represent a number of State agencies — self-governing State agencies.

Page 68

MR. GARDNER: That's not who we are.
MR. GREG WEATHERBY: It's a club.

3 MR. GARDNER: That's the association of

outfitters. This is the regulative --

5 MR. GREG WEATHERBY: And you profit by getting 6 more people as outfitters. And all I can see now is you 7 want more outfitters and that's going to have negative 8 consequences.

MR. HUNSAKER: I think you have a misconception.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: I'll let you answer in a second. Please let me finish.

What I'm really upset about is when a public citizen back here asks you for information and it doesn't take him five minutes to pull your legs out from underneath you on things you didn't give him so he, as a citizen, can make good decisions. That's bad news. We see that everywhere now in our country. How come you're not giving him that information?

MR. HOWARD: You're assuming what he's telling you is accurate and true. Okay?

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: Give him the information. Maybe he'll make a better --

MR. HOWARD: We did give the information.
MR. GREG WEATHERBY: God, why are you not

Page 67

A VOICE: Who's fighting this? Who's initiating that?

(Interruption by the court reporter to get names of individuals talking.)

MR. HOWARD: I'm not sure if I quite understand your question.

We don't have a lawsuit pending the existing issue of waterfowl.

We have a lawsuit that's actually on record who has sued the Board for denying an application to fish on the South Fork. There's been several lawsuits that have been prosecuted over here.

And then we have another lawsuit from an outfitter -- a former outfitter in Idaho that has sold his business and has continued to operate as a hunting outfitter up here.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: I came in here really neutral, just a hunter. I'm a little bit unhappy with what I'm hearing.

It seems like we've gone beyond the point of saying, is this really a good idea or not and we're into this biological piece that's unsubstantiated?

And you talk about making people mad, maybe I'll make you mad on this, but IOGA is a club and you profit by getting more people --

giving him that information because I can't make a decision as to --

MR. GARDNER: We put in the ground rules that we were not going to debate today.

So, I mean, he's calling me names. I'm just going to take it. You can call me all the names you want.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: What if I asked you for that information? Would you give it to me?

MR. HOWARD: Yes, by law, we give it to you, yes.

MR, GREG WEATHERBY: That's not what I'm hearing from over here.

So, I need more information to make a decision. And after coming in here, I'm going to go to my elected people and say: Are we beyond this Moratorium? I'm not happy with this.

And I can see by the way you're reacting to me right now is that you're not really a neutral party. I don't care for that.

The reason you're here is to go through a State NEPA-like process.

And just to say we rang all the bells, I can't be in your court. Sorry.

MR. GARDNER: The one point I was trying to

Page 73

Page 70

respond, sir, is that we are not the Idaho Outfitters and Guides Association. That is a professional association of outfitters.

We are not outfitters. We're representing the Board that regulates outfitters.

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: Does the State agency generate revenue from outfitters?

MR. GARDNER: Yeah.

ពេ

MR. GREG WEATHERBY: That was the point and I think that point was well made and don't avoid it. We all understand that.

MR. RON FARRIS: I need to make a point here. I went to a public hearing to fight the wind turbines up on the hill. Okay? I'm going to draw an analogy between what's currently here and what happened up there.

We fought that and we lost. And once those flood gates open, the county -- a bunch of idiots -- allowed that to occur. We lost hunting opportunity. We lost habitat. We lost critical winter habitat time for our big game. And we've lost an opportunity for our children to have a place to hunt locally.

That same crap is going to occur when we open up the flood gates to allow this to come in, because once you start closing down land, you lose hunters. And MR. GARDNER: Gentleman in the back.

MR. TATE BROOKS: Do you know how many people have applied for permits to guide for waterfowl and

turkey?

MR. HOWARD: There's probably been a half dozen in the last five or six years, probably. Just outfitters.

MR. TATE BROOKS: I'm going to play the devil's advocate right here.

I'm from Utah. I grew up on the clubs that these guys are talking about. Those clubs have been there longer than anybody in this room has been alive. My dad is a member of this club passed down from my grandfather.

It's not the clubs that have made hunting in Utah worse.

The club that my parents belong to have a biologist and the State of Utah comes to that biologist to help set the regulations for the whole entire State. Some of those clubs actually do a very good job.

That's not why Utahans come here is because of those clubs. I know because I lived there most of my life. I've lived in Idaho for 18 years. I have guided waterfowl. I am currently a turkey outfitter; not in this country, but I know that side.

Page 71

this is not a sustainable process. This is a short-term fix that, in the long term, is going to bite Idaho.

I lived in Virginia. I've lived all over the United States being in the Military. And I'm going to tell you, where they have this crap, hunting goes away and the hunting public goes away and the voice of the hunter goes away. And as it goes, so does hunting.

We're going to lose it all if we think that this is a solution. Long-term. It's a short-term fix with a handful of people who will profit and everybody else will suffer for a handful to get a profit today. And, ultimately, wildlife and hunters will lose; and, ultimately, our children will lose.

If we don't make this sustainable -- and you've got to consider that, gentlemen. Consider the sustainability of hunting in Idaho if we privatize this crap. It's Africa all over. It's just rich people getting the gold. We can't do that to ourselves.

And I know you have a position based on your Board position, but if you care about hunting, you care about wildlife, like I do, you'll do the right thing.

But don't do this to us. Don't turn it into a God damn wind farm up here on the hill. This is craziness. It's a flood gate of craziness. We've got to stop it.

Now I sit on the fence because I understand where everybody's coming from.

I've lived here 18 years. I have friends from Utah. When they come here now, I tell them they better damn sure leave their cameras at home. I've seen it on the forum. I know what happens.

And all I can say is it's going to happen. It's inevitable. I just hope that all the facts are gathered and the best decision's made for everybody.

Five people applying for permits in the last five years, I don't see any threat at all.

If the areas — the two outfitters that are there now that I personally know don't affect my hunting whatsoever. I've hunted with them for five, six years. I just don't — I don't know.

I just want you to do the best job you can.
MR. GARDNER: We'd like to take about a
five-minute break here for the benefit of our
transcriptionist.

(A recess was taken from 8:59 P.M. to 9:05 P.M.)

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Let's talk about having a buffer zone between, let's say, an outfitter that has leased ground and public ground. I mean, I know of specifically a spot in Shelley where an outfitter has

Page 76 Page 74 leased ground. The blind is built on the very edge of 1 there. 1 2 the property and they shoot over public ground for all MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: I would -- let's just 2 their birds as far as the ducks. assume he is. 3 3 MR. HUNSAKER: Is this a licensed outfitter? MR. HUNSAKER: The grandfathered in people 4 4 MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Apparently, yeah. don't have that on their license. They don't have a 5 MR. KENT CLARK: No, he's not licensed. buffer zone. 6 6 MR. REED HENDRICKS: Who is it? MR. GARDNER: So, why don't you flip that in 7 7 MR. TATE BROOKS: Three Rivers Outfitters. terms of your opinion? You would like to see a buffer 8 8 MR. KENT CLARK: They're not licensed for 9 zone? 9 Shelley. MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Absolutely. 10 10 MR. TATE BROOKS: But, according to the Board, MR. TATE BROOKS: 200 yard buffer zone. 11 11 just like with Planning and Zoning, they could apply for MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Yep. 12 12 it and include that. MR. TATE BROOKS: There you go. 13 13 MR. KENT CLARK: They haven't. MR. GARDNER: And 200 yards because... 14 14 MR. HUNSAKER: We'll have to look at our MR. TATE BROOKS: 200 yards, you're not 15 15 licenses and see if we -getting -- if somebody is on the river, you're not going 16 16 MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Bottom line is there's no to probably be hit by falling shot. 17 17 buffer zone between public and leased outfitting ground. MR. GARDNER: Twice the distance of the shot. 18 18 You know what I'm saying? They've got their blind built MR. HUNSAKER: Dick, I think in our 19 19 right on the edge of the river. So, technically, discussions we had talked about 300 yards. 20 20

MR. GARDNER: In your example you made -- MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: There's zero.

they're standing on their leased ground and they're

buffer zone? There is none.

shooting over public ground for birds. So, where's the

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 75

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

numbers.

Page 77

MR. HUNSAKER: I can't respond to that.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: The reason I bring this up is there's four outfitters and we've got one with no buffer zone and you guys don't know about it. How are we going to — how are we going to regulate 100 outfitters? How about 10?

MR. HUNSAKER: The existing outfitters do not have on their license a buffer zone limit. Okay?

What we're proposing would have a buffer zone limit if it goes into effect.

The current outfitters don't have that buffer zone built into their license because they were grandfathered in.

What we're trying to do is establish the things that need to be established to provide buffer zones. And, like I said, it could be a mile-wide buffer zone on the edge of a river riparian area that's a public land. We need to set those parameters.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Okay.

MR. HUNSAKER: The example that you gave, I'm not aware of, but --

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: I can drive you right to it.

MR. HUNSAKER: I don't know if he's licensed in that area. I assume that he is if he's hunting

number, but I don't know if it was 300 feet or yards.

MR. JOSH ORR: It's got to be yards.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: That's even better.

MR. HUNSAKER: I don't remember the exact

MR. GARDNER: I remember Fish and Game had a

MR. TATE BROOKS: That's better.

MR. JOSH OKK, It's got to be yaids.

MR. GARDNER: There's a human safety aspect.

But there's also just what we were talking about before with the fighting. You just want a little social buffer there that you've got your private space

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Well, yeah, I can go sit 20 feet from that ground on an island and shoot over there to their ground. They don't like that, though.

MR. HUNSAKER: You've got to keep in mind that most of the areas that you're talking about, like around Shelley, is fairly populated areas. And according to the way it's written now, until we get some more definitive answers from Fish and Game, that is a no-outfitting area.

So, those parameters haven't been totally worked out, but that's basically -- if you look at that list of rivers and what it says, there's a whole lot of this public waterway that's not even going to be able to be outfitted. Okay?

MR. GARDNER: Let me turn this again into maybe a positive piece of input we can use. So, right now this just says certain sections of the Snake.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: Uh-huh.

H

catch fish.

Page 78

MR. GARDNER: Do you have particular parts then that you think definitely should be non-outfitted in this country? Tell me what it should be.

MR. HUNSAKER: We'd love for you guys to get a map and mark it and say these are the areas that --

MR. GARDNER: Section 6, Region 6.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: I'm going to be honest, as a waterfowler and somebody that -- the first half of my waterfowling when I was old enough to hunt was on the water.

That's a tough question to ask us just because
-- we're talking about these buffer zones and this
gentleman before was asking you how big will those zones
end up being if somebody is able to outfit or -- like,
he's saying Ashton or wherever.

For me, I've hunted water in Ashton, I've hunted water in Shelley and here in north Idaho Falls. I've hunted everywhere and I'm one person.

The point I'm trying to make is I'm one person. All of my other friends have done the exact same thing. So, it's, like, in my opinion -- I'm going to go ahead and say this.

In my opinion, it's crowded everywhere. It's hard for us to really put a -- put a zone on where it should or shouldn't happen. You know what I'm saying?

look at -- I'm going to use the South Fork of the Snake River as an example -- the fishing guides. Okay? I remember going up on the South Fork and being able to

And now you can't take a jet boat up the South Fork without getting the middle finger from a guide. I mean, it is ridiculous.

And I think -- I mean, if you have five outfitters in the whole Eastern Idaho region, that's not going to affect a whole lot of what public hunting is going for, but once you open that door, the sportsmen are afraid the door's going to get kicked open and then you're going to have a fire storm and then it goes crazy from there.

I mean, once you start commercializing and you start using revenue, it's -- money always wins. It doesn't matter. Once it turns into a money game, it's revenue.

And I think that's what the sportsmen are trying to avoid happening is --

Like I said, five -- I mean, who would disagree with five guides in all of Eastern Idaho? You're not adding that much competition.

But 50? 20? I mean, where do you draw the line and say, okay, we're not going to have any more and

Page 79

That's a tough question to answer.

We're all pretty competitive. I think at the end of the day we all feel like we don't need anybody else to --

What I'm trying to say -- I'm just proving a point -- as far as us giving you information, that's a tough game to play. You know what I'm saying?

MR. HUNSAKER: And that's why right now we're waiting for and relying on the Fish and Game to help us put these things together.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: I wish I could tell you. I wish I could give you a map and say: I think from here to here this is one of those areas.

But in all reality, like these guys were just saying, in my opinion, it's from Palisades to as far down as you can go down.

MR. GARDNER: Fish and Game may have data like that on how many boats are using certain access ramps during the season, stuff like that, that will give us a sense of --

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: I think a lot of the concern that's going on here — because I heard one of the — a lady spoke about private property. And I think that's in a whole different realm.

In the big picture of what's going on, if you

. Page 81

it will turn into the South Fork. I think that's a lot of concern.

MR. GARDNER: And what I think these gentlemen are trying to figure out is how can we draw that line and hold the land.

MR. CRAIG GAUDIO: What's the number that you'll allow?

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: As long as it's fair. As long as it's an even keel down the middle and it's fair.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: I want to say this for the record, too, not that I'm slamming any guides that are out there. I can't blame people for trying to make a living. I really can't.

But a prime example of the door being kept open or the possibility of the door being kept open, the reason it scares me is, as I stated before, you guys said that --

Did you say this year was \$70,000? Last year it was \$50,000? And you used an outfitter as an example. That's the power that those guys have with their money already that we don't.

So, I guess that's the point I'm getting at.

Kind of like he said. If the door opens, will the door ever get closed because it's --

Page 82

I can't blame those people for being successful, like I said, that's -- I don't want to take that away from anybody and I don't want to take their opportunity away from them.

i

I don't want to take our opportunity away from us either. That's the biggest problem.

And, so, you use the previous conversation, like I said, on record, if you guys spent that much money -- I know a little bit about the legal system -- so did the outfitter to push the lawsuit in the first place. So, they've got the money.

I mean, somebody like me sitting here, I'm 28 years old. I've never made more than \$40,000 in a year. That pretty much tells you where I'm at as far as if the door never gets closed, how am I supposed to defend myself?

I'm not saying that I don't necessarily ever want it to happen. It just -- if it ever does, it's got to be controlled. And it's --

I talked with these gentlemen during our break. I, honestly, think that it can be — this is something that can be worked around. I mean, it really is. There's good and bad for everybody. I think it's all about how we go about it. And I think that this is our support group side of things.

away from personal property rights.

In your e-mail that you sent out, it says: It is the Board that has ultimate responsibility and jurisdiction over outfitters and guides in Idaho.

That means you guys say what and how an outfitter works in the State of Idaho. You are the government agency that can set policy to eliminate or allow waterfowl guiding or turkey guiding in Idaho. You are the agency that can do that.

You guys should have the ability to protect our rights as the public so that it doesn't turn into a money game.

MR. HOWARD: That's why we're here, man.

MR. TATE BROOKS: With those personal property rights, you have to protect that landowner's personal property rights along with our rights as hunters.

MR. GARDNER: Gentleman in the green vest first. Repeat your names, too.

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: Spencer Pancheri. I think you misunderstood what I was talking about when I said that I ran — this actually opens a new line of questions.

But when I was talking about the guide, and he was a licensed guide on national — in National Forest property in the State of Idaho, and what he was doing

Page 83

You guys, apparently, don't have a support group here tonight. No offense.

I think we need to be careful how we do it.

Like you were saying about the zones on the river, instead of just us arguing, we need to have suggestions.

And I'm sticking up for us as well saying that. We all need to go home and think about how -- what suggestions we can give these guys to keep this in favor of us and still get along with everybody else.

Because that's one thing I do see happening, as I kind of mentioned before, it's really tough for the people to get along anymore. And it really bothers me. I don't care if it's a government agency or people in the field hunter-to-hunter. It's garbage. There's got to be a way to get around it.

MR. GARDNER: Does anybody else have a new point here?

MR. TATE BROOKS: I totally understand where you're coming from on private property rights and the landowners want to be able to make money with their land in any way they possibly can.

But government agencies all across this State impose different regulations on personal property rights to limit what you can do on your own property, whether it's zoning, whether it's EPA, all those agencies take Page 85

was where the private property ended and the National Forest property started, there was nothing that identified that said that it went from deed to be on the public property.

And what he did was went through and posted "No Trespassing" signs on the outside of the deeded property on the public property to keep the public out of their own public lands because he had -- obviously had the guide rights to that canyon, that area and he didn't want the public in there putting pressure on him and his clients and whatever for the public game. So, he went through and posted it.

Well, we complained to Fish and Game. Fish and Game got after him. He didn't get in trouble. Fish and Game got after him and he pulled his signs down.

The next season, the signs were there again.

We called and complained to Fish and Game. They got after him and made him take them down. I finally quit hunting up there.

A buddy of mine ended up running into the guy and the guy tried to run him off of National Forest property. They almost got in a fist fight over National Forest property because the guy said: You're trespassing. You can't be here.

The buddy of mine said: Look, this is public

Page 86

property. I can do whatever I want here.

ı

The guide said: No, this is private property.

And what it come down to was the guide ended up saying: You show me a map that says that this is forest property.

And, anyway, it almost turned into a fist fight because the guide was being bull-headed trying to protect his area.

So, I guess ultimately my question is: If you guys implement this, who and how are they going to enforce what rules that you guys put down?

MR. HUNSAKER: Let me give an example of that. We had an outfitter over in the central part of the State on the west that was doing a very similar thing.

He is no longer in operation. His license has been jerked. His business has been sold. And he's not allowed to outfit in the State of Idaho.

If we can document and substantiate it and our enforcement people can prove the case, we will take their license away.

We need you guys that are seeing this in the field to come to our enforcement people, report it, document it, photograph it, get the back-up data that we need to go court; or we do the hearings ourselves; and we take their license away.

would indicate to us that the field officer that handled the case didn't think it came up to the --

MR. HOWARD: There was not information there to really make a case.

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: What it came down to -it was in Leadore. What it came down to is the guy that
was guiding was a Leadore native and the guy that was
from the Fish and Game office in Leadore were buddies.
That's what it came down to.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: I think the point he's trying to get is somebody took the steps to report it --you know what I'm saying -- to an organization that you're saying you're trying to work with.

But he doesn't feel that the right thing got done still. So, if there's more -- if there's more opportunity allowed, how does it -- what's the guarantee that it does get regulated?

MR. HUNSAKER: If it's involving an outfitter, you have to report it to the Outfitter and Guides Licensing Board so we can take an action on it.

I can't determine who in the field are friends and relatives that are not going to enforce the law at their discretion.

I know our people will and we take -- more than once we've taken licenses away from outfitters.

Page 87

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: So, who are your enforcement people?

MR. HOWARD: We have an enforcement team in Boise. We also work cooperatively with the Fish and Game Department and the local sheriffs. We have about a half a dozen people that we've hired. We have one full-time guy in Boise and about a half dozen part-time guys throughout the State that work in different places.

MR. HUNSAKER: We do covert operations with both the Fish and Game and local sheriffs' departments.

MR. HOWARD: That and the Forest Service.

That particular case you're describing, it sounds to me like there may have been a criminal violation.

If Fish and Game was involved with it, why they didn't prosecute him, I think I'd be asking them.

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: It was reported twice to Fish and Game. And we assumed that that's where you had to go with it was Fish and Game.

MR. HOWARD: Well, that would be a good place.
MR. HUNSAKER: They normally would report it
to us if they determined that that was a violation of an
outfitter regulation. Or if the outfitter was doing
unethical conduct, they would normally report it to us.

The fact that they did not report it to us

Page 89

1 MR. HOWARD: We've got to have credible 2 information to file a complaint against somebody.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: Do you guys have a license for a Bearpaw Outfitters, Dale Denny?

MR. HUNSAKER: Yeah.

MR. HOWARD: Yeah.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: Do you remember getting a complaint in 2001 about him locking a gate on State land?

MR. HOWARD: We've only been around since 2002, so probably not.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: Or 2002, whatever it was. 10 years ago.

I filed a complaint. Same type of situation. I won't bore you with the details.

Locked the public gate. Stood there with a gun in his hand threatening me if I go over that fence.

I filed a complaint with the Fish and Game that day, filed a complaint with you folks and somebody went in there and told him he had to take the lock off the gate.

Fair enough. Okay. They slapped his hand.
But you know what? You can never get that
opening day of deer season back for me, ever. Okay?
You can never get that one hunting experience that I had

Page 93

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

i

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 90

with my dad who died two years later back, ever.

This is serious shit for us here. This

hunting is serious. Okay? 3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

When an outfitter does something like that, they might have well have just killed somebody. Because, to me, it's that serious. You know what I mean? I was hot when that happened, I'm telling you

MR. HUNSAKER: Dale Denny was not a licensed outfitter back then.

MR. HOWARD: In 2001. I don't know.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: 2001. I don't know what year it was. It was 10 years ago.

MR. HUNSAKER: If you want us to bring it up with the enforcement --

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: I'm just validating the concern that if there's an offense and they get a slap on the hand, it's not good enough.

And I'm not telling you guys that you do a shitty job.

I'm saying I feel the same way about the Fish and Game and when somebody poaches an elk and they give them a \$500 fine, 500 bucks? Shit, That's worth it. To me, that's worth the risk. You know what I'm saying?

MR. HUNSAKER: The point's taken.

tire tracks are going to be from waterfowl hunters.

If you go back from when deer season ends September 15th to January 25th, probably 85 percent of those tire tracks are waterfowl hunters. There's no turkey around. Nobody's probably going to be fishing and deer season's over. So, all you can do is --

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: There's ways to do it. MR. TATE BROOKS: You can take a percentage of those and then whatever percentage you have, base your permits or your guides in that area off of those.

Because I know every district's going to be different. Some districts are much bigger. Boise, there's so much pressure over there.

MR. GARDNER: Generally under these rules, there would not be any outfitting on the waters themselves.

MR. TATE BROOKS: Yeah. If you did that, there would probably be one guide in this whole entire area, which I could care less. I don't care if there's five in the area.

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: So, if you guys control the guiding in the State of Idaho, how many guides are on the South Fork, say, between Palisades Dam and Lorenzo?

MR. TATE BROOKS: For waterfowl, there's one.

Page 91

MR. GARDNER: I'm hearing any rules, they've got to be enforced. 2

MR, HUNSAKER: We understand that,

MR. GARDNER: Okay. We got that.

You were going to say something.

MR. TATE BROOKS: We talked about the bumper zone. I like the 300 yard idea.

I would like to see the Fish and Game use the counts because I know they do that at certain boat ramps. The Fish and Game should know how much those boat ramps get used.

I'd like you guys and the Fish and Game to base those permits or outfitting licenses that are given in any district, somehow to figure out a percentage of how much an area gets used as to how many permits you'll give out.

MR. HOWARD: So would we.

MR. TATE BROOKS: That's what I'd like to see.

MR. JOSH ORR: Just to expand on this, Tate. That's so broad, though, because it's going to expand into fishing. It's going to expand into -- I mean --

MR. TATE BROOKS: No system's going to be perfect. I mean, I guarantee you if the Fish and Game goes to a boat ramp and looks at their box on October 5th and then goes back October 7th, 90 percent of those MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: No, for fishing.

MR, HOWARD: For fishing.

MR. GARDNER: How many fishing outfitters are on the South Fork?

MR. HOWARD: As I recall, there's eight outfitters and I think they're limited to how many boats are on the water.

And then they're further regulated by the Forest Service and the BLM on which sections they can be on at a given time.

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: From my experience with running the South Fork, the guides aren't pleasant. Let's put it that way. They don't go out of their way to be nice.

And it's gotten to the point where -- I know a lot of guys with jet boats and a lot of guys that fish that and it's gotten to the point to where the guides are not liked, not even a little bit liked up there because of the attitude that they've taken towards the jet boaters; and, basically, the general public. They think they own that river.

So, to me, that's way too many guides and way too many boats on that stretch of river. You cannot go down that stretch of river without finding a guide. It's unbelievable.

Page 97

п

Page 94

So, what's going to stop -- I mean, it goes back to if you open it a little bit, is the door going to get kicked open? What's going to stop that from happening in the waterfowl and turkey thing?

Q

MR. HOWARD: The appropriate development of these rules. That's why we're trying to figure this out.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: Which is why I say what we need is suggestions and not -- we've argued enough. And now it's time to --

I guess I'm a little bit of -- I mean, I didn't catch your name, but I guess I'm a little bit of your opinion. Eventually, I think it will happen anyway. You know what I'm saying? It's happened everywhere else. I mean, I've always said that. We're going to fight a losing battle eventually.

So, eventually it gets to the point where it's not how do we stop this. It's how do we get along with everybody. That's the deal. That's why I've been thinking about suggestions.

MR. RICK MARTIN: My question to you is: If the majority of people do not want it -- and there was only one person at the Orofino meeting, according to what's posted on their web site that was in favor of it -- and the majority here were against it, you know, going to conduct. And then we're going to spend some more time with the draft rule and we'll probably put another revision together prior to the meeting that comes out in April and then we'll move through the next phase.

MR. GARDNER: That's why we tried to raise the point in the first presentation. We're trying to seek a consensus. We're trying to balance these competing interests. We're not trying to do a democracy or a popularity contest.

MR. RICK MARTIN: Can I ask a question, though? If the vast majority, say, 80, 90 percent of those people that attend these meetings and the input that you get are opposed to anything other than Moratorium, would you guys keep the Moratorium into effect?

MR. HOWARD: I can't answer that. We don't know. It's up to the Board.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: Who's the Board? Are you guys part of the Board?

MR. HUNSAKER: I'm part of the Board.

MR. RICK MARTIN: I think you guys have made up your mind and it's going to happen. That's my gut feeling. If you're going allow it, I think you ought to allow it State-wide on every single waterway, every

Page 95

you're supposed to listen to the public, then why are we going through with it?

MR. BEN PANCHERI: Well, I can tell you right now sitting here, I'm trying to speak in the best interest of me and my friends' beliefs, but there's also 15 dudes in this room. That's not very many. I'm not trying to argue against me and my friends either, but it's — so, there was 14 guys in here that were against it.

MR. RICK MARTIN: I can also show you other poles.

MR. HOWARD: Well, one thing I can tell you, guys, is we're going to take all of this, the transcripts are going to be written, we'll take it back to the Board, and, you know, they'll sort through this and make a determination.

We're not the deciding decision-makers. I'm the director. I can certainly give my opinion on what I think should happen and what I don't think should happen.

And, you know, Wayne is the Board Chairman. Obviously he has influence on the Board. And we'll go through this and the Board will sort out what they feel is appropriate.

We've got three other hearings that we're

single riverway so that everyone feels the same pressure.

I do not hunt the river. I avoid the river. It's not my cup of tea.

But I do hunt lots and lots of private ground in areas where there's a lot of birds. And that stuff will be bought up and I will be out and my boys, that are 12 and 14, will be out of their hunting spots.

And I think that the people on the river should have to compete just like everybody else.

MR. RICK MARTIN: The input that you're getting here — you want to talk about biological and sociological input — this is the sociological part that needs to be considered equally that you're not quantifying in some of the information that you've been given.

These are the people in the public of Idaho that are saying, damn it, don't do it. We don't want it. We don't need it. But that's the part that's being ignored and has been ignored for years, clear back when the turkey study went back into effect and you allowed a certain number of turkey guides back in the early '90s. That was supposed to be a three-year study and it's still ongoing.

MR. GARDNER: Any other new points? I feel

Page 98

like we're repeating issues.

MR. TRAVIS MITCHELL: Is it in this written instructions as far as web sites?

MR. HOWARD: Go on to our e-mail. There's an e-mail address on there where you can comment.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: Written suggestions was welcome?

MR. HOWARD: Absolutely.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: If you put your e-mail on the list, I mean, obviously the people that are on this list will be updated, I'm assuming, with anything you guys have or --

MR. HOWARD: We're going to create --

MR. GARDNER: This is the web site that will be maintaining both the comments and the evolving rules. The next generation will be up there.

MR. HOWARD: We've already created that there is an e-mail list that we will be e-mailing information out to people who have given us their e-mail addresses.

And, you know, hopefully, my secretary can read some of the writing. Some of it's a little ragged. We'll do our best.

And we've been doing that all along. And then all this information is going to be maintained on our web site, as well. Page 100

And, so, we don't know what the decision of the Board is going to be. Okay?

And, ultimately, this thing goes to the State Legislature and we don't know what the division of the decision in the State Legislature is going to be.

What we're trying to do is wrap our arms around something that will replace this Moratorium that we're concerned about with a rule that is legally defensible that will set the appropriate limits and restrictions in place. And trying to grasp that with this broad spectrum of who is affected by this and who is interested in it, is very difficult.

To be candid, I share many of your concerns. Personally, I really do.

But at the same time we've got to be able to get a full understanding of what's going on here. And that's very difficult to do.

So, as this thing moves forward, there's going to be other opportunities for input to the Board and ultimately the State Legislature, if it goes that far. And we'll see what happens.

But for us to sit here and say we're going to legally defend something this way or that way right now, it's asking us to defend apple pie or carrot cake. We don't know exactly what it is that we're defending.

Page 99

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: How can you not defend -- I'm seriously asking a question. I'm not -- I don't

want to sound like I'm being sarcastic.

How can you legally not defend the fact that,

say -- I'm just going to use 80 percent -- I don't know

what it is -- that 80 percent of the public does not want commercial guiding in waterfowl? How can you not legally defend that?

MR. HOWARD: Well, I don't know. It's a matter of --

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: It's opinion; not law.

MR. HOWARD: I think you have to recognize the public is a broad spectrum of people.

Certainly the sportsmen's groups and the people that are coming into this meeting are a part of that.

Certainly the landowners are representative of the public.

We have outfitters.

And then we have outfitted clients.

So, we have the economic development people that are interested in what's going on here.

So, the public is fairly broad. And, so, we're going through this process right now trying to get a grasp on this thing. Okay?

Page 101

MR. HUNSAKER: Most of the Board -- just me speaking from my perspective -- most of the Board has been in support of the Moratorium all along. We've been told that that's not legally defensible.

We've been asked about opening up, getting outfitted private lands. That's why we're here.

It's easier for us just to say "no," okay, that the Moratorium goes on forever, but we just can't do that anymore.

MR. TATE BROOKS: How long is it going to be before somebody sues you guys to guide on public ground? MR. HOWARD: Who knows.

MR. TATE BROOKS: So, the Moratorium will be

good on public ground but not on private ground.

MR. HUNSAKER: I think as long as we have defensible -- it's if our attorneys tell us that we have a defensible stance.

It's like Planning and Zoning, every County Commissioner is going to be challenged on a Planning and Zoning issue sometime during their term. It's just going to happen.

MR. RICK MARTIN: But, see, it goes back to -- I know that you guys' attorney -- the Board's attorney has said that it's not defensible.

We've had other attorneys say just the

Page 27 (Pages 102-105) Public Hearing of THE IDAHO OUTFITTERS AND 12/4/2012 Page 102 Page 104 opposite. It is defensible. this and I understand you guys are in a predicament and 1 Somebody mentioned it earlier. You can get you're trying to figure all this out. 2 2 100 attorneys. You're going to have 100 different If you look at the broad spectrum of what's 3 3 opinions. going on in the Western United States -- not even 4 4 So, without some case law, without something western -- in the United States with hunting, it's going 5 5 challenging it and something to base it on, for just an to guides. It's going to. 6 6 attorney to say it's not defensible really doesn't hold If you're going to go and try and defend that 7 water because there is plenty of information out there in court, they're going to bring in Utah and throw it in 8 to support it. It's there. your face and say, look, we're doing this in all these 9 9 MR. GARDNER: You need to understand when a other states. 10 10 person serves on a public Board, they have I understand what you guys are doing and I 11 11 responsibilities to do their due diligence and to appreciate what you guys are doing. I just want to make 12 12 protect the organization as best they can. sure it's as unbiased as possible. 13 13 MR. RICK MARTIN: We understand. MR. HUNSAKER: Well, let me close the meeting. 14 14 MR. HOWARD: There's our attorneys that we --We do need to bring it to an end. I appreciate the 15 15 MR. RICK MARTIN: There's also good attorneys comments. We, honestly, do listen and we'll do our 16 16 on the other said saying just the opposite. best. That's all we can do. 17 17 MR. GARDNER: If you're a board member, who's (The deposition concluded at 9:41 P.M.) 18 18 advice would you take? Your own counsel's or someone (Signature waived.) 19 19 else's? 20 20 A VOICE: It's part of the public input that's 21 21 being given. That's what I'm saying. 22 22 MR. HOWARD: Gentlemen, I'm going to be honest 23 23 with you. We're going over the same thing over and over 24 24 again. 25 25 Page 103 Page 105 We very much respect what you're telling us REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 1 and we're hearing it. We're capturing. We'll give it I, MARY (RAINEY) STOCKTON, CSR No. 746, 2 2 to the Board. They'll sort this out and we'll figure 3 3 Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify: That the out how to move forward. foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time 4 4 MR. BEN PANCHERI: Can I make one more and place therein set forth, at which time the witness 5 5 statement real quick that I think is valid? was put under oath by me; 6 6 MR. HOWARD: Sure. That the testimony and all objections made were 7 7 recorded stenographically by me and transcribed by me or MR. BEN PANCHERI: What Spencer was saying, 80 8 8

percent of the people is opinion.

The fact that they're saying it's not defendable in court is law.

What's law is on the paper. That's what we have to change.

MR. HOWARD: Right.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: Basically.

MR. RICK MARTIN: It's not on paper.

MR. BEN PANCHERI: There is some pretty open 17 things in the rules. That's why I'm going to stress one 18 more time -- and it's the last time I'll say it -- even 19 if it's written suggestions, that's what we have to do 20 to fix it. 21

Sitting in here arguing about it doesn't do any good. If they say it's not defendable, let's make it defendable. It can swing our way.

MR. SPENCER PANCHERI: I'm obviously not for

under my direction;

That the foregoing is a true and correct record of all testimony given, to the best of my ability;

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially interested in the action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal this 11th day of December, 2012.

> Mary "Rainey" Stocktor MARY (RAINEY) STOCKTON, CSR

Notary Public

P.O. Box 2636

Boise, Idaho 83701-2636

My commission expires February 3, 2017

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A	66:25, 66:25, 83:22	anyplace 45:19	arms 23:24, 100:6	94:2, 95:14, 97:20
	83:25	anyway 6:2, 39:1	article 48:18	97:21, 97:22, 101:22
Aberdeen 23:7	agency 3:12, 9:3	40:25, 46:3, 86:6	Ashton 35:17, 78:15	back-up 86:23
ability 7:24, 84:10	29:11, 30:16, 62:25	94:14	78:16	backwards 37:8
105:11	63:22, 66:11, 66:14	anyways 56:14	aside 14:6	bad 20:9, 68:17
able 19:8, 31:8	70:6, 83:13, 84:7	apparently 74:5	asked 26:21, 26:25	82:23
32:11, 37:1, 77:20	84:9	83:1	26:25, 27:24, 27:24	balance 96:8
78:14, 80:3, 83:20	agenda 60:24, 61:16	appeal 36:24	27:25, 30:3, 41:15	base 55:7, 91:13
100:15	61:17	APPEARANCES	50:5, 54:2, 54:12	92:9, 102:6
above-entitled 2:7	ago 28:16, 30:11	2:8	59:14, 69:8, 101:5	based 26:5, 26:20
absolutely 59:19	30:22, 48:19, 49:10	apple 100:24	asking 26:23, 41:11	45:16, 63:9, 71:19
76:10, 98:8	56:5, 89:13, 90:13	application 7:25	49:1, 78:13, 87:16	basically 12:6, 35:14
abundant 62:19	agree 20:21, 21:6	24:5, 64:10, 64:11	99:2, 100:24	35:20, 39:21, 48:18
access 6:17, 12:7	29:20, 39:21, 40:22	67:10	asks 68:14	48:22, 77:18, 93:20
13:14, 14:3, 18:17	41:18, 42:8, 47:7	applications 8:5	aspect 18:14, 27:3	103:15
18:25, 21:21, 21:23	51:4	8:15, 8:22, 64:12	34:18, 57:2, 77:3	battle 94:16
44:17, 56:1, 57:8	agreeing 20:23	applied 51:8, 51:9	aspects 57:14	Bearpaw 89:4
57:9, 57:14, 57:22	agrees 5:2	51:10, 51:11, 51:15	assert 63:6	beat 40:17
65:9, 79:18	agricultural 25:23	72:3	asshole 20:3, 53:16	Beaver 6:21, 7:8
accessible 21:13	25:24, 57:6	applies 47:21	association 24:19	becoming 11:22
accommodate 48:17	ahead 21:2, 43:23	apply 10:17, 74:12	30:3, 61:18, 68:3	57:21
accurate 46:5, 68:21	78:22	applying 73:10	70:2, 70:3	beef 33:11, 33:12
acres 32:5	aid 11:9	appreciate 3:5, 4:2	assume 75:25, 76:3	beliefs 95:5
Act 7:25, 24:3, 47:24	ain't 20:6	39:10, 39:16, 43:21	assumed 87:18	believe 14:6, 19:12
action 88:20, 105:14	alive 72:12	54:22, 59:6, 104:12	assuming 68:20	20:10, 40:5
active 24:19, 62:4	all-inclusive 15:24	104:15	98:11	bells 69:23
activities 63:13, 64:9	allow 14:2, 23:5	appreciation 14:16	attend 96:13	belong 72:17
64:20, 66:9	26:18, 35:14, 36:8	approach 40:1, 44:2	attitude 30:15, 93:19	BEN 38:22, 39:10
activity 3:13, 8:6	45:12, 70:24, 81:7	appropriate 4:20	attorney 27:6, 66:18	40:7, 46:6, 47:6
8:7, 9:4, 23:5, 23:12	84:8, 96:24, 96:25	8:18, 23:4, 23:5	66:21, 66:23, 101:23	78:7, 79:11, 81:11
26:19, 26:19, 38:13	allowed 15:2, 15:3	24:12, 94:5, 95:24	101:23, 102:7	88:10, 94:8, 95:3
65:16	34:17, 47:14, 47:22	100:9	105:13	98:6, 98:9, 103:5
Ada 5:5, 55:17	51:6, 70:19, 86:17	April 96:4	attorney's 66:19	103:8, 103:15
add 18:10, 19:22	88:16, 97:21	area 5:1, 6:15, 8:5	attorneys 27:8	103:17
20:14, 46:6	allowing 22:4, 48:16	9:19, 10:18, 10:21	66:20, 101:16	benefit 66:3, 73:18
adding 80:23	alongside 22:1	11:15, 18:5, 18:10	101:25, 102:3	benefits 31:1, 31:2
additive 18:11	22:13	35:21, 36:19, 37:12	102:15, 102:16	31:25
address 98:5	amount 23:21	37:13, 38:10, 41:13	authorization 37:19	best 20:12, 29:10
addresses 98:19	amounts 28:20	43:25, 44:12, 44:20	38:2	73:9, 73:16, 95:4
addressing 61:25	analogy 70:14	64:17, 75:17, 75:25	available 45:6	98:22, 102:13
62:10, 62:22	analysis 9:6, 26:20	77:16, 85:9, 86:8	average 42:7	104:17, 105:11
adds 53:14	26:22, 27:10, 48:25	91:15, 92:10, 92:19	avoid 70:10, 80:20	bet 4:8
Administrative 1:1	animal 64:7, 64:8	92:20	97:3	better 24:7, 59:2
7:24, 24:3	annually 27:22	areas 4:10, 4:25, 5:9	aware 27:4, 75:21	66:10, 68:23, 73:4
adverse 36:23	answer 11:7, 31:12	6:6, 11:17, 13:3	awesome 46:23	76:21, 76:22
advice 39:6, 102:19	36:2, 36:12, 65:7	13:25, 35:10, 35:14		beyond 63:20, 67:20
advisory 24:22	68:11, 79:1, 96:17	35:15, 35:16, 35:19	В	69:16
24:24, 25:5, 25:9	answers 54:14	36:5, 36:10, 36:15		big 6:13, 11:17
25:10, 27:25, 28:19	77:15	36:16, 37:16, 38:6	back 18:2, 18:6, 19:1	12:16, 14:10, 25:21
advocate 65:22, 72:9	anybody 27:2, 32:9	45:11, 45:14, 50:23	19:25, 24:16, 25:7	32:5, 34:7, 35:18
affect 18:25, 73:13	35:1, 47:12, 53:21	51:4, 51:20, 64:20	30:21, 33:25, 34:21	37:22, 56:12, 60:12
80:10	72:12, 79:3, 82:3	73:12, 77:12, 77:13	34:23, 40:16, 49:6	70:21, 78:13, 79:25
afford 21:17, 65:4	83:16	78:5, 79:13, 97:6	51:19, 52:7, 53:1	bigger 92:12
afraid 80:12	anymore 14:22	argue 95:7	54:15, 56:8, 68:14	biggest 3:6, 18:23
Africa 71:17	49:16, 62:4, 83:12	argued 94:9	72:1, 89:24, 90:1	58:6, 60:10, 82:6
agencies 8:10, 65:20	101:9	arguing 83:5, 103:22	90:10, 91:25, 92:2	Bill 53:24, 53:24
L	1	<u>I</u>	1	<u> </u>

		THE IDAMO OUTFILL	210 120 12, 1, 2012	
59:21, 59:25	91:11, 91:24	build 6:18	chairman 2:19	55:4, 59:16, 60:11
Bingham 28:13	boaters 93:20	built 74:1, 74:19	54:20, 95:21	61:1, 61:16, 61:17
Bingo 37:9, 37:9	boats 79:18, 93:6	75:12	challenged 101:19	63:12, 65:25, 66:21
biological 5:9, 23:15	93:16, 93:23	bull-headed 86:7	challenging 102:6	68:18, 70:24, 72:21
28:18, 28:21, 37:3	Boise 2:12, 2:17	bumper 91:6	Chamber 62:16	73:4, 86:3, 86:22
46:4, 63:10, 67:22	21:4, 23:9, 23:10	bunch 23:6, 42:6	change 103:13	comes 32:7, 47:17
97:12	54:1, 55:20, 56:5	70:18	changed 21:12, 62:3	47:20, 56:19, 61:8
biologist 72:18	87:4, 87:7, 92:12	Bureau 8:24, 25:13	channel 14:19	72:18, 96:4
72:18	105:24	26:5	charge 65:9	coming 3:5, 16:13
biology 45:16, 47:4	BOOTSTRAP 2:10	business 12:6, 64:1	cheap 18:6	49:13, 69:15, 73:2
bird 64:8	bore 89:15	66:15, 67:15, 86:16	check 32:10, 45:2	83:19, 99:15
birds 12:14, 44:14	boss 41:10	buy 18:5	checkbook 32:7	commencing 2:3
49:13, 50:12, 52:13	bothers 83:12		60:13	comment 1:2, 98:5
53:13, 58:14, 74:3	Bottom 74:17	С	children 19:11	comments 24:23
74:22, 97:6	bought 33:11, 33:12		70:22, 71:13	25:2, 98:15, 104:16
bit 3:23, 29:8, 33:6	97:7	cake 100:24	choose 63:12	Commerce 62:16
42:4, 61:3, 61:18	Boulevard 2:3	California 7:3	chunk 37:23	commercial 3:12
67:18, 82:9, 93:18	box 91:24, 105:23	call 6:19, 8:8, 20:7	circumstances 54:24	8:7, 9:4, 23:12
94:2, 94:11, 94:12	boy 44:15, 44:24	42:1, 42:2, 52:12	citizen 68:14, 68:17	26:19, 34:6, 99:7
bite 71:2	45:7	52:13, 59:14, 69:6	city 55:19	commercialize
black 21:6, 44:20	boys 21:17, 97:7	called 33:24, 85:17	clarification 4:7	60:20
44:23	Braemere 2:11	calling 12:13, 69:5	14:25	commercializing
Blackfoot 23:20	break 73:18, 82:21	calls 59:18	clarify 15:6	59:24, 60:14, 80:15
Blackhawk 31:17	bring 50:13, 56:20	cameras 73:5	Clark 49:8, 49:8	commission 30:20
blame 40:24, 41:20	58:20, 65:24, 75:2	camp 12:15	50:3, 51:22, 74:6	105:25
41:23, 81:13, 82:1	90:14, 104:8, 104:15		74:9, 74:14	Commissioner
blank 51:20	bringing 66:16	canyon 23:10, 33:18	clear 61:24, 97:20	101:19
blind 6:18, 74:1	broad 91:20, 99:13	85:9	clearly 37:4	commissioners 54:8
74:19	99:23, 100:11, 104:3	_	clients 13:7, 32:9	common 19:7
blinds 10:10	Brooks 17:17, 35:7	capturing 103:2	85:11, 99:20	company 64:4
BLM 8:11, 8:25	35:7, 35:13, 36:6	care 13:4, 69:20	close 10:13, 65:2	compete 97:10
9:17, 93:9 blue 32:6	37:10, 47:13, 47:20	71:20, 71:20, 83:13	104:14	competing 96:8
bluffing 33:22, 34:2	48:2, 51:7, 51:13	92:19, 92:19 careful 10:23, 39:25	closed 81:25, 82:15 closely 10:9	competition 20:18 80:23
board 2:2, 2:15, 2:19	72:2, 72:8, 74:8 74:11, 76:11, 76:13	40:10, 43:4, 83:3	closer 4:5	competitive 40:13
3:11, 7:19, 7:23	76:15, 76:22, 83:18	carried 39:15	closer 4.3	40:14, 79:2
7:24, 8:4, 9:24	84:14, 91:6, 91:18	carrot 100:24	club 6:22, 41:16	complained 85:13
24:18, 25:8, 25:8	91:22, 92:8, 92:17	case 9:5, 16:15, 17:8	67:24, 68:2, 72:13	85:17
26:9, 26:24, 27:2	92:25, 101:10	49:16, 86:19, 87:12	72:17	complaint 89:2, 89:8
27:25, 28:5, 28:9	101:13	88:2, 88:4, 102:5	clubs 19:4, 41:5	89:14, 89:18, 89:19
28:22, 29:18, 29:23	brother 42:10	cases 3:17	41:16, 42:3, 56:12	completely 10:15
30:12, 31:3, 31:4	brought 31:23	catch 80:4, 94:12	72:10, 72:11, 72:15	comprehensive
38:17, 39:24, 56:24	49:23, 50:9, 52:19	cattle 57:24	72:20, 72:22	47:25, 48:25
63:12, 64:9, 66:23	BS 20:7	caught 34:1	co-signer 29:16	concede 30:8
66:24, 67:10, 70:5	buck 60:18	cause 66:1	coat 21:6	concept 48:24
71:20, 74:11, 84:3	bucks 57:17, 90:23	central 86:13	coffin 19:14	concern 8:1, 10:24
88:20, 95:15, 95:21	buddies 34:23, 58:15	certain 6:9, 25:23	collect 63:18	13:2, 13:13, 14:10
95:22, 95:23, 96:18	59:15, 88:8	37:11, 63:13, 64:20	collected 29:1	18:19, 20:17, 21:20
96:19, 96:20, 96:21	buddy 27:16, 66:8	77:24, 79:18, 91:9	collectively 24:1	22:15, 43:15, 79:22
100:2, 100:19, 101:1	85:20, 85:25	97:22	combined 16:24	81:2, 90:17
101:2, 102:11	budgets 55:7	certainly 95:18	come 4:4, 5:18, 9:17	concerned 46:12
102:18, 103:3	buffer 73:23, 74:18	99:14, 99:17	16:6, 18:4, 29:6	47:7, 48:16, 100:8
Board's 26:18, 27:6	74:23, 75:4, 75:8	CERTIFICATE	32:20, 34:19, 34:20	concerns 10:3, 17:23
101:23	75:9, 75:11, 75:15	105:1	35:5, 40:25, 42:25	44:2, 61:6, 100:13
boards 6:14	75:16, 76:6, 76:8	Certified 2:5, 105:3	51:18, 52:14, 53:17	concluded 104:18
boat 80:5, 91:9	76:11, 77:6, 78:12	certify 105:3, 105:12	54:8, 54:9, 54:18	conduct 87:24, 96:1
L	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

conflict 20-8 contrained 61-3, 61-22 controlled 51-3, 61-22 controlled 51-3, 61-22 controlled 51-3, 61-22 controlled 51-3, 61-24 controlled 51-3, 61-3		Tabile hearing of			
66:13	conflict 20:8	court 3:25, 5:10	data 5:13, 17:12	102:1, 102:7	discretion 88:23
controling 61:15 103:11, 104:8 covered 41:14, 56:13 database 25:13					
connection 42:17 connection 42:18 consequences 68:8 consider 19:19 consideration 11:18 consideration 11:18 consideration 11:18 consideration 11:18 consideration 48:7 consister 67 consideration 48:7 constantly 46:15 defi16 contact 48:12 contact 48:12 contacts 14:12 contact 48:13 contact 48:12 contact 8:13 contact 8:13 contact 8:13 contact 18:13 contact 8:13 contact 9:19 contact 9:11 control 29:16, 42:4 contact 8:12 contact 98:17 contact 98:17 contact 98:13 contact 9:19 contact 8:12 contact 98:17 contact 98:17 contact 98:17 contact 98:17 contact 8:12 contact 98:17 contact 98:17 contact 98:10 contact 48:12 contact 18:12 contact 98:17 contact 8:12 contact 98:17 contact 98:19 contact 8:18 consideration 19:11 20:13 contact 18:12 contact 98:13 contact 9:19 contact 9:19 contact 9:19 contact 9:10 contact 9:1					
connection 42:17 connections 46:2 connects 44:23 consessus 4:19, 96:8 consequences 68:8 consider 1919 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:17 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:17 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:15 71:15, 71:17 71:17, 71:22, 71:25 71:17, 71:23 71:15, 71:17 71:17, 71:25, 81:16 71:17, 17:13, 27:23 71:5, 71:17 71:17, 71:25, 81:16 71:17, 17:13, 27:23 71:5, 71:17 71:17, 71:25, 81:16 71:17, 17:13, 27:23 71:5, 71:17 71:17, 71:25, 81:16 71:17, 17:13, 27:23 71:5, 71:17 71:17, 71:17		,	, -		
connection 42:17 connection 46:22 connects 44:23 consesue at:19, 96:8 consequences 68:8 consider 19:19 consideration 11:18 consideration 18:7 considered 97:14 considered 97:15 control 97:14 control 97:14 control 97:14 control 97:14		-			- 1
connections 46:2 connections 42:23 consensus 4:19, 96:8 consequences 68:25 consideration 11:18 consideration 11:18 consideration 48:7 consideration 48:15 consideration 48:7 consideration 48:7 consideration 48:7 consideration 48:7 consideration 48:15 consideration 48:7 consideration 48:15 consideration 48:7 consideration 48:15 consideration 48:7 consideration 48:16 consideration 48:17 consideration 48:17 consideration 48:18 confideration 48:18 confideration 48:18 confideration 48:18 confideration 48:18 confideration 48:18 c		=			
consents 44:23	connection 42:17		29:7		districts 92:12
consequences 68:8 consider 19:19	connections 46:2	cow 33:7	day 15:7, 15:16	denying 24:8, 67:10	division 100:4
consider pl.		cracks 38:5	16:12, 17:1, 19:3	Department 24:11	DOCKET 1:2
105:16	consensus 4:19, 96:8	Craig 5:23, 6:1, 6:1	54:8, 58:16, 59:17	38:14, 48:12, 87:5	document 86:18
Agy 15:2, 15:8 consideration 11:18 consideration 11:18 consideration 48:7 considered 97:14 75:19, 75:22, 76:2 76:10, 76:12, 76:12 76:10, 76:12, 76:12 76:10, 76:12, 76:12 76:10, 76:12, 76:12 76:10, 76:12, 76:12 76:10, 76:12, 76:12 76:10, 76:12, 76:12 76:10, 76:12, 76:12 76:10, 76:12, 76:12 76:10, 76:12, 76:12 77:5, 77:25, 81:6 crap 43:1, 70:23 77:8, 77:25, 81:6 crap 43:1, 70:23 77:4, 77:25, 81:6 crap 43:1, 70:23 77:4, 77:25, 81:6 crap 43:1, 70:23 77:4, 73:24 continued 67:15 control 29:16, 42:4 61:7, 63:23, 92:21 control 29:16, 42:4 61:7, 63:23, 92:23 control 29:16, 42:4 61:7, 63:23, 92:23 conversation 82:7 control 80:10 50:24, 51:5, 82:19 critical 70:20 crowd 20:18, 59:10 crowded 78:23 conversation 82:7 conversation 82:14 correct 64:1, 5:4 form 64:18 continued 4:18 continued 6:18 continued 6:19 control 6:10 control 6:10 control 6:211 control 6:21 cont	consequences 68:8	6:6, 6:10, 6:21, 7:5	79:3, 89:19, 89:24	departments 87:10	86:23
consideration 11:18 considerations 48:7 consideration 48:17 doing 5:19, 75:22, 76:2 considered 97:14 consist 6:7 considered 97:14 consist 6:7 constantly 46:15 doing 43:1, 70:23 doing 43:1, 70:24 doing 40:21, 42:24 doing 40:21, 42:14, 42:8 doing 40:21, 42:24 doing 40:21, 42:24 doing 40:21, 42:14, 42:8 doing 40:21, 42:24 doing 40:21, 42:24 doing 40:21, 42:14, 42:8 doing 40:21, 42:24 doing 40:21, 42:42 doing 40:21, 42:42 doing 40:21, 42:24 doing 40:21, 42:42 doing 40:21,	consider 19:19	16:8, 21:1, 22:15	105:16	dependent 25:24	dog 56:21
considerations 48:7 74:17, 74:25, 75:2 15:24, 16:14, 16:19 destroy 19:10 destroy 19:10 destroy 20:10 12:14, 29:12, 32:15 destroy 19:10 destroy 20:12 destroy 20:10 12:14, 29:12, 32:15 destroy 19:10 destroy 20:12 destroy 20:12 destroy 20:12 destroy 20:10 12:14, 29:12, 32:15 destroy 19:10 destroy 20:10 12:14, 29:12, 32:15, 34:3, 34:11 32:15, 34:3, 34:11 destroy 19:10 destroy 19:10 destroy 20:12 destroy 19:10 destroy 20:12 destroy 20:12 destroy 19:10 destroy 20:12 destroy 20:12 destroy 20:12 destroy 20:12 destroy 20:12 destroy 20:12 determination 36:18 determination 3	71:15, 71:15	22:18, 23:1, 38:19	days 15:2, 15:8	deposition 104:18	doggone 10:10
considered 97:14 consist 6:7 75:19, 75:22, 76:2 76:10 16:19, 16:21 details 89:15 17:3, 17:13, 27:23 details 89:15 17:3, 39:18, 39:18 20:13 contact 48:12 contest 96:10 continue 19:11 20:13 continue 67:15 control 29:16, 42:4 61:7, 63:23, 92:21 controlled 50:10 50:24, 51:5, 82:19 control 29:15, 25:6, 82:19 control 29:16 control 29:16, 42:4 61:7, 63:23, 92:21 controlled 50:10 50:24, 51:5, 82:19 control 62:11 controversy 60:25 crowd 20:18, 59:10 control ed 50:10 20:12 control 29:16 control 29	consideration 11:18	67:17, 73:22, 74:5	15:11, 15:17, 15:18	describing 87:12	dogs 58:13, 59:16
consist 6:7 constantly 46:15 doi:10 contact 48:12 contest 96:10 continue 19:11 20:13 continue 67:15 control 29:16, 42:4 clif., 63:23, 92:21 controlled 50:10 50:24, 51:5, 82:19 controlled 50:10 50:24, 51:5, 82:19 controversy 60:25 conversation 82:7 cooperatively 87:4 coordinated 8:15 corn 26:3 corner 24:16 correct 64, 15:4 dis:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 drif. 105:21 corridor 4:21, 5:3 essential 2 deal 40:21, 42:24 determined 36:18 determined 4:18 determined	considerations 48:7	74:17, 74:25, 75:2	15:24, 16:14, 16:19	destroy 19:10	59:18
constantly 46:15 46:16 47:28, 81:6 46:21, 42:24 46:21, 42:24 48:13, 88:12 48:13, 88:21 48:13, 89:18 59:18, 69:24 55:18 48:13, 89:18 59:18, 59:14 48:13, 88:21 48:13, 89:18 59:18, 59:14 48:13, 89:18 49:13, 89:18 49:18, 89:12 48:13, 89:12 48:13, 89:18 49:18, 69:24 49:18, 69:42 49:18, 69:13 49:18, 69:13 49:18, 69:13 49:18, 69:13 49:18, 69:13 49:18, 69:13 49:18, 69:13 49:18, 69:13 49:18, 69:13	considered 97:14	75:19, 75:22, 76:2	16:19, 16:19, 16:21	destroyed 19:2	
46:16 contact 48:12 71:5, 71:17 contacts 14:12 contest 96:10 71:24 56:2 delaling 23:16, 24:3 56:2 delabate 69:4 developing 52:17 decision 62:11 control 29:16, 42:4 control 29:16, 42:16 control 29	consist 6:7	76:10, 76:12, 76:21	17:3, 17:13, 27:23	details 89:15	12:14, 29:12, 32:15
contact 48:12			, ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
contacts 14:12 contest 96:10 71:24 56:22 86:14, 87:23, 98:23 create 98:13 create 98:13 create 98:13 created 98:17 controlled 67:15 controlled 50:10 50:24, 51:5, 82:19 controlled 50:10 conversation 82:7 cooperatively 87:4 coordinated 8:15 corn 26:3 corner 24:16 corner 24:16 corner 24:16 corner 24:16 corner 24:16 correct 64, 15:4, 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 29; 39:8 47:6, 105:10 correctly 4:17, 32:14 39:5 cost 19:1 country 18:2, 19:25 corridor 4:21, 5:3 6:8 19:1 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:22, 78:33 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:23, 78:32 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:23, 78:32 country 51:5, 55:6, 11:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 country 5:5, 5:5, 6i, 11:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 country 5:5, 5:5, 6i, 11:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:18 country 51:9 country 5:5, 5:5, 6i, 11:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:18 country 51:9 country 5:5, 5:5, 6i, 11:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:18 country 51:9 and 92:23 damn 19:5, 19:25 70:18, 10:18 couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 couple 37:21, 38:22 73:	•	-	-	-	
contest 96:10 continue 19:11 continued 67:15 control 29:16, 42:4 61:7, 63:23, 92:21 controlled 50:10 50:24, 51:5, 82:19 controls 62:11 controls 62:11 controls 62:11 controls 62:11 controls 62:11 controls 62:11 control 29:4, 51:5, 82:19 control 29:4, 51:5, 82:19 control 29:16, 42:4 controls 62:11 controls 62:11 controls 62:11 controls 62:11 controls 62:11 controls 62:11 control 29:4, 51:5, 82:19 control 29:4, 51:5, 82:19 control 29:4, 51:5, 82:19 control 29:4, 51:5, 82:19 control 29:18 control 29:18, 63:23 conversation 82:7 cooperatively 87:4 coordinated 8:15 corn 26:3 corne 24:16 correct 6:4, 15:4 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:10 correctly 4:17, 32:14 39:5 correctly 8:13 correctly 8:15:8, 105:2 correctly 8:13 correctly 8:15:8, 105:2 correctly 8:16 correctly 8:17 correctly 8:18 correctly 8			· ·	· ·	
continue 19:11 20:13 20:13 20:13 20:13 20:13 20:13 20:14 20:15 20:16 20:16, 42:4 61:7, 63:23, 92:21 20:17 20:17 20:18 27:18 27:18 27:19 20:17 20:18 27:18 27:18 27:19 20:18 27:18 27:19 20		Y		-	
20:13 continued 67:15 control 29:16, 42:4 61:7, 63:23, 92:21 controlled 50:10 50:24, 51:5, 82:19 controls 62:11 controversy 60:25 conversation 82:7 cooperatively 87:4 coordinated 8:15 corn 26:3 cornect 64, 15:4 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:10 correctly 4:17, 32:14 39:5 corridor 4:21, 5:3 6:8 cost 19:1 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 Dake 38:4, 90:9 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 Dake 38:4, 90:9 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 Dake 38:4, 90:9 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 Dake 38:4, 90:9 Country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 70:18, 101:18 Country 18:2, 19:25 40ecade 15:0, 42:14 4evelopte 55:1 4evelopte 5:1 4evelopte 55:1 4evelopte 55:1 4evelopte 55:1 4evelopte 55:1 4evelopte 5:1 4evelopte 55:1 4evelopte 5:1 4ev	1				, ,
continued 67:15 created 98:17 December 1:4, 2:4 develop 5:18 dollar 14:4, 42:8 dollar 14:4, 42:8 42:18, 42:19, 59:22 dollars 60:3, 60:11 doceimated 5:9 doevlopment 5:19 36:13, 50:11 dovelopment 5:19 38:124, 82:15, 94:2 48:124 81:14, 81:24 81:24, 82:15, 94:2 40:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 10:11 40:18, 10:11 40:18, 10:11 40:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:18, 42:19, 59:22 40:18, 42:18, 42:19, 59:22	1		deals 22:8		· ·
control 29:16, 42:4 61:7, 63:23, 92:21 controlled 50:10 50:24, 51:5, 82:19 controls 62:11 controversy 60:25 conversation 82:7 cooperatively 87:4 coordinated 8:15 corne 24:16 correct 6:4, 15:4 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:10 correctly 4:17, 32:14 39:5 corridor 4:21, 5:3 6:8 cost 19:1 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 70:18, 109:2 105:16 deciding 95:17 decimated 56:9 decision 36:22 decision 36:22 decision's 73:9 decisions - Rakers decision's 73:9 decisions - Rakers 95:17 decisions 68:17 decisions - Rakers 95:17 deci				_	
61:7, 63:23, 92:21 controlled 50:10 S7:13 critical 70:20 decision 36:22 developing 55:25 devel	1			_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
controlled 50:10 50:24, 51:5, 82:19 controls 62:11 controversy 60:25 conversation 82:7 cooperatively 87:4 coordinated 8:15 corne 24:16 correct 6:4, 15:4 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:10 correctly 4:17, 32:14 39:5 decimated 56:9 decision 36:22 69:15, 100:1, 100:5 decisions 73:9 decisions 68:17 decisions 69:17 decisions 69:17 decisions 69:17 decisions 69:17	•				
So:24, 51:5, 82:19 critical 70:20 crop 57:6 crop 67:6 crop 57:6 crop 67:6 crop 57:6 cr			÷		· '
controls 62:11 controversy 60:25 conversation 82:7 cooperatively 87:4 coordinated 8:15 corn 26:3 corner 24:16 correct 6:4, 15:4 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:10 correctly 4:17, 32:14 39:5 corridor 4:21, 5:3 6:8 cost 19:1 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 counts 91:9 counts 91:9 counts 91:9 counts 5:5, 5, 6, 11:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 County 5:5, 5; 6, 51:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:18 country 18:2, 19:25 doesison's 73:9 decision's 73:9 decision-makers 95:17 decision-makers 95:17 decisions 68:17 dieded 85:3 different 21:12 difficult 11:23 di	•				-
controversy 60:25 conversation 82:7 cooperatively 87:4 coordinated 8:15 corn 26:3 corner 24:16 correct 6:4, 15:4		•		_	
conversation 82:7 cooperatively 87:4 coordinated 8:15 corn 26:3 corn 26:3 corner 24:16 correct 6:4, 15:4 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:21 current 27:10, 62:23 deed 85:3 deed 85:3 deed 85:3 deed 85:3 deed 85:6 23:4, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:10 currently 6:13 currently 6:13 deed 6:16 deem 6:16 39:2 deem 6:13, 32:22 32:3, 89:24, 92:2 cornidor 4:21, 5:3 6:8 cost 19:1 counsel's 102:19 count 20:22 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 country 18:2, 19:25 70:18	•				
cooperatively 87:4 coordinated 8:15 corn 26:3 corner 24:16 corner 24:16 curp 97:4 curjosity 7:21, 61:18 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:10 correctly 4:17, 32:14 39:5 corridor 4:21, 5:3 6:8 cost 19:1 counsel's 102:19 count 20:22 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 counts 91:9 counts 91:9 counts 91:9 counts 91:9 counts 91:9 count 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 70:18, 10:18 couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 decision-makers 95:17 7:8, 16:4, 76:19 dictating 61:14 died 90:1 draw 70:14, 80:24 draw 70:14, 80:24 difference 54:23 di		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
coordinated 8:15 CSR 1:8, 105:2 95:17 7:8, 16:4, 76:19 87:7 draft 49:3, 96:2 40 carbon 62:14 decisions 68:17 68:17 dictating 61:14 61:14 draft 49:3, 96:2 drawn 70:14, 80:24 87:7 drawn 70:14, 80:24 87:7 drawn 70:14, 80:24 87:1 drawn 70:14, 80:23 difference 54:23 difference 54:23 director 47:20 48:14 47:14 48:7 48:14 48:14 48:14 48:14 48:14 48:1					
corn 26:3 los:21 decisions 68:17 dictating 61:14 draft 49:3, 96:2 draw 70:14, 80:24 correct 6:4, 15:4 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 current 27:10, 62:23 deed 85:3 difference 54:23 difference 54:23 difference 54:23 draw 70:14, 80:24 81:4 draw 70:14, 80:24 draw 70:14, 80:24 81:4 draw 70:14, 80:23 81:4 draw 17:12:12 81:12 42:13 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>					-
corner 24:16 cup 97:4 decoys 6:18, 56:21 died 90:1 draw 70:14, 80:24 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:10 63:5, 75:11 deeded 85:3 deeded 85:3 difference 54:23 drawn 50:19, 51:9 51:13, 51:14 drawn 70:14, 80:24 81:4 drawn 50:19, 51:9 51:13, 51:14 drawn 50:19, 51:9 51:13, 51:14 drawn 70:14, 80:24 81:4 drawn 50:19, 51:9 51:13, 51:14 drawn 70:14, 80:24 81:4 drawn 50:19, 51:9 51:13, 51:14 driving 23:19, 32:9 62:24, 52:5, 57:13, 79:24 66:25, 57:13, 79:24 66:25, 57:13, 79:24 66:25, 57:13, 79:24 66:25, 57:13, 79:24 66:61, 65:6 82:15, 99:1, 99:4 99:8, 100:23, 100:24 100:17					
correct 6:4, 15:4 curiosity 7:21, 61:18 58:13, 59:16, 59:18 difference 54:23 81:4 15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 63:5, 75:11 deed 85:3 different 21:12 31:22, 32:20, 36:19 51:13, 51:14 drawn 50:19, 51:9 51:13, 51:14 drive 75:22 driving 23:19, 33:9 driving 23:19, 33:9 drop 4:12, 12:15 DU 18:21 Dual 15:20 duck 10:10, 14:20 100:17 ducks 6:19, 18:13 18:19, 18:20, 34:21 100:17 ducks 6:19, 18:13 18:19, 18:20, 34:21 103:11, 103:23 diligence 102:12 45:22, 49:17, 49:18 54:21, 55:2, 74:3 dudes 95:6 due 102:12 45:22, 49:17, 49:18 54:21, 55:2, 74:3 dudes 95:6 due 102:12 <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	1	.			
15:13, 15:14, 20:16 22:2, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:10 currently 6:13 deeded 85:6 deemed 6:16 36:21, 42:19, 48:7 56:25, 57:13, 79:24 deemed 6:16 deemed 6:16 32:23, 89:24, 92:2 32:23, 89:24, 92:2 defend 5:10, 65:6 scott 19:1 counsel's 102:19 count 20:22 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 counts 91:9 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 Dale 89:4, 90:9 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 To:18, 101:18 couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 deeded 85:3 deeded 85:6 deemed 6:16 deem	1	. ~			
22:2, 22:9, 39:8 47:6, 105:10 currently 6:13 12:21, 19:13, 28:10 36:13, 70:15, 72:24 39:5 corridor 4:21, 5:3 6:8 cost 19:1 counsel's 102:19 count 20:22 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 counts 91:9 counts 91:9 counts 91:9 count 20:23 damn 19:5, 19:25 damn 19:	•				
47:6, 105:10 correctly 4:17, 32:14 deemed 6:16 36:21, 42:19, 48:7 drive 75:22 39:5 36:13, 70:15, 72:24 32:23, 89:24, 92:2 56:25, 57:13, 79:24 32:31, 79:15 32:19, 33:9 corridor 4:21, 5:3 cutting 32:14 92:6 102:3 drop 4:12, 12:15 DU 18:21 cost 19:1 counsel's 102:19 82:15, 99:1, 99:4 38:18, 57:15, 100:12 Dual 15:20 country 18:2, 19:25 dad 55:3, 72:13 99:8, 100:23, 100:24 100:17 duck 10:10, 14:20 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 dad's 7:7 dad's 7:7 defendable 43:18 diligence 102:12 45:22, 49:17, 49:18 72:25, 78:3 Dakota 44:19 Dale 89:4, 90:9 Dale 89:4, 90:9 defending 64:17 43:13 dudes 95:6 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 damn 19:5, 19:25 defensible 22:16 director 2:14, 95:18 70:18, 101:18 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4					
correctly 4:17, 32:14 12:21, 19:13, 28:10 deer 6:13, 32:22 56:25, 57:13, 79:24 driving 23:19, 33:9 39:5 36:13, 70:15, 72:24 22:3, 89:24, 92:2 32:23, 89:24, 92:2 36:25, 57:13, 79:24 drop 4:12, 12:15 corridor 4:21, 5:3 cutting 32:14 p 32:23, 89:24, 92:2 36:25, 57:13, 79:24 drop 4:12, 12:15 cost 19:1 p defend 5:10, 65:6 difficult 11:23 38:18, 57:15, 100:12 Dual 15:20 counts 20:22 dad 55:3, 72:13 99:8, 100:23, 100:24 100:17 ducks 6:19, 18:13 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 dad's 7:7 Dakota 44:19 103:24 diligence 102:12 45:22, 49:17, 49:18 72:25, 78:3 Dale 89:4, 90:9 Dale 89:4, 90:9 Dam 92:23 defending 64:17 43:13 dudes 95:6 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 damn 19:5, 19:25 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 22:19, 27:7, 63:9 director 2:14, 95:18 E 70:18, 101:18 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4		1		1 ' '	1 '
39:5 corridor 4:21, 5:3 6:8 cost 19:1 counsel's 102:19 county 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 counts 91:9 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 70:18, 101:18 couple 37:21, 38:22 36:13, 70:15, 72:24 cutting 32:14 32:23, 89:24, 92:2 92:6 defend 5:10, 65:6 defend 5:10, 65:6 82:15, 99:1, 99:4 99:8, 100:23, 100:24 100:17 dig 43:1 dilemma 59:7 diligence 102:12 diminishing 19:13 direction 105:9 director 2:14, 95:18 directors 24:18 disabled 12:4		. *			
corridor 4:21, 5:3 cutting 32:14 92:6 102:3 difficult 11:23 Dual 15:20 cost 19:1 D 82:15, 99:1, 99:4 38:18, 57:15, 100:12 Dual 15:20 counsel's 102:19 dad 55:3, 72:13 99:8, 100:23, 100:24 dig 43:1 ducks 6:19, 18:13 country 18:2, 19:25 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 72:25, 78:3 Dakota 44:19 defendable 43:18 dilligence 102:12 45:22, 49:17, 49:18 counts 91:9 Dale 89:4, 90:9 Dale 89:4, 90:9 defending 64:17 43:13 dudes 95:6 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 defensible 22:16 direction 105:9 due 102:12 70:18, 101:18 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 22:19, 27:7, 63:9 directors 24:18 E couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4		1	The state of the s		_
defend 5:10, 65:6 defend 5:10, 65:6 defend 5:10, 65:6 difficult 11:23 38:18, 57:15, 100:12 duck 10:10, 14:20 duc				1 ' '	I
cost 19:1 D 82:15, 99:1, 99:4 38:18, 57:15, 100:12 duck 10:10, 14:20 counter 20:22 dad 55:3, 72:13 99:8, 100:23, 100:24 100:17 dig 43:1 ducks 6:19, 18:13 country 18:2, 19:25 99:1 defendable 43:18 dilemma 59:7 diligence 102:12 45:22, 49:17, 49:18 72:25, 78:3 Dakota 44:19 Dale 89:4, 90:9 defending 64:17 diminishing 19:13 54:21, 55:2, 74:3 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 defensible 22:16 direction 105:9 due 102:12 70:18, 101:18 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 22:19, 27:7, 63:9 directors 24:18 E couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4	■ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cutting 32:14			
counsel's 102:19 99:8, 100:23, 100:24 100:17 14:21, 16:19 count 20:22 dad 55:3, 72:13 90:1 dig 43:1 ducks 6:19, 18:13 country 18:2, 19:25 90:1 defendable 43:18 dilemma 59:7 18:19, 18:20, 34:21 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 dad's 7:7 103:11, 103:23 diligence 102:12 45:22, 49:17, 49:18 72:25, 78:3 Dakota 44:19 103:24 diminishing 19:13 54:21, 55:2, 74:3 counts 91:9 Dale 89:4, 90:9 defending 64:17 43:13 dudes 95:6 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 64:19, 100:25 direction 105:9 due 102:12 70:18, 101:18 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 22:19, 27:7, 63:9 directors 24:18 E couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4	1				
count 20:22 dad 55:3, 72:13 104:7 dig 43:1 ducks 6:19, 18:13 country 18:2, 19:25 90:1 defendable 43:18 dilemma 59:7 18:19, 18:20, 34:21 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 dad's 7:7 103:11, 103:23 diligence 102:12 45:22, 49:17, 49:18 72:25, 78:3 Dakota 44:19 defending 64:17 diminishing 19:13 54:21, 55:2, 74:3 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 defending 64:17 direction 105:9 due 102:12 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 damn 19:5, 19:25 defensible 22:16 director 2:14, 95:18 directors 24:18 70:18, 101:18 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 22:19, 27:7, 63:9 directors 24:18 E couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4	1	D			-
country 18:2, 19:25 90:1 defendable 43:18 dilemma 59:7 18:19, 18:20, 34:21 49:18, 65:24, 68:18 dad's 7:7 103:11, 103:23 diligence 102:12 45:22, 49:17, 49:18 72:25, 78:3 Dakota 44:19 103:24 diminishing 19:13 54:21, 55:2, 74:3 counts 91:9 Dale 89:4, 90:9 defending 64:17 43:13 dudes 95:6 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 defensible 22:16 direction 105:9 due 102:12 70:18, 101:18 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 22:19, 27:7, 63:9 directors 24:18 E couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4	1	ded 55:2 72:12	1		•
49:18, 65:24, 68:18 dad's 7:7 103:11, 103:23 diligence 102:12 45:22, 49:17, 49:18 72:25, 78:3 Dakota 44:19 103:24 diminishing 19:13 54:21, 55:2, 74:3 counts 91:9 Dale 89:4, 90:9 defending 64:17 43:13 dudes 95:6 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 defensible 22:16 direction 105:9 director 2:14, 95:18 70:18, 101:18 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 22:19, 27:7, 63:9 directors 24:18 E couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
72:25, 78:3 Dakota 44:19 103:24 diminishing 19:13 54:21, 55:2, 74:3 counts 91:9 Dale 89:4, 90:9 defending 64:17 43:13 dudes 95:6 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 defensible 22:16 direction 105:9 due 102:12 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 22:19, 27:7, 63:9 directors 24:18 E couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4	1 •				
counts 91:9 Dale 89:4, 90:9 defending 64:17 43:13 dudes 95:6 county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 defending 64:19, 100:25 direction 105:9 due 102:12 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 damn 19:5, 19:25 defensible 22:16 director 2:14, 95:18 70:18, 101:18 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 22:19, 27:7, 63:9 directors 24:18 E couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4		ľ	•	_	1
county 5:5, 5:6, 11:3 Dam 92:23 64:19, 100:25 direction 105:9 due 102:12 23:10, 28:13, 57:19 damn 19:5, 19:25 defensible 22:16 director 2:14, 95:18 70:18, 101:18 20:1, 24:7, 71:23 22:19, 27:7, 63:9 directors 24:18 E couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4	-				
23:10, 28:13, 57:19 damn 19:5, 19:25 defensible 22:16 director 2:14, 95:18 directors 24:18 couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4 E				1	
70:18, 101:18	· ·		•		une 102:12
couple 37:21, 38:22 73:5, 97:18 100:9, 101:4, 101:16 disabled 12:4			1		¥**
		•	1		<u>E</u>
ulsagice 60.22 t-man 21.19, 64.2	_ ·	1	l .		e-mail 21·19 84·2
	00,20,07,10	dangerous one	101.17, 101.24	disugite 00.22	1 - India 21.17, 07.2

00 4 00 5 00 0	46 12 64 04 72 12	40.04.07.05.00.4	62 1 52 5	m (104 d10 d10
98:4, 98:5, 98:9	46:13, 64:24, 72:19	49:24, 87:25, 99:4	fighting 67:1, 77:5	fix 61:24, 71:2, 71:9
98:18, 98:19	92:18	103:10	fights 40:19, 40:20	103:21
e-mailing 98:18	entirely 38:7	facts 73:8	figure 22:19, 22:24	flag 66:18
e-mails 25:15	entity 12:6	fair 40:18, 81:8	23:2, 62:18, 66:6	flashed 33:6
earlier 12:2, 36:12	Environmental	81:10, 89:22	81:4, 91:14, 94:6	flashes 32:7
102:2	47:24	fairly 11:20, 36:14	103:3, 104:2	fleeting 23:16
early 97:22	EPA 83:25	36:15, 77:13, 99:23	file 89:2	flip 76:7
easier 37:7, 58:24	equally 97:14	falling 76:17	filed 89:14, 89:18	flood 70:18, 70:24
101:7	equipment 11:20	Falls 2:3, 23:20	89:19	71:24
east 2:11, 13:11	especially 41:21	78:17	finally 85:18	fly 46:23
19:1, 28:3, 50:18	43:6, 44:2	familiar 11:15	financial 31:7	flyaway 49:11
eastern 44:22, 80:9	establish 75:14	11:19, 23:9, 35:9	financially 105:13	flying 52:13
80:22	established 75:15	family 32:25, 41:3	find 10:8, 20:13	focus 13:23
easy 33:11, 62:18	eventually 94:13	61:1	27:20, 52:12, 52:15	focused 12:7, 12:8
eat 33:3	94:16, 94:17	far 3:6, 28:18, 35:6	66:8	folks 89:19
eats 32:25	everybody 3:5, 5:2	40:2, 40:22, 43:15	finding 62:10, 93:24	follow 8:11, 9:10
economic 99:21	41:19, 43:2, 58:11	46:10, 46:11, 47:6	fine 43:3, 44:14	13:8, 48:22
economics 31:7	60:2, 61:17, 71:10	47:8, 52:8, 53:2	90:23	follow-up 8:22
economy 54:11	73:9, 82:23, 83:9	74:3, 79:6, 79:15	finger 80:6	13:19
57:16	94:19, 97:10	82:14, 98:3, 100:20	finish 48:4, 68:12	following 27:10
edge 74:1, 74:20	everybody's 39:7	farm 25:13, 26:5	fire 80:13	foot 52:12, 53:12
75:17	73:2	41:9, 71:23	first 4:9, 9:9, 11:9	foregoing 105:4
effect 75:10, 96:16	evidence 46:4	farmers 46:2	38:25, 39:10, 39:16	105:10
97:21	evolving 98:15	farms 32:19	40:23, 42:1, 51:24	forest 8:10, 8:23
effort 3:18, 43:22	exact 76:23, 78:20	Farris 17:22, 17:22	52:4, 78:8, 82:10	8:25, 9:4, 9:9, 9:16
eight 93:5	exactly 13:12, 13:25	20:20, 50:16, 50:22	84:18, 96:7	9:21, 37:23, 47:17
either 5:3, 11:2	15:1, 22:17, 26:11	70:12	Firth 10:19	48:1, 48:10, 48:20
21:12, 23:15, 45:11	100:25	favor 83:8, 94:24	fish 5:18, 16:4, 23:17	49:4, 84:24, 85:2
46:8, 63:6, 63:9	example 48:15	February 105:25	24:10, 26:9, 27:22	85:21, 85:23, 86:5
82:6, 95:7	74:24, 75:20, 80:2	federal 8:10, 11:2	28:6, 29:14, 29:15	87:11, 93:9
elderly 12:5	81:15, 81:21, 86:12	fee 32:8, 33:5, 42:13	29:19, 30:20, 34:14	forever 101:8
elected 69:16	exception 25:2	56:4, 60:13, 63:23	38:14, 39:5, 48:12	forget 7:13, 43:17
election 42:24	excited 3:6	64:22	51:18, 53:25, 55:2	46:7
eliminate 84:7	exclude 45:11	feel 4:19, 5:1, 5:1	55:5, 55:25, 59:2	forgot 31:18
elk 6:13, 32:22	exclusive 37:18	8:6, 33:14, 39:11	60:3, 67:11, 76:25	Fork 31:17, 34:6
32:23, 33:7, 33:7	Excuse 16:3	54:22, 65:20, 79:3	77:15, 79:9, 79:17	34:7, 35:17, 35:18
33:10, 56:9, 90:22	EXECUTIVE 2:14	88:14, 90:21, 95:23	80:4, 85:13, 85:13	46:16, 46:16, 46:22
else's 60:12, 102:20	existing 20:18, 67:7	97:25	85:14, 85:17, 87:4	67:11, 80:1, 80:3
Emmett 55:20	75:7	feeling 96:24	87:10, 87:15, 87:18	80:6, 81:1, 92:23
employed 47:25	expand 91:19, 91:20	feels 66:11, 97:1	87:19, 88:8, 89:18	93:4, 93:12
employee 105:13	91:21	fees 21:9, 41:17	90:21, 91:8, 91:10	formal 3:21
encourage 58:11	expecting 5:13	55:7, 56:13, 63:18	91:12, 91:23, 93:16	former 54:20, 67:14
58:19	experience 11:11	65:9, 66:18	fishermen 34:6	forth 41:6, 105:5
encouraged 54:18	89:25, 93:11	feet 4:16, 77:1, 77:9	57:20, 58:2	fortunate 49:23
ended 10:20, 41:8	expires 105:25	fellow 38:8	fishing 46:15, 46:22	forum 73:6
41:10, 85:1, 85:20	explained 13:21	fellows 44:7	56:16, 80:2, 91:21	forward 8:21, 14:7
86:3	Express 43:3	fence 73:1, 89:17	92:5, 93:1, 93:2	14:8, 37:7, 100:18
ends 92:2	EXTENSION 1:2	fences 32:14	93:3	103:4
enforce 28:9, 28:10	extreme 41:16	field 11:14, 12:11	fist 85:22, 86:6	fought 26:14, 65:1
86:11, 88:22	extremely 39:14	12:13, 21:14, 21:16	five 4:21, 21:9, 33:7	70:17
enforced 23:25, 91:2	January Jan	34:25, 40:15, 83:14	33:7, 34:25, 43:7	four 21:9, 28:2
enforcement 28:12	IF.	86:22, 88:1, 88:21	49:5, 68:15, 72:6	28:10, 30:2, 31:10
38:15, 86:19, 86:22	F	fields 21:10, 52:12	73:10, 73:11, 73:14	31:15, 34:25, 36:7
87:2, 87:3, 90:15	face 104:9	fifth 54:5	80:8, 80:21, 80:22	36:11, 36:13, 52:7
enjoy 52:16, 56:23	fact 41:18, 42:8	fight 20:2, 70:13	92:20	75:3
entire 16:2, 19:5	42:18, 46:9, 48:9	85:22, 86:7, 94:16	five-minute 73:18	fourth 31:18
	12.10, 40.7, 40.7	05.22, 00.7, 74.10	HYC-IIIIIIII /5.10	IOUICH JI.IU

foyer 26:8	garbage 83:14	24:15, 31:20, 38:20	10:13, 10:19, 12:4	governing 1:1, 3:12
freezer 32:24, 33:3	Gardner 2:9, 3:3	47:8, 49:6, 61:5	12:10, 12:13, 12:14	government 42:20
33:13	3:5, 3:9, 4:3, 4:8	72:1, 78:13, 84:17	13:10, 13:14, 13:15	61:21, 83:13, 83:22
Fremont 57:19	4:22, 5:12, 5:20	gentlemen 19:22	13:24, 14:6, 15:1	84:7
friend 34:20	5:22, 5:24, 7:9, 7:15	43:10, 53:7, 71:15	15:3, 18:10, 18:17	gracious 13:4
friends 13:11, 41:3	7:18, 9:25, 10:16	81:3, 82:20, 102:23	19:10, 19:21, 19:22	grandfather 72:14
42:2, 52:13, 52:14	10:24, 11:2, 11:7	getting 31:7, 49:24	20:6, 20:7, 20:9	grandfathered 35:8
54:9, 58:20, 73:3	12:20, 13:17, 16:5	55:16, 55:20, 65:3	21:9, 21:23, 21:25	75:13, 76:4
78:20, 88:21, 95:5	16:16, 16:18, 16:22	67:25, 68:5, 71:18	22:2, 22:3, 30:9	grasp 99:25, 100:10
95:7	17:11, 17:19, 20:15	76:16, 80:6, 81:23	30:14, 30:22, 30:23	great 34:24, 52:4
friendship 42:13	20:21, 21:3, 22:20	89:7, 97:12, 101:5	30:24, 31:12, 32:21	57:25, 58:16, 60:19
42:16	22:22, 24:15, 25:3	give 5:9, 29:9, 45:15	33:14, 33:17, 34:13	green 24:16, 31:20
front 4:5	26:1, 31:12, 31:19	45:16, 56:8, 57:9	36:17, 37:7, 37:8	84:17 C=== 10:1 10:6
frustrated 59:9	35:12, 35:24, 36:2 37:6, 38:20, 43:21	57:21, 68:16, 68:22	39:1, 39:12, 40:2 40:8, 40:16, 40:17	Greg 10:1, 10:6 10:6, 10:18, 11:1
frustrating 32:22 61:15	45:9, 47:4, 49:6	68:24, 69:9, 69:10 79:12, 79:19, 83:8	40:23, 41:8, 42:25	11:4, 11:22, 12:17
Fuchs 53:24, 53:24	50:20, 51:2, 51:12	86:12, 90:22, 91:16	44:18, 45:8, 46:10	12:23, 13:1, 20:25
59:21, 59:25, 63:6	51:14, 51:24, 53:20	95:18, 103:2	48:20, 52:18, 53:1	30:25, 31:5, 31:10
fuel 53:13	59:6, 60:21, 62:23	given 27:2, 91:13	54:3, 56:2, 56:14	68:2, 68:5, 68:11
full 100:16	63:4, 63:18, 65:7	93:10, 97:16, 98:19	56:23, 58:9, 68:7	68:22, 68:25, 69:8
full-time 87:7	66:14, 68:1, 68:3	102:22, 105:11	69:4, 69:6, 69:15	69:12, 70:6, 70:9
fun 32:24, 44:21	69:3, 69:25, 70:8	giving 57:8, 68:19	70:14, 70:23, 71:2	grew 55:22, 72:10
53:16, 53:17	72:1, 73:17, 74:24	69:1, 79:6	71:4, 71:8, 72:8	ground 22:4, 37:12
funnest 52:8	76:7, 76:14, 76:18	global 49:11	73:7, 75:5, 75:5	41:14, 41:15, 46:18
further 28:9, 93:8	76:25, 77:3, 77:22	go 3:20, 7:5, 8:12	76:16, 77:20, 78:7	47:14, 47:22, 55:9
105:12	78:1, 78:6, 79:17	ິ9:2, 9:5, 11:8, 13:21	78:21, 79:22, 79:25	55:11, 55:13, 55:15
future 19:23, 43:13	81:3, 83:16, 84:17	15:7, 15:16, 21:2	80:1, 80:3, 80:10	57:6, 59:25, 69:3
53:2, 53:3, 53:4	91:1, 91:4, 92:14	25:7, 26:17, 27:19	80:11, 80:12, 80:13	73:24, 73:24, 74:1
	93:3, 96:6, 97:25	29:19, 30:12, 32:23	80:25, 86:10, 88:22	74:2, 74:18, 74:21
G	98:14, 102:10	34:5, 36:17, 37:15	91:5, 91:20, 91:21	74:22, 77:9, 77:10
	102:18	39:11, 39:25, 41:1	91:22, 92:1, 92:5	97:5, 101:11, 101:14
gained 42:3	gate 71:24, 89:8	43:23, 44:15, 44:21	92:11, 94:1, 94:2	101:14
game 5:18, 6:13	89:16, 89:21	44:25, 45:2, 45:5	94:3, 94:16, 95:2	ground's 21:13
11:17, 12:16, 16:4	gates 70:18, 70:24	45:23, 48:5, 48:11	95:13, 95:14, 96:1	group 3:20, 14:11
23:17, 24:10, 25:22	gathered 73:9	56:20, 58:14, 59:16	96:1, 96:23, 96:24	24:22, 24:24, 25:6
26:9, 27:22, 28:7	Gaudio 5:23, 6:1	60:17, 63:20, 64:15	98:13, 98:24, 99:5	25:9, 25:10, 26:14
29:14, 29:15, 29:19	6:1, 6:6, 6:10, 6:21	66:1, 66:5, 69:15	99:22, 99:24, 100:2	28:19, 82:25, 83:2
30:20, 31:24, 31:25 32:5, 33:1, 33:1	7:5, 16:8, 21:1 22:15, 22:18, 23:1	69:21, 76:13, 77:8	100:5, 100:16 100:18, 100:22	groups 5:8, 28:19 28:23, 29:5, 30:7
33:2, 33:2, 34:7	38:19, 67:17, 73:22	78:22, 79:16, 82:24 83:7, 86:24, 87:19	101:10, 101:19	39:6, 99:14
34:10, 34:11, 38:14	74:5, 74:17, 74:25	89:17, 92:2, 93:13	101:21, 102:3	grow 19:20, 47:3
39:5, 40:18, 41:19	75:2, 75:19, 75:22	93:23, 95:22, 98:4	102:23, 102:24	growing 55:22
42:7, 48:12, 51:18	76:2, 76:10, 76:12	104:7	103:18, 104:4, 104:5	,
53:25, 55:5, 55:25	76:21, 77:8, 77:25	goal 62:9, 63:22	104:6, 104:7, 104:8	91:23
59:2, 59:24, 60:3	81:6	63:24	gold 71:18	guess 11:10, 39:19
60:5, 60:9, 60:14	geese 49:15, 49:16	gobbled 55:21	good 3:8, 14:13	40:21, 42:21, 43:12
60:20, 62:5, 70:21	general 11:21, 34:12	God 68:25, 71:23	17:10, 21:11, 24:7	43:14, 43:15, 47:7
76:25, 77:15, 79:7	64:4, 65:12, 66:21	goes 14:15, 60:4	27:18, 47:11, 55:11	49:12, 55:12, 58:25
79:9, 79:17, 80:17	93:20	71:5, 71:6, 71:7	59:17, 67:21, 68:17	62:21, 66:7, 66:18
84:12, 85:11, 85:13	Generally 11:12	71:7, 75:10, 80:13	72:20, 82:23, 87:20	81:23, 86:9, 94:11
85:14, 85:15, 85:17	92:14	91:24, 91:25, 94:1	90:18, 101:14	94:12
87:5, 87:10, 87:15	generate 70:7	100:3, 100:20, 101:8		guide 11:14, 11:23
87:18, 87:19, 88:8	generation 54:5	101:22	goose 14:20, 14:21	11:25, 13:15, 19:8
89:18, 90:22, 91:8	98:16	going 3:14, 5:13, 8:7	16:18	19:9, 19:24, 21:15
91:10, 91:12, 91:23	generations 61:1	8:18, 8:19, 8:21	gotten 43:8, 50:4	29:24, 35:16, 35:17
games 19:21	gentleman 21:3	9:18, 9:22, 10:10	93:15, 93:17	41:20, 47:22, 49:20
1 9		i e	•	

64:5, 64:23, 65:22	96:20, 96:22, 98:12	36:18, 37:6, 67:19	30:13, 36:1, 36:4	18:12, 18:18, 52:20
65:23, 66:3, 72:3	101:11, 101:23	69:13, 70:13, 91:1	36:8, 37:9, 37:14	53:3, 54:21, 58:8
80:6, 84:23, 84:24	104:1, 104:11	103:2	39:9, 40:6, 47:15	60:24, 62:4, 67:18
85:9, 86:2, 86:3	104:12	hearings 3:21, 61:22	47:23, 48:4, 65:16	71:7
86:7, 92:18, 93:24		86:24, 95:25	66:23, 67:5, 68:20	hunter-to-hunter
101:11	H	heavy 63:17	68:24, 69:10, 72:5	83:14
guided 12:8, 34:7		hell 24:13	84:13, 87:3, 87:11	hunters 5:8, 17:15
65:11, 65:19, 72:23	habit 39:2	help 12:1, 24:11	87:20, 88:3, 89:1	17:24, 18:25, 19:12
GUIDELINES 2:2	habitat 55:1, 55:9	72:19, 79:9	89:6, 89:10, 90:11	19:20, 20:19, 53:2
guides 2:15, 3:11	55:21, 55:24, 59:3	helped 25:14	91:17, 93:2, 93:5	58:2, 61:2, 62:1
11:6, 25:7, 26:9	70:20, 70:20	helpful 66:3	94:5, 95:12, 96:17	62:12, 70:25, 71:12
26:18, 27:6, 28:3	-	~	98:4, 98:8, 98:13	84:16, 92:1, 92:4
	half 33:11, 33:12	helping 5:18	•	
28:5, 28:8, 28:22	51:14, 72:5, 78:8	Hendricks 43:24	98:17, 99:9, 99:12	hunting 5:1, 7:20
29:18, 29:23, 30:11	87:6, 87:7	43:24, 45:15, 50:1	101:12, 102:15	9:5, 11:11, 11:12
42:3, 50:25, 53:5	hand 25:1, 39:23	60:6, 74:7	102:23, 103:7	11:15, 11:17, 12:14
53:8, 61:4, 61:8	89:17, 89:22, 90:18	Henry's 31:17	103:14	14:21, 14:21, 15:7
62:9, 66:7, 70:2	105:15	35:17	huge 18:7, 60:16	15:16, 16:18, 16:19
80:2, 80:22, 81:12	handful 71:10, 71:11	herd 56:9	human 77:3	17:25, 18:23, 19:2
84:4, 88:19, 92:10	handing 11:24	hey 11:25, 17:7	Hunsaker 2:19, 3:1	19:4, 19:17, 19:23
92:22, 93:12, 93:17	handled 88:1	40:17	4:18, 4:24, 5:15, 6:5	20:4, 20:5, 21:7
93:22, 97:22, 104:6	hands 20:23, 51:6	high 4:15, 7:1, 62:17	6:8, 6:11, 9:16, 15:5	22:4, 23:7, 25:19
guiding 6:17, 10:14	57:1	highly 5:1	15:12, 15:14, 15:20	25:22, 32:2, 32:5
12:3, 18:15, 28:10	happen 9:15, 13:25	Highway 4:13	15:22, 16:1, 16:9	34:24, 35:4, 41:16
28:13, 28:24, 30:13	18:1, 20:6, 20:7	hill 70:14, 71:23	47:16, 51:16, 53:9	42:15, 43:6, 44:3
47:9, 50:13, 84:8	24:1, 43:19, 49:4	Hills 44:20, 44:23	63:14, 64:2, 68:9	44:8, 44:9, 45:2
84:8, 88:7, 92:22	56:14, 61:14, 73:7	hip 5:13	74:4, 74:15, 75:1	45:6, 45:20, 45:24
99:7	78:25, 82:18, 94:13	hired 66:24, 87:6	75:7, 75:20, 75:24	46:15, 47:13, 52:5
Guiding's 12:3	95:19, 95:20, 96:23	hit 35:6, 76:17	76:4, 76:19, 76:23	52:20, 55:19, 56:10
gun 89:17	101:21	hold 13:6, 50:2, 81:5	77:11, 78:4, 79:8	56:12, 56:20, 58:12
gut 96:23	happened 13:12	102:7	86:12, 87:9, 87:21	58:13, 59:10, 60:17
guy 10:13, 14:7, 20:3	49:10, 70:15, 90:7	holders 31:11	88:18, 89:5, 90:9	62:3, 62:5, 62:17
24:16, 33:6, 34:19	94:14	holdings 21:12	90:14, 90:25, 91:3	64:8, 65:14, 66:5
35:3, 40:12, 40:14	happening 14:9	home 73:5, 83:7	96:21, 101:1, 101:15	66:10, 67:15, 70:19
47:1, 51:24, 60:9	80:20, 83:10, 94:4	honest 43:3, 43:14	104:14	71:5, 71:6, 71:7
60:9, 85:20, 85:21	happens 8:9, 37:17	46:18, 78:7, 102:23	hunt 13:2, 13:14	71:16, 71:20, 72:15
85:23, 87:7, 88:6	49:4, 58:17, 73:6	honestly 40:2, 45:19	14:7, 14:14, 17:7	73:13, 75:25, 80:10
88:7	100:21	65:4, 82:21, 104:16	19:2, 19:3, 19:8	85:19, 89:25, 90:3
guy's 7:3	happy 69:17	hoops 48:3	21:18, 33:11, 34:21	97:8, 104:5
guys 10:12, 14:11	hard 28:23, 39:15	hope 9:13, 40:7, 40:8	35:11, 40:25, 41:2	hunts 34:7, 50:24
17:1, 17:7, 18:4	45:25, 53:15, 78:24	40:9, 73:8	41:4, 41:12, 42:16	51:5
22:18, 24:25, 26:13	harder 22:2	hopefully 98:20	43:5, 45:8, 47:3	hurt 44:8, 44:9
26:16, 27:3, 30:5	harvest 27:22, 28:6	horns 59:7	47:22, 49:15, 50:10	
39:7, 39:21, 40:3	hate 14:17	horseback 9:18	52:14, 53:7, 55:2	I
40:9, 40:17, 40:24	hay 26:4	horses 18:2	55:2, 55:3, 59:17	
41:11, 41:23, 42:22	hazardous 64:5	hot 18:8, 90:7	60:11, 62:2, 63:19	Idaho 1:1, 2:1, 2:3
43:17, 44:6, 45:25	he'll 68:23	house 7:7	70:22, 78:9, 97:3	2:3, 2:6, 2:12, 2:15
53:22, 54:22, 55:8	head 25:17, 30:15	Howard 2:14, 7:8	97:5	2:17, 3:10, 3:13
56:22, 57:8, 59:1	32:21	7:10, 7:17, 7:23	hunted 12:16, 21:10	7:24, 14:19, 14:20
72:11, 75:4, 78:4	heads 20:15, 57:23	10:5, 11:8, 12:1	42:11, 44:10, 44:19	18:5, 18:15, 19:8
79:14, 81:17, 81:21	health 64:3	12:21, 12:25, 15:9	44:22, 45:18, 46:25	19:17, 19:23, 23:8
82:8, 83:1, 83:8	hear 3:15, 3:21, 4:4	16:3, 16:7, 16:17	49:9, 49:22, 50:18	23:20, 24:2, 24:19
84:5, 84:10, 86:10	24:15, 27:11, 54:19	16:23, 17:3, 17:9	62:2, 73:14, 78:16	25:11, 28:3, 28:3
86:11, 86:21, 87:8	55:8, 60:25, 62:1	22:6, 22:10, 22:17	78:17, 78:18	29:11, 30:3, 30:13
89:3, 90:19, 91:12	heard 40:2, 56:2	22:21, 22:24, 23:3	hunter 15:2, 15:7	31:16, 34:24, 38:13
92:21, 93:16, 93:16	79:22	25:17, 26:8, 27:12	15:8, 15:11, 15:17	38:14, 44:10, 44:16
95:8, 95:13, 96:15	hearing 1:2, 2:1, 3:2	27:13, 27:15, 27:18	16:19, 16:21, 17:13	45:5, 45:18, 46:12
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>

47:14, 48:12, 48:17 9:14, 9:24, 38:17 Joe-farmer 11:24 50:3, 51:25, 52:5 85:8, 101:6 50:9, 50:11, 55:4 initiated 25:5 Josh 52:2, 52:2 54:9, 54:15, 54:23 large 35:11 55:12, 56:23, 60:2 initiating 8:22, 67:2 53:10, 77:2, 91:19 56:8, 57:3, 57:3 largest 31:2	
55:12. 56:23. 60:2 initiating 8:22. 67:2 53:10. 77:2. 91:19 56:8. 57:3. 57:3 largest 31:2	
	4
62:14, 64:19, 67:14 Inn 2:2 judged 62:25 57:17, 62:3, 62:7 lately 39:2	
70:1, 71:2, 71:16 input 3:14, 5:17 jump 7:11, 48:2 66:6, 71:19, 72:2 law 38:15, 6	55:5
72:23, 78:17, 80:9 5:17, 28:25, 29:2 jurisdiction 84:4 72:22, 72:25, 73:6 69:10, 88:2	.2, 99:11
80:22, 84:4, 84:6 29:7, 29:8, 29:15 justifiable 23:23 73:13, 73:15, 73:24 102:5, 103:	11
84:8, 84:25, 86:17 29:20, 31:19, 77:23 justify 29:12, 36:20 74:19, 75:4, 75:24 103:12	
92:22, 97:17, 105:24 96:13, 97:11, 97:13 37:2, 46:20 77:1, 78:25, 79:7 lawsuit 22:5	5, 22:9
Idaho's 56:24 100:19, 102:21 82:9, 88:12, 88:24 63:2, 64:15	, 64:20
Idahoan 54:5 inside 10:11 K 89:23, 90:6, 90:11 65:6, 67:7,	67:9
Idahoans 24:1 instance 17:14 90:12, 90:24, 91:9 67:13, 82:1	.0
IDAPA 1:1 36:24 KATHY 60:23, 63:2 91:10, 92:11, 93:15 lawsuits 65	:1, 65:4
idea 17:23, 20:11 instances 34:5 63:11, 63:16, 63:21 94:14, 94:25, 95:15 66:17, 67:1	
67:21, 91:7 37:21, 42:11, 57:11 65:14, 65:18 95:21, 96:18, 98:20 lead 8:11	
identified 36:5 instructions 98:3 keel 81:9 99:5, 99:9, 100:1 Leadore 88	:6, 88:7
45:10, 61:23, 85:3 instrumental 49:24 keep 22:12, 26:15 100:4, 100:25 88:8	ŕ
idiots 70:18 insurance 41:7, 41:9 36:9, 63:25, 65:3 101:23 learn 18:5,	52:11
ignorant 65:25 intense 5:1, 45:20 77:11, 83:8, 85:7 knowledge 39:7 learned 52:	
ignored 29:1, 29:3 45:25 96:15 knows 49:5, 101:12 lease 14:2	
29:7, 29:8, 97:20 interest 95:5 keeping 33:23, 34:2 leased 21:14	4, 21:16
97:20 interested 11:5 Kent 49:8, 49:8 L 73:24, 74:3	-
ii 4:12 99:22, 100:12 50:3, 51:22, 74:6 74:21	1
illegal 38:11, 38:12 105:14 74:9, 74:14 lady 79:23 leases 45:3	
impact 18:7, 44:7 interests 96:9 kept 21:22, 39:17 land 6:3, 6:9, 8:24 leave 40:17	, 57:25
implement 86:10 Interruption 67:3 81:15, 81:16 10:14, 13:6, 13:15 73:5	,
implemented 62:25 investigate 38:16 key 31:23 13:22, 14:1, 14:8 left 51:20, 5	55:15
impose 83:23 investment 18:13 kicked 80:12, 94:3 14:14, 21:21, 21:24 legal 27:6,	
imposing 63:22 invitation 8:15 kid 14:8 25:5, 25:24, 26:4 82:9	
in-depth 24:23 involved 87:15 kids 19:20, 53:4 33:20, 33:21, 34:18 legally 22:1	6, 22:19
inappropriate 9:8 involving 88:18 58:7, 58:11 35:25, 37:18, 37:18 23:25, 27:3	
50:24 IOGA 67:24 killed 90:5 37:20, 37:23, 38:1 99:4, 99:8,	
include 37:12, 74:13 ISCAP 29:4 kind 3:19, 5:7, 10:22 38:2, 43:8, 44:16 100:23, 10	
increase 18:17 island 77:9 11:4, 11:5, 12:15 44:20, 47:18, 59:12 legislature	
29:25 islands 7:1 24:4, 37:25, 39:11 60:7, 60:9, 61:10 64:3, 100:4	
increased 20:17 issue 3:2, 10:23 44:2, 44:23, 45:2 61:11, 61:13, 62:8 100:20	.,
incremental 19:16 23:15, 28:24, 29:2 47:7, 49:24, 54:10 63:8, 63:13, 63:19 legs 68:15	
independent 29:11 67:8, 101:20 54:20, 61:5, 61:6 70:25, 75:18, 81:5 letter 29:6	
indicate 88:1 issues 23:17, 28:18 63:23, 66:11, 81:24 83:20, 89:9 Lewiston 4	8:18
individuals 25:12 48:14, 98:1 83:11 land's 21:11 license 31:	
28:13, 30:7, 67:4 knew 32:12, 34:19 landowner 6:23 37:11, 56:	
inevitable 73:8 y knock 42:25 12:6, 22:3, 24:6 64:23, 75:	
influence 95:22 know 3:20, 5:4, 6:22 25:25, 32:3, 32:17 76:5, 86:13	•
information 5:7	
25:1, 26:7, 26:16 36:2, 37:6 13:8, 14:5, 14:19 63:7, 63:11, 63:24 licensed 19	
26:25, 27:1, 27:20 January 92:3 16:13, 18:7, 23:6 63:25, 65:9 35:8, 36:14	
27:25, 28:6, 28:21	
29:10, 30:1, 30:6 22:8, 22:11 28:12, 29:22, 30:2 landowners 11:6 74:4, 74:6	-
30:9, 68:14, 68:19 jerk 42:23 32:3, 32:12, 32:18 11:13, 13:3, 14:13 75:24, 84:	
68:22, 68:24, 69:1 jerked 86:16 32:25, 34:5, 34:22 21:8, 21:11, 22:1 licenses 11	
69:9, 69:14, 79:6 jet 80:5, 93:16 35:2, 35:2, 38:7 24:2, 28:20, 30:8 26:24, 74:	
88:3, 89:2, 97:15 93:20 39:5, 39:13, 40:3 32:4, 32:12, 41:11 91:13	_ U, UU. U
98:18, 98:24, 102:8 job 41:8, 55:11, 59:2 41:11, 41:23, 41:23 41:15, 45:2, 46:2 Licensing 3	2:2. 2:15
inholdings 10:7 72:20, 73:16, 90:20 42:13, 43:9, 43:18 52:15, 61:6, 61:12 3:11, 25:8	
10:24 jobs 41:22 44:12, 45:21, 45:22 64:14, 83:20, 99:17 26:18, 27:	-
	2, 30:11
initiate 7:20, 8:4 Joe 42:7 46:15, 46:20, 49:10 lands 7:20, 38:10 28:9, 28:2	_,

	I
88:20	
lied 57:13]
life 46:13, 54:6, 62:3]
72:23	
liked 93:18, 93:18	
limb 40:8	
limit 6:12, 21:25	Ι.
22:2, 45:22, 50:25	
57:5, 64:19, 75:8 75:10, 83:24	
limitations 8:16	l ,
limited 4:14, 5:11	
6:6, 6:16, 12:4, 14:3	
24:13, 36:15, 45:12]
50:12, 51:1, 93:6	
limiting 17:6, 57:6]
limits 6:14, 8:2, 8:19	
55:20, 100:9	
Lindsay 2:3	
line 74:17, 80:25 81:4, 84:21	
list 45:9, 77:19	
98:10, 98:11, 98:18	
listen 95:1, 104:16	
listening 30:17	İ
30:23, 59:8	
litany 66:19	
literally 40:20	
litigation 66:17	l
little 3:19, 3:23, 4:5 14:11, 21:19, 25:19	١
33:6, 35:18, 42:4	
61:3, 61:18, 67:18	
77:5, 82:9, 93:18	
94:2, 94:11, 94:12	
98:21	Ì
live 13:11, 23:8 57:19	
lived 19:1, 54:5, 71:3	
71:3, 72:22, 72:23	
73:3	L
lives 60:2	
living 41:21, 56:10	F
81:14	
local 21:16, 38:15 87:5, 87:10	
locally 70:22	
lock 89:20	١
Locked 89:16	l
locking 89:8	l
Lodge 31:17	
long 30:10, 30:22	
41:1, 42:12, 54:21	
71:2, 81:8, 81:9 101:10, 101:15	
long-standing 42:14	
-vas vanianis isiti	

Long-term 71:9 longer 72:12, 86:15 look 10:9, 10:13 13:14, 17:9, 47:9 52:21, 52:23, 74:15 77:18, 80:1, 85:25 104:3, 104:9 looking 23:14, 24:9 24:10, 33:10, 37:3 46:4, 53:22 looks 7:18, 91:24 Lorenzo 35:21 92:24 lose 19:22, 70:25 71:8, 71:12, 71:13 **losing** 94:16 loss 19:17, 21:21 lost 14:18, 21:1 70:17, 70:19, 70:20 70:20, 70:21 lot 13:11, 13:25 14:12, 14:12, 14:15 17:24, 23:7, 25:21 30:5, 30:7, 30:8 30:9, 31:22, 40:4 41:12, 43:5, 43:6 44:4, 44:11, 44:14 44:17, 44:20, 46:18 49:22, 52:24, 55:8 55:9, 58:9, 59:4 60:25, 60:25, 61:11 61:13, 62:1, 66:1 66:9, 77:19, 79:21 80:10, 81:1, 93:16 93:16, 97:6 lots 32:25, 55:18 97:5, 97:5 love 39:18, 55:1 55:2, 55:2, 55:3 78:4 M

ma'am 53:22, 65:7 mad 67:23, 67:24 madness 19:15 magic 58:17 maintain 14:13 maintained 98:24 maintaining 98:15 majority 94:22 94:25, 96:12 making 13:9, 33:2 56:10, 56:12, 61:23 62:7, 62:11, 67:23 man 19:7, 21:6, 45:6

84:13 man's 19:5, 19:17 manage 58:5 management 8:24 23:17 manager 34:18 57:21 manager's 38:2 managing 32:19 32:19, 32:19, 55:11 59:3, 66:15 mandated 64:10 manuals 27:20 map 26:1, 78:5 79:12, 86:4 mark 78:5 marked 6:23 Martin 24:17, 24:17 25:4, 26:3, 27:14 27:17, 27:19, 28:15 31:2, 31:4, 31:6 31:15, 52:24, 94:21 95:10, 96:11, 96:22 97:11, 101:22 102:14, 102:16 103:16 MARY 1:8, 105:2 105:21 matches 62:11 matter 2:7, 33:16 34:8, 48:9, 49:24 60:1, 80:17, 99:10 mean 4:19, 15:19 15:24, 34:4, 34:16 40:20, 42:19, 43:6 46:13, 46:14, 46:24 47:11, 53:1, 53:12 56:24, 62:1, 69:5 73:24, 80:7, 80:8 80:15, 80:21, 80:24 82:12, 82:22, 90:7 91:21, 91:23, 94:1 94:11, 94:15, 98:10 means 7:22, 13:10 16:20, 30:17, 84:5 meat 32:25 meet 12:24 meeting 30:20, 47:7 54:18, 56:5, 94:23 96:3, 99:15, 104:14 meetings 24:22 24:24, 53:25, 96:13 meets 4:23, 4:24 member 18:12 18:19, 24:20, 72:13

Menan 49:8 mentioned 12:2 25:20, 38:19, 83:11 102:2 met 26:15 middle 37:23, 80:6 81:9 mile 5:2 mile-wide 75:16 mileage 6:9 miles 4:20, 4:21 Military 71:4 mind 23:13, 30:21 77:11, 96:23 mine 39:2, 50:7 85:20, 85:25 minimum 30:2 minority 62:15 minute 46:14, 50:2 50:8 minutes 24:23, 25:8 30:12, 30:19, 68:15 misconception 68:10 Missouri 59:15 misunderstood 84:20 Mitchell 13:18 13:19, 15:6, 15:10 15:13, 15:15, 15:21 15:23, 16:12, 16:20 16:25, 17:4, 52:19 89:3, 89:7, 89:12 90:12, 90:16, 92:7 96:19, 98:2 moderator 3:9 modified 3:15 modifying 17:12 moment 17:25 18:25 money 13:8, 13:9 13:9, 14:6, 21:17 22:8, 31:8, 31:9 33:1, 33:6, 33:9 34:11, 41:19, 42:7 53:13, 53:17, 55:1 56:12, 59:10, 60:4 60:9, 80:16, 80:17 81:22, 82:9, 82:11 83:20, 84:12

money-maker 34:3

63:2, 63:11, 63:16

63:21, 65:14, 65:18

Montana 64:22

MOORE 60:23

members 29:5

memorandum 48:9

Moratorium 20:12 21:19, 21:22, 22:12 22:16, 23:2, 26:13 26:16, 28:25, 54:3 54:15, 62:24, 64:13 69:17, 96:15, 96:15 100:7, 101:3, 101:8 101:13 morning 23:20 MOU 27:21 mountain 58:23 move 96:4, 103:4 moves 8:21, 100:18 mules 18:2 multiple 32:4

N

nail 26:14 name 3:9, 4:2, 5:25 10:5, 52:2, 94:12 name's 13:18, 43:24 53:24 names 67:4, 69:5 69:6, 84:18 national 47:24 84:24, 84:24, 85:1 85:21, 85:22 native 88:7 Navy 19:2 near 6:22, 10:19 53:4 neat 44:21 necessarily 66:4 82:17 need 5:7, 8:6, 9:3 13:23, 16:10, 23:3 23:24, 27:3, 31:22 40:10, 55:24, 57:10 58:4, 58:18, 63:8 65:5, 69:14, 70:12 *75*:15, *75*:18, *79*:3 83:3, 83:5, 83:7 86:21, 86:24, 94:9 97:19, 102:10 104:15 needed 47:21 needs 24:12, 26:20 26:22, 27:10, 36:19 47:25, 59:2, 97:14 negative 34:9, 44:7 68:7 negotiated 3:10 **NEPA** 8:12, 9:2, 9:6 47:23, 48:11, 48:20

102:18

48:21

opposed 96:14

NEPA-like 69:22
neutral 67:18, 69:19
never 25:11, 27:24
42:5, 82:13, 82:15
89:23, 89:25
new 7:12, 52:16
53:19, 66:5, 83:16
84:21, 97:25
news 68:17
nice 13:3, 17:5
93:14
Nobody's 92:5
nodding 20:15
non-outfitted 4:10
20:18, 78:2
normally 87:21
87:24
north 2:16, 35:18
46:16, 50:9, 50:18
78:17
Northern 44:10
44:15, 45:5, 47:14
48:17
Notary 1:9, 2:6
105:22
note 20:23, 51:17
nothing's 28:17
notice 1:2, 51:19
number 4:11, 4:12
8:18, 17:14, 17:15
25:4, 27:5, 28:16
29:25, 36:20, 48:7
66:24, 77:1, 81:6
97:22
numbers 47:3, 52:21
58:8, 76:24
numerous 28:20
29:4, 30:7
nutshell 9:12
nutsuch 3.12
О
O-R-R 52:2

oath 105:6 objections 105:7 obviously 44:5, 85:8 95:22, 98:10, 103:25 occur 70:19, 70:23 occurred 23:21 October 91:24 91:25 offend 38:25, 39:12 offense 25:15, 83:2 90:17 offer 49:20 offered 42:12

office 1:1, 88:8 officer 88:1 officially 18:22 okay 5:22, 7:15, 9:25 12:20, 21:21, 24:14 25:3, 26:12, 31:19 33:8, 33:13, 38:3 38:18, 48:23, 60:21 65:1, 68:21, 70:14 75:8, 75:19, 77:21 80:2, 80:25, 89:22 89:24, 90:3, 91:4 99:25, 100:2, 101:7 Oklahoma 55:5 56:19, 59:15 old 42:14, 42:16 58:22, 59:17, 62:2 78:9, 82:13 once 17:7, 36:22 42:2, 42:3, 64:10 70:17, 70:25, 80:11 80:15, 80:17, 88:25 ongoing 97:24 online 27:19 open 13:15, 13:22 17:25, 25:18, 45:19 57:20, 57:25, 64:21 70:18, 70:23, 80:11 80:12, 81:16, 81:16 94:2, 94:3, 103:17 open-ended 25:18 opened 24:14 opening 25:2, 89:24 101:5 opens 81:24, 84:21 operate 38:2, 67:15 operates 37:25 operating 36:10 37:16, 38:5, 38:9 operation 64:8 86:15 operations 87:9 opinion 4:1, 13:24 40:21, 41:5, 43:3 45:16, 46:18, 46:21 47:4, 66:20, 76:8 78:21, 78:23, 79:15 94:13, 95:18, 99:11 103:9 opinions 66:21 102:4 opportunities 12:9 50:12, 64:18, 100:19 opportunity 13:22 55:6, 70:19, 70:21 82:4, 82:5, 88:16

opposing 29:6 opposite 102:1 102:17 option 47:11, 53:6 Orchard 2:16 order 36:16 organization 88:12 102:13 original 53:25 **Orofino** 3:8, 44:16 94:23 Orr 52:2, 52:2 53:10, 77:2, 91:19 ought 5:2, 45:14 96:24 out-of-state 55:6 55:7 outdoor 3:12, 14:19 outdoors 46:14 outfit 4:15, 49:19 78:14, 86:17 outfitted 5:4, 6:6 12:12, 77:21, 99:20 101:6 outfitter 6:15, 9:20 12:12, 12:19, 14:18 16:21, 17:1, 18:5 29:24, 33:22, 34:8 35:22, 36:23, 37:22 37:24, 41:20, 47:18 47:19, 53:16, 56:6 64:5, 65:10, 65:11 67:14, 67:14, 67:16 72:24, 73:23, 73:25 74:4, 81:20, 82:10 84:6, 86:13, 87:23 87:23, 88:18, 88:19 90:4, 90:10 outfitters 2:1, 2:15 3:11, 6:12, 14:20 15:19, 16:22, 16:23 17:25, 19:4, 20:1 20:9, 20:17, 25:7 26:8, 26:17, 27:5 28:2, 28:5, 28:8 28:9, 28:21, 29:18 29:23, 30:11, 31:16 31:17, 31:17, 31:25 35:8, 36:9, 36:11 36:13, 37:14, 37:16 37:17, 42:3, 44:25 45:7, 45:19, 48:16 50:11, 53:6, 53:8 56:3, 56:11, 56:15 61:3, 61:8, 62:9

62:14, 62:17, 62:20 64:18, 64:19, 66:7 68:4, 68:6, 68:7 70:1, 70:3, 70:4 70:5, 70:7, 72:7 73:12, 74:8, 75:3 75:6, 75:7, 75:11 80:9, 84:4, 88:25 89:4, 93:3, 93:6 99:19 outfitting 6:17, 8:6 12:3, 15:3, 18:15 19:24, 24:4, 38:1 38:13, 44:18, 45:11 45:12, 46:11, 47:8 50:13, 51:5, 56:8 63:7, 63:14, 63:20 74:18, 77:16, 91:13 92:15 outside 6:2, 7:9 37:13, 55:19, 66:10 85:6 overrun 52:18, 53:5 overview 3:2 owner's 57:14, 59:4 owners 21:12 owning 60:7 owns 60:9

P

P.M 2:4, 73:20 73:21, 104:18 **P.O** 105:23 page 4:9 paid 60:3 Palisades 35:16 79:15, 92:23 Pancheri 31:21 31:21, 33:16, 38:22 39:10, 40:7, 46:6 47:6, 59:13, 59:23 60:1, 60:8, 78:7 79:11, 79:21, 81:8 81:11, 84:19, 84:19 87:1, 87:17, 88:5 88:10, 92:21, 93:1 93:11, 94:8, 95:3 98:6, 98:9, 99:1 99:11, 103:5, 103:8 103:15, 103:17 103:25 paper 48:18, 103:12 103:16 parameters 75:18 77:17

parcels 35:25 parents 72:17 park 6:22, 7:8, 10:8 10:11, 10:19 parking 58:3 parks 7:10, 10:8 11:3, 11:3 part 7:19, 9:21, 33:3 37:13, 38:18, 44:22 45:6, 58:3, 58:5 61:10, 86:13, 96:20 96:21, 97:13, 97:19 99:15, 102:21 part-time 87:7 participate 9:7 54:19 participation 65:12 particular 10:16 10:21, 17:24, 21:14 36:24, 45:14, 50:17 78:1, 87:12 particularly 10:25 24:6 partly 65:19 parts 78:1 party 69:19, 105:13 passed 72:13 passionate 39:13 39:14 path 19:11 patient 49:7, 54:17 pay 14:7, 14:8, 32:8 53:15, 60:10, 64:22 paying 56:4 penalize 66:12 penalizing 65:21 pending 63:2, 67:7 people 3:7, 3:18 8:21, 14:5, 15:16 20:9, 20:21, 26:23 32:14, 32:19, 32:25 33:23, 34:2, 38:25 39:13, 39:13, 39:20 40:1, 40:4, 42:6 42:10, 50:5, 51:8 51:9, 51:10, 51:13 51:14, 53:20, 54:11 55:4, 55:7, 55:18 56:25, 57:9, 57:21 57:23, 58:6, 58:12 58:19, 58:22, 61:1 61:11, 61:19, 61:20 63:19, 65:21, 65:24 66:7, 66:12, 67:23

67:25, 68:6, 69:16

71:10, 71:17, 72:2

73:10, 76:4, 81:13
82:1, 83:12, 83:13
86:19, 86:22, 87:2
87:6, 88:24, 94:22 96:13, 97:9, 97:17
96:13, 97:9, 97:17
98:10, 98:19, 99:13
99:15, 99:21, 103:9
perceived 36:23
percent 14:25, 15:2
15:2, 15:18, 16:1
16:14, 16:18, 17:4
17:15, 20:25, 49:12
52:20, 55:12, 57:5
91:25, 92:3, 96:12
99:5, 99:6, 103:9
percentage 55:15
91:14, 92:8, 92:9
perfect 91:23 PERIOD 1:2
permission 35:1
40:15, 43:8, 63:12
permit 44:13
permits 10:23, 72:3
73:10, 91:13, 91:15
92:10
person 12:18, 45:4
53:3, 78:18, 78:20
94:23, 102:11
personal 83:23, 84:1
84:14, 84:15
personally 46:24
46:25, 73:13, 100:14
perspective 101:2
pets 50:4
Ph.D 2:9
phase 96:5
pheasant 55:19
photograph 86:23
pick-up 56:22
picture 79:25 pie 100:24
piece 6:8, 34:21
37:12, 67:22, 77:23
place 8:16, 8:19, 9:9
9:14, 10:16, 19:3
20:1, 21:20, 21:22
22:7, 22:12, 22:25
23:3, 26:16, 28:25
33:20, 39:4, 39:8
39:24, 41:2, 41:4
42:15, 43:20, 44:21
44:24, 45:17, 46:1
57:9, 57:20, 57:24
65:5, 70:22, 82:11
87:20, 100:10, 105:5
places 5:5, 23:4

23:6, 23:8, 23:11 45:8, 50:5, 52:12 52:15, 54:9, 87:8 plain 26:12 planning 9:10, 9:17 24:4, 36:17, 37:11 74:12, 101:18 101:19 play 19:21, 48:8 72:8, 79:7 pleasant 93:12 please 3:25, 5:24 68:12 plenty 102:8 poaches 90:22 pocket 5:14, 18:6 pocketbook 60:10 point 3:16, 3:18 17:10, 20:22, 27:16 39:19, 40:10, 42:21 46:3, 47:12, 50:22 52:3, 54:4, 60:18 60:21, 67:20, 69:25 70:9, 70:10, 70:12 78:19, 79:6, 81:23 83:17, 88:10, 93:15 93:17, 94:17, 96:7 point's 16:10, 90:25 pointed 52:24 points 3:21, 31:23 35:6, 38:23, 97:25 poles 95:11 policies 27:10 policy 47:24, 62:24 84:7 polling 3:19 popularity 96:10 populated 77:13 population 47:10 posed 54:7 position 61:4, 62:8 71:19, 71:20 positions 61:2 positive 18:14, 34:9 77:23 possibility 81:16 possible 38:7, 40:4 104:13 possibly 46:14 83:21 posted 85:5, 85:12 94:24 potential 21:20 power 81:21 predicament 104:1

presentation 96:7

presented 3:3 presidential 42:24 pressure 22:2, 45:20 45:25, 85:10, 92:13 97:2 Preston 44:12 pretty 18:7, 24:7 30:25, 35:18, 36:9 36:9, 41:16, 42:5 42:9, 79:2, 82:14 103:17 prevent 22:3 previous 41:7, 82:7 previously 43:5 pricing 34:11 primary 8:1, 45:5 64:2 prime 55:21, 81:15 prior 33:8, 96:3 **private** 6:3, 6:10 6:11, 6:22, 6:23, 7:6 10:7, 21:21, 21:24 22:1, 24:2, 24:6 25:5, 25:25, 26:4 28:20, 30:8, 32:2 37:12, 37:20, 37:24 38:9, 38:10, 43:8 46:19, 52:15, 55:15 57:7, 59:25, 61:6 61:9, 61:12, 62:8 63:7, 63:11, 63:13 63:23, 63:25, 77:6 79:23, 83:19, 85:1 86:2, 97:5, 101:6 101:14 privatize 71:16 probably 8:21, 8:24 17:12, 36:8, 38:4 40:4, 40:13, 49:12 53:2, 72:5, 72:6 76:17, 89:11, 92:3 92:5, 92:18, 96:2 problem 18:11 55:10, 58:6, 60:14 61:23, 61:25, 62:10 62:11, 62:19, 62:22 62:23, 82:6 problems 18:23 58:1 procedures 7:25 9:17, 24:3 proceedings 105:4 process 8:12, 9:2 9:11, 36:18, 47:25

99:24 produced 25:25 product 49:20 professional 70:2 profit 67:25, 68:5 71:10, 71:11 promote 20:11 property 6:25, 7:4 7:6, 25:22, 32:3 32:12, 32:13, 32:19 32:20, 33:10, 33:19 34:21, 42:12, 54:6 54:12, 54:24, 57:7 57:14, 59:4, 59:18 60:12, 60:15, 60:16 60:19, 63:17, 74:2 79:23, 83:19, 83:23 83:24, 84:1, 84:14 84:16, 84:25, 85:1 85:2, 85:4, 85:7 85:7, 85:22, 85:23 86:1, 86:2, 86:5 proposal 29:6, 47:17 **proposed** 3:3, 65:8 proposing 75:9 prosecute 87:16 prosecuted 67:12 prospectus 8:8, 9:19 50:17 protect 84:10, 84:15 86:8, 102:13 prove 86:19 provide 6:18, 9:20 24:11, 50:25, 55:5 56:16, 63:20, 65:11 75:15 provided 27:1, 28:5 28:20, 65:16 providing 11:14 12:7, 12:8, 66:12 proving 38:17, 79:5 public 1:2, 1:9, 2:6 4:23, 4:24, 7:20 12:7, 13:6, 13:16 13:22, 21:21, 21:23 21:23, 26:7, 26:23 29:23, 30:16, 30:18 33:1, 33:1, 33:2 33:18, 33:20, 33:21 34:12, 37:18, 37:18 43:7, 44:16, 44:20 45:4, 46:19, 47:14 47:18, 47:22, 48:5 48:6, 55:9, 55:11

55:12, 56:1, 56:17

59:24, 60:4, 60:5

60:14, 60:20, 61:10 61:11, 61:21, 64:4 65:12, 65:17, 68:13 70:13, 71:6, 73:24 74:2, 74:18, 74:22 75:18, 77:20, 80:10 84:11, 85:4, 85:7 85:7, 85:8, 85:10 85:11, 85:25, 89:16 93:20, 95:1, 97:17 99:6, 99:13, 99:18 99:23, 101:11 101:14, 102:11 102:21, 105:22 pull 30:19, 40:14 50:16, 68:15 pulled 33:24, 34:1 85:15 purpose 22:22 purview 64:9 push 82:10 pushed 42:4, 42:5 42:5 put 5:16, 6:14, 8:19 9:9, 9:19, 21:20 22:25, 23:3, 23:24 25:14, 32:23, 33:2 33:13, 39:4, 39:7 47:18, 51:21, 57:22 64:7, 64:15, 65:5 69:3, 78:24, 78:24 79:10, 86:11, 93:13 96:2, 98:9, 105:6 putting 7:1, 22:6 26:1, 53:12, 85:10

Q

quality 18:16 quantifying 97:15 quantity 18:17 question 4:6, 7:16 35:20, 36:12, 50:15 51:2, 51:7, 54:2 54:7, 59:14, 59:14 65:7, 67:6, 78:11 79:1, 86:9, 94:21 96:11, 99:2 questions 31:13 38:23, 54:13, 84:22 quick 32:10, 38:24 42:6, 46:7, 103:6 quicker 44:1 quit 85:18 quite 11:17, 35:11 43:10, 52:1, 67:5

48:6, 48:6, 48:20

48:21, 69:22, 71:1

quizzical 7:18	recommend 45:18	105:1	27:17, 27:19, 28:15	ruined 35:4
quote 30:12	recommendations	represent 18:21	31:2, 31:4, 31:6	ruining 18:10
quoted 30:20	51:19	20:17, 66:24	31:15, 52:24, 94:21	rule 1:1, 3:3, 7:19
4	recommends 29:19	representative 18:20	95:10, 96:11, 96:22	9:9, 12:7, 17:12
R	record 4:2, 20:23	99:17	97:11, 101:22	22:6, 22:13, 22:13
X	53:10, 67:9, 81:12	representing 70:4	102:14, 102:16	23:25, 45:13, 49:2
ragged 98:21	82:8, 105:10	represents 29:4	103:16	49:3, 65:8, 96:2
Rainey 1:8, 2:5, 3:24	recorded 27:24	request 8:5, 26:8	ridiculous 52:22	100:8
4:4, 105:2, 105:21	105:8	47:18	80:7	rule's 9:14
raise 19:1, 96:6	recreation 3:12	requested 30:2	riding 9:19	rule-making 3:10
raised 62:4	recreational 64:8	requesting 64:14	Rigby 55:22	rulemaking 8:2
ramp 91:24	recruitment 18:23	requests 8:4, 29:22	right 4:22, 4:24, 6:5	51:20
ramps 79:18, 91:10	58:9	29:25	7:7, 7:15, 14:11	rules 1:1, 1:1, 3:15
91:11	red 38:21, 51:25	require 11:9, 11:12	15:9, 15:12, 16:1	12:21, 23:3, 28:1
ran 34:25, 84:21	reduce 36:16	11:13, 11:19, 27:21	22:20, 26:13, 28:2	39:23, 44:7, 69:3
ranch 37:24, 57:24	Reed 43:24, 43:24	required 11:5, 29:14	28:13, 33:24, 34:12	86:11, 91:1, 92:14
ranchers 46:2	45:15, 50:1, 60:6	37:19	35:25, 36:1, 36:4	94:6, 98:15, 103:18
Ranches 31:18	74:7	requirements 12:18	36:24, 39:9, 40:7	run 32:16, 85:21
rang 69:23	referred 37:10	12:24	40:8, 41:1, 41:22	run-in 34:8
rapidly 19:13	refusing 64:13	requires 48:11	43:16, 47:3, 49:17	running 85:20 93:12
rapport 14:13, 21:11 rationale 24:11	regarding 65:14 region 16:4, 16:5	research 52:10 reserve 60:17	52:19, 56:7, 57:19	95:12
rationale 24.11 raw 26:10, 26:11	16:7, 16:16, 16:17	residential 23:21	59:11, 59:12, 59:21 64:19, 69:19, 71:21	
reacting 69:18	17:16, 17:18, 17:20	residents 55:6	72:9, 74:20, 75:22	S
read 7:19, 24:22	17:24, 50:21, 62:16	resource 23:17, 47:5	77:23, 79:8, 88:14	sacrifice 47:2
98:21	78:6, 80:9	48:13, 56:1	90:8, 95:3, 99:24	safety 64:3, 77:3
real 46:7, 62:15	regulate 55:14, 75:5	respect 14:15, 32:16	100:23, 103:14	salesman 41:7
103:6	regulated 88:17	103:1	rights 57:7, 61:7	sarcastic 99:3
reality 79:14	93:8	respected 32:11	63:7, 83:19, 83:23	sat 24:21
realize 47:23	regulates 70:5	respectful 32:13	84:1, 84:11, 84:15	save 3:19
really 4:2, 8:18, 10:9	regulating 24:3	respond 7:25, 64:11	84:16, 84:16, 85:9	saw 7:18, 25:15
10:12, 10:13, 10:23	regulation 63:23	70:1, 75:1	rigs 34:25	34:23, 45:9
11:10, 13:3, 14:10	87:23	response 63:8, 63:9	riparian 75:17	saying 9:23, 13:20
16:14, 17:5, 20:10	regulations 26:18	responsibilities	risk 14:17, 43:12	13:23, 20:4, 39:1
32:22, 39:16, 41:20	72:19, 83:23	102:12	90:24	39:22, 40:8, 66:4
42:22, 43:2, 44:1	regulative 68:4	responsibility 84:3	river 4:13, 4:14	67:21, 74:19, 78:15
52:6, 52:20, 53:16	relates 63:14	restricted 35:11	4:16, 4:20, 4:21, 5:3	78:25, 79:7, 79:15
57:2, 57:24, 58:25	relative 105:12	restrictions 8:2, 8:16	6:9, 7:5, 22:13, 23:9	82:17, 83:4, 83:6
61:15, 61:17, 61:24	relatives 88:22	8:19, 22:25, 100:10	23:22, 25:23, 46:23	86:4, 88:12, 88:13
62:22, 66:2, 67:17 67:21, 68:13, 69:19	relying 79:9 remember 14:25	restrictive 37:7	74:20, 75:17, 76:16 80:2, 83:4, 93:21	90:21, 90:24, 94:14 97:18, 102:17
78:24, 81:14, 82:22	55:21, 76:23, 76:25	20:20	93:23, 93:24, 97:3	102:22, 103:8
83:11, 83:12, 88:4	80:3, 89:7	return 18:13	97:3, 97:9	102.22, 103.8
100:14, 102:7	remote 11:17	revenue 31:24	rivers 6:3, 8:20, 22:1	says 4:12, 32:7, 49:3
realm 79:24	Repeat 84:18	31:25, 34:10, 70:7	31:18, 74:8, 77:19	49:3, 50:8, 77:19
reason 24:7, 34:16	repeating 98:1	80:16, 80:18	riverway 97:1	77:24, 84:2, 86:4
41:4, 49:19, 59:19	replace 100:7	review 3:2, 48:25	Road 2:11	scares 81:17
62:6, 63:21, 69:21	report 17:11, 26:12	revision 96:3	role 61:4, 64:2	scout 53:13, 53:13
75:2, 81:17	27:22, 86:22, 87:21	rewarding 65:21	Ron 17:22, 17:22	scratch 23:1
reasons 5:10, 23:23	87:24, 87:25, 88:11	Rexburg 7:9, 43:25	20:20, 50:16, 50:22	se 39:6
36:21, 37:4, 37:4	88:19	44:13, 54:21	52:3, 53:1, 70:12	seal 105:15
63:10	reported 1:8, 38:14	rich 19:5, 19:17	room 39:1, 39:20	searching 65:25
recall 93:5	38:15, 38:16, 87:17	71:17	42:22, 50:11, 50:11	season 16:13, 17:2
recess 73:20	reporter 2:5, 3:25	RICHARD 2:9	53:3, 60:2, 72:12	79:19, 85:16, 89:24
recognize 99:12	7:13, 67:3, 105:3	Rick 24:17, 24:17	95:6	92:2
recognizing 23:18	REPORTER'S	25:4, 26:3, 27:14	Rub 50:2	season's 15:23, 92:6
L	<u></u>	. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>I</u>

F				
seasons 15:20, 15:21	73:25, 74:10, 77:13	size 35:15, 35:21	space 77:6	10:8, 10:20, 10:22
second 53:20, 68:12	78:17	skewed 25:13, 25:17	speak 27:11, 53:20	11:2, 15:25, 16:2
secretary 98:20	Sheriff 38:15	26:5	95:4	18:20, 19:5, 21:4
Section 78:6	sheriffs 87:5, 87:10	skiing 56:17	speaking 101:2	22:14, 23:4, 28:11
sections 77:24, 93:9	Shilo 2:2	slamming 13:5	specific 12:22, 35:25	29:5, 29:11, 29:16
see 3:8, 11:24, 12:17	shit 90:2, 90:23	81:12	36:5, 37:19, 47:17	29:24, 30:16, 31:16
13:5, 13:14, 14:9	shitty 90:20	slap 90:17	specifically 48:13	45:18, 55:10, 60:2
14:17, 26:9, 41:19	shoot 32:23, 46:24	slapped 89:22	50:23, 65:15, 73:25	62:14, 64:3, 64:18
41:25, 41:25, 43:2	50:6, 54:12, 60:17	slipping 38:4	spectrum 99:13	64:24, 66:21, 66:25
43:11, 43:16, 43:19	74:2, 77:9	small 55:14	100:11, 104:3	66:25, 69:22, 70:6
43:19, 44:6, 44:24	shooting 74:22	Snake 23:9, 77:24	Spencer 31:21	72:18, 72:19, 83:22
45:1, 45:8, 45:13	short-term 71:1	80:1	31:21, 33:16, 59:13	84:6, 84:25, 86:14
45:19, 45:25, 46:3	71:9	soaphox 58:25	59:23, 60:1, 60:8	86:17, 87:8, 89:8
46:19, 46:22, 47:3	Shorthand 2:5	social 28:18, 28:21	79:21, 81:8, 84:19	92:22, 100:3, 100:5
47:11, 50:16, 51:6	105:3	58:14, 77:6	84:19, 87:1, 87:17	100:20
68:6, 68:18, 69:18	shorthanded 24:25	sociological 5:10	88:5, 92:21, 93:1	State-wide 96:25
73:11, 74:16, 76:8	30:5	37:4, 63:10, 97:13	93:11, 99:1, 99:11	stated 43:5, 81:17
83:10, 91:8, 91:18	shot 32:22, 33:7	97:13	103:8, 103:25	statement 103:6
1 ' '	•			states 71:4, 104:4
100:21, 101:22	76:17, 76:18 shotguns 59:19	sold 17:14, 17:15 67:14, 86:16	spend 96:1 spending 53:12	104:5, 104:10
seeing 86:21		solicit 65:12		stay 28:25, 35:15
seek 96:7 seen 18:1, 20:15	show 16:6, 26:11		spent 18:2, 32:2	43:20
1	51:6, 86:4, 95:10	solution 57:17	32:18, 33:9, 46:13	
21:8, 25:1, 30:6	showed 26:10, 35:3	61:24, 62:10, 71:9	64:17, 82:8	stenographically
40:19, 73:5	showing 26:5, 59:6	solutions 2:10, 43:15	spoke 79:23	105:8
selective 25:12	shown 26:23, 52:21	solved 30:10	spoken 53:22	step 19:16, 42:1
self-governing 66:25	shut 13:10, 48:22	somebody 8:3, 11:14	sport 19:6, 19:18	stepping 57:7, 59:4
sell 13:16, 17:17	side 5:3, 27:9, 43:1	12:11, 12:13, 21:16	52:17	steps 47:21, 88:11
17:19, 18:16, 41:9	45:10, 45:12, 56:15	24:5, 32:6, 38:8	sportsman 5:8	stern 42:9
send 66:6	59:7, 61:5, 65:19	38:9, 40:15, 42:12	18:12, 32:24	Stewart 21:5, 21:5
sense 9:13, 62:7	72:25, 82:25	47:20, 56:19, 59:9	sportsmen 18:14	22:8, 22:11
79:20	sight 6:14	60:12, 61:14, 63:3	24:10, 25:11, 32:1	stick 27:15
sent 84:2	sign 45:1, 45:1, 45:1	66:4, 76:16, 78:8	50:8, 57:21, 80:11	sticking 83:6
September 92:3	57:23	78:14, 82:12, 88:11	80:19	Stockton 1:8, 2:5
serious 90:2, 90:3	Signature 104:19	89:2, 89:19, 90:5	sportsmen's 26:14	105:2, 105:21
90:6	significant 44:5	90:22, 101:11, 102:2		Stood 89:16
seriously 99:2	signs 6:24, 33:20	somewhat 23:16	29:8, 99:14	stop 19:15, 20:13
serves 102:11	57:20, 85:6, 85:15	son 62:2	spot 21:15, 59:10	42:18, 71:25, 94:1
service 8:11, 8:23	85:16	son's 19:8	73:25	94:3, 94:18
8:25, 9:10, 9:16	similar 35:20, 86:14	sons 19:7, 21:12	spots 18:8, 18:8	stopped 42:5, 52:6
9:20, 11:14, 37:23	simple 26:12	soon 18:7, 33:5	97:8	storm 80:13
47:17, 48:1, 48:10	simply 6:17	sorry 7:13, 40:17	spread 16:1	stress 103:18
48:20, 49:4, 56:16	single 45:17, 96:25	66:16, 69:24	squish 44:18	stretch 93:23, 93:24
66:12, 87:11, 93:9	97:1	sort 3:22, 9:4, 95:15	staff 48:22	stretches 45:10
services 65:11, 65:13	sir 5:20, 9:25, 17:21	95:23, 103:3	stake 13:9, 19:14	strictly 50:10
66:15	70:1	sorted 11:10	stakeholders 19:20	strong 29:5
set 6:18, 8:2, 64:2	sit 29:9, 49:2, 62:16	sorts 6:19	stance 28:24, 101:17	strongly 29:6
72:19, 75:18, 84:7	73:1, 77:8, 100:22	sound 62:13, 99:3	stand 4:1, 43:16	studied 31:1
100:9, 105:5, 105:15	site 37:15, 94:24	sounds 16:13, 17:4	standing 74:21	study 52:10, 97:21
set-asides 10:8	98:14, 98:25	17:6, 62:14, 87:13	standpoint 57:15	97:23
setting 14:6	sites 98:3	south 23:10, 34:6	start 10:1, 49:21	stuff 20:5, 24:23
seven 17:16	sitting 14:11, 39:20	34:7, 35:17, 44:19	70:25, 80:15, 80:16	25:21, 37:25, 40:19
severely 43:12	43:10, 82:12, 95:4	46:16, 46:22, 67:11	started 6:25, 42:3	43:20, 56:21, 56:22
shake 25:16	103:22	80:1, 80:3, 80:5	52:6, 85:2	79:19, 97:6
share 100:13	situation 43:12, 64:5	81:1, 92:23, 93:4	starting 56:7	stupid 19:21, 60:6
shared 21:15	64:6, 89:14	93:12	state 2:6, 4:1, 5:24	subdivisions 55:22
Shelley 24:18, 35:21	six 72:6, 73:14	Southeast 46:12	6:22, 7:8, 7:10, 10:5	subject 32:21
	1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

				·····
submits 24:5	53:17, 58:11, 59:16	term 71:2, 101:20	96:22, 96:24, 97:9	Travis 13:18, 13:18
substantial 36:14	60:15, 61:8, 64:10	terms 76:8	99:12, 101:15, 103:6	15:6, 15:10, 15:13
substantiate 23:14	64:10, 64:13, 68:15	territories 35:10	thinking 10:17	15:15, 15:21, 15:23
86:18	69:6, 73:17, 80:5	testimony 105:7	94:20	16:12, 16:20, 16:25
substantiated 37:4	82:2, 82:3, 82:5	105:11	thought 21:2, 42:13	17:4, 52:19, 89:3
successful 33:8, 82:2	83:25, 85:18, 86:19	Teton 4:13, 31:16	42:17	89:7, 89:12, 90:12
such-and-such	86:25, 88:20, 88:24	thank 13:16, 13:17	thousand 60:11	90:16, 92:7, 96:19
14:20	89:20, 92:8, 95:13	31:19, 39:19, 43:21	threat 73:11	98:2
sucked 41:16	95:14, 102:19	Thanksgiving 49:14	threatened 61:1	treated 32:13
sudden 32:6, 33:19	taken 1:4, 2:2, 16:10	thick 45:25	65:6	Tremonton 41:8
suddenly 61:13	19:4, 48:7, 54:25	thing 6:24, 13:1	threatening 89:17	trespass 21:9, 32:8
sued 67:10	73:20, 88:25, 90:25	14:18, 14:24, 20:12	three 4:16, 17:1	33:21, 42:12, 56:12
sues 101:11	93:19, 105:4	22:23, 29:21, 32:9	28:13, 28:15, 31:18	57:12, 63:18
suffer 71:11	talk 67:23, 73:22	33:5, 34:13, 34:14	74:8, 95:25	trespassing 6:24
suggested 25:10	97:12	39:3, 40:23, 43:9	three-year 97:23	20:5, 32:8, 33:5
suggestions 3:14	talked 55:18, 76:20	46:8, 46:10, 46:17	throw 56:22, 104:8	33:19, 60:13, 85:6
83:5, 83:7, 94:9	82:20, 91:6	46:17, 48:23, 50:3	time 3:19, 3:20, 3:20	85:24
94:20, 98:6, 103:20	talking 6:2, 6:3, 6:20	51:23, 52:8, 56:7	5:15, 5:17, 10:2	tried 41:8, 85:21
suing 22:4	11:13, 12:3, 23:19	58:14, 62:8, 71:21	14:4, 15:11, 18:2	96:6
sung 22.4 supplies 59:18	32:4, 32:5, 34:6	78:21, 83:10, 86:14	20:2, 30:10, 30:22	trouble 66:2, 85:14
support 46:8, 46:21	38:9, 44:4, 44:8	88:14, 94:4, 95:12	34:8, 42:12, 45:23	trout 62:5
55:24, 55:25, 60:3	50:20, 56:13, 61:11	99:25, 100:3, 100:18	47:16, 48:21, 51:11	true 68:21, 105:10
60:4, 82:25, 83:1	67:4, 72:11, 77:4	102:24	53:21, 54:21, 59:17	trust 28:8
l .			70:20, 93:10, 94:10	try 3:22, 38:24
101:3, 102:9	77:12, 78:12, 84:20	things 6:19, 10:3		, •
suppose 11:3	84:23	11:20, 12:22, 13:4	96:2, 100:15, 103:19	39:12, 57:5, 66:5 104:7
supposed 26:19	talks 48:19	13:10, 14:13, 22:11	103:19, 105:4, 105:5	1
57:12, 82:15, 95:1	target 25:16, 25:18	32:15, 32:21, 34:4	times 15:8, 50:19	trying 13:21, 22:18
97:23	task 11:23	38:12, 41:5, 41:21	54:10	22:24, 32:16, 40:3
sure 6:1, 7:17, 11:4	Tate 17:17, 35:7	48:15, 52:23, 52:24	tire 92:1, 92:4	42:23, 55:5, 55:14
63:4, 67:5, 73:5	35:7, 35:13, 36:6	52:25, 56:25, 57:22	today 41:22, 62:25	56:16, 56:25, 61:19
103:7, 104:13	37:10, 47:13, 47:20	65:1, 65:19, 66:1	69:4, 71:11	69:25, 75:14, 78:19
surrounded 10:15	48:2, 51:7, 51:13	68:16, 75:15, 79:10	toes 59:5	79:5, 80:20, 81:4
survey 25:5, 25:10	72:2, 72:8, 74:8	82:25, 103:18	told 48:19, 50:7	81:13, 86:7, 88:11
25:13, 25:15	74:11, 76:11, 76:13	think 7:21, 8:17	55:18, 89:20, 101:4	88:13, 94:6, 95:4
surveying 26:2	76:15, 76:22, 83:18	9:22, 13:23, 16:3	tonight 83:2	95:7, 96:7, 96:8
suspect 8:20	84:14, 91:6, 91:18	16:7, 16:10, 17:5	tooth 26:14	96:9, 99:24, 100:6
sustainability 71:16	91:19, 91:22, 92:8	17:5, 17:8, 19:23	top 43:1, 58:23, 64:7	100:10, 104:2
sustainable 71:1	92:17, 92:25, 101:10	•	topics 31:22	turbines 70:13
71:14	101:13	21:1, 22:15, 24:8	total 17:14	turkey 8:17, 8:25
sustains 33:3	tax 60:3	29:15, 30:21, 31:23	totally 19:2, 32:1	9:5, 9:23, 13:2
swallowed 44:25	tea 97:4	35:6, 35:24, 37:21	50:14, 50:24, 77:17	17:13, 17:15, 25:16
sweet 18:8	teach 58:18	38:5, 43:15, 44:6	83:18	25:20, 26:24, 27:23
swing 103:24	team 87:3	44:8, 44:18, 45:17	touch 50:1	30:3, 44:3, 44:8
system 64:18, 82:9	technically 38:11	46:23, 46:25, 49:19	tough 13:16, 44:17	45:6, 45:12, 46:8
system's 91:22	46:21, 74:20	52:17, 62:18, 62:19	54:10, 78:11, 79:1	46:17, 46:23, 46:25
	teleconference 24:21	1	79:7, 83:11	47:10, 47:13, 50:3
\mathbf{T}	tell 8:3, 18:16, 18:22	66:4, 66:9, 66:14	tourism 56:24	50:18, 50:24, 51:5
	30:22, 31:15, 41:14	68:9, 70:10, 71:8	tracks 92:1, 92:4	51:20, 72:4, 72:24
table 30:10	49:2, 61:12, 71:5	76:19, 78:2, 79:2	trail 57:22	84:8, 92:5, 94:4
tag 18:5, 50:19	73:4, 78:3, 79:11	79:12, 79:21, 79:23	train 21:2	97:21, 97:22
tags 17:18, 17:20	95:3, 95:12, 101:16	80:8, 80:19, 81:1	training 11:5, 11:9	turkeys 17:13, 44:11
tailored 3:15	telling 45:7, 68:20	81:3, 82:21, 82:23	transcribed 105:8	44:13, 45:18, 45:21
take 10:12, 13:4	90:7, 90:19, 103:1	82:24, 83:3, 83:7	transcriptionist	45:24, 46:11, 46:20
17:1, 17:9, 21:17	tells 9:9, 82:14	84:20, 87:16, 88:2	73:19	47:3, 49:22, 49:22
25:2, 29:20, 34:4	temporary 62:24	88:10, 93:6, 93:21	transcripts 95:14	49:25, 50:6, 50:9
44:1, 49:14, 51:16	tenable 63:1	94:13, 95:19, 95:19	trapping 48:17	50:13, 50:20, 51:5
		<u> </u>		

	n 111 - mandan	m/151 77
51.0.51.0.52.12	Public Hearing of	
51:8, 51:9, 53:13	67:22	36:2,
turn 3:7, 34:10	updated 98:11	40:11,
34:11, 42:24, 45:7	upset 62:1, 62:12	42:21
51:2, 71:22, 77:22	63:3, 68:13	44:1,
81:1, 84:11	upstream 4:13	46:7,
turned 28:16, 86:6	use 11:20, 77:23	53:15
turning 60:8, 64:12	80:1, 82:7, 91:8	56:1,
turnout 3:7	99:5	60:15
turns 80:17	user 17:3, 27:22	60:22
tush 46:1	Usually 58:23	64:25
TV 14:22	Utah 17:24, 21:15	73:16
twice 76:18, 87:17	34:20, 34:22, 34:23	82:2,
two 4:20, 15:21, 16:8	34:25, 35:3, 40:24	82:18
18:22, 22:11, 26:15	41:4, 42:2, 57:2	86:1,
27:5, 35:7, 35:16	72:10, 72:16, 72:18	97:12
35:22, 36:14, 36:15	73:4, 104:8	99:7,
38:12, 45:21, 45:24	Utahans 18:9, 72:21	wanted
48:19, 73:12, 90:1	utilize 18:4	53:11
tying 57:1		wantin
type 40:12, 40:14	f V	41:21
47:1, 89:14	Y	wants
typically 8:9, 8:10	valid 103:6	66:11
8:15, 9:16, 11:16	validating 90:16	warmi
37:17	valley 31:16, 46:11	watchi
2.112.	vast 96:12	water
U	venison 62:4	78:10
<u> </u>	vest 24:16, 31:20	93:7,
Uh-huh 39:9, 77:25	84:17	waterf
ultimate 84:3	video 19:21, 34:22	9:1, 9
ultimately 71:12	34:22, 34:23, 34:24	20:6,
71:13, 86:9, 100:3	35:3	25:16
100:20	view 3:16, 3:18	27:23
unbelievable 93:25	13:24, 20:22, 60:21	35:12
unbiased 40:3, 40:9	violated 28:1	41:12
104:13	violation 87:14	44:5,
underneath 68:16	87:22	49:9,
understand 4:17	Virginia 71:3	55:1,
7:6, 12:2, 39:4, 57:2	voice 4:6, 4:9, 5:21	58:8,
59:1, 61:2, 61:10	7:3, 7:6, 19:12	63:16
62:5, 64:1, 67:6	28:14, 31:3, 31:9	67:8,
70:11, 73:1, 83:18	33:14, 44:2, 51:11	84:8,
10:11, 15:1, 65:18		
91:3, 102:10, 102:14	•	92:25

wait 50:8 waiting 51:18, 51:21 79:9 waived 104:19 want 5:23, 7:15 9:19, 11:24, 13:6 13:19, 14:8, 14:22 16:5, 23:1, 23:2 24:1, 29:12, 32:7

102:21

39:3, 39:19 , 41:25, 41:25 , 42:23, 42:23 44:6, 44:24 47:9, 50:1 , 53:16, 53:23 59:12, 59:13 , 60:16, 60:17 , 63:20, 64:24 6, 68:7, 69:7 , 77:5, 81:11 82:3, 82:5 3, 83:20, 85:10 90:14, 94:22 2, 97:18, 99:3 104:12 d 14:24, 46:24 , 64:20 ng 40:25 , 51:25 4:3, 56:20 ing 49:11 ing 14:22 45:9, 54:25 0, 78:16, 78:17 102:8 fowl 4:10, 8:17 9:23, 15:16 21:7, 24:19 6, 25:19, 26:24 3, 33:15, 34:14 2, 35:13, 40:12 2, 42:16, 43:6 44:9, 45:10 52:5, 54:12 56:20, 58:2 58:13, 60:23 6, 63:17, 65:15 72:3, 72:24 92:1, 92:4 92:25, 94:4, 99:7 waterfowl/turkey 24:22, 24:24, 25:6 waterfowler 78:8 waterfowling 78:9 watermark 4:15, 7:1 waters 4:23, 4:25 7:20, 43:7, 92:15 waterway 77:20 96:25 waterways 4:11 way 4:22, 9:15, 10:9 10:23, 17:6, 20:13 23:15, 24:13, 33:15

44:7, 44:12, 45:13 49:17, 58:4, 69:18 77:14, 83:15, 83:21 90:21, 93:13, 93:13 93:22, 93:22, 100:23 100:23, 103:24 Wayne 2:19, 3:1 23:19, 95:21 ways 35:18, 92:7 we've 5:15, 11:16 14:12, 18:23, 19:19 19:23, 20:13, 23:16 26:25, 27:24, 39:25 54:15, 67:20, 70:21 71:24, 75:3, 87:6 88:25, 89:1, 89:10 94:9, 95:25, 98:17 98:23, 100:15, 101:3 101:5, 101:25 weather 58:16 Weatherby 10:1 10:6, 10:6, 10:18 11:1, 11:4, 11:22 12:17, 12:23, 13:1 20:25, 30:25, 31:5 31:10, 68:2, 68:5 68:11, 68:22, 68:25 69:8, 69:12, 70:6 70:9 web 37:15, 94:24 98:3, 98:14, 98:25 week 17:7, 49:14 49:15 weeks 35:1, 48:19 welcome 5:17, 98:7 welcomed 3:1 welfare 64:4 went 20:2, 33:5 33:12, 33:18, 34:21 34:23, 66:18, 66:19 70:13, 85:3, 85:5 85:12, 89:20, 97:21 west 50:18, 86:14 western 104:4, 104:5 whatsoever 73:14 **WHEREOF** 105:15 wide 57:20 wilderness 18:1 20:3, 56:3 wildfire 42:1 wildlife 29:16, 29:19 48:13, 59:3, 71:12 71:21 win 14:4, 42:19 wind 70:13, 71:23

39:8, 41:21, 42:18

wins 42:9, 80:16 winter 70:20 wintertime 33:4 wish 46:5, 79:11 79:12 witness 105:5 105:15 wolf 56:7 wolves 48:17, 56:9 women 58:21 worded 43:18 words 12:10 work 30:7, 46:1 52:12, 53:12, 53:15 87:4, 87:8, 88:13 worked 7:10, 77:18 82:22 **works** 84:6 workshop 3:10 worse 72:16 worst 20:11 worth 32:5, 90:23 90:24 wow 57:17 wrap 23:24, 100:6 writing 1:1, 98:21 written 1:2, 4:22 5:17, 77:14, 95:14 98:2, 98:6, 103:20 wrong 20:16, 39:12 65:21 wrote 32:10 **Wyoming** 44:23

\mathbf{Y}

yard 76:11, 91:7 yards 76:14, 76:15 76:20, 77:1, 77:2 **veah** 4:3, 11:1, 12:1 12:25, 17:19, 17:22 22:10, 27:14, 35:13 40:6, 56:3, 70:8 74:5, 77:8, 89:5 89:6, 92:17 year 5:16, 14:14 15:8, 16:13, 24:19 25:24, 26:3, 26:4 32:11, 32:23, 33:8 33:9, 33:25, 46:19 49:15, 60:18, 64:17 65:2, 65:3, 81:19 81:19, 82:13, 90:13 year-and-a-half 26:15 years 7:11, 20:4

unsubstantiated

104:1, 104:11

48:10, 100:16

unethical 87:24

unhappy 67:18

104:5

understanding 15:7

Unfortunately 58:8

unique 36:9, 57:24

United 71:4, 104:4

unlicensed 28:14

Unlimited 18:13

18:19, 18:20, 54:21

28:15, 38:13

33:17, 33:22, 34:2

		THE IDANO OUTFILL		<u> </u>
21:7, 21:9, 26:15	77:8, 80:24	6		
28:16, 30:2, 32:2	200 76:11, 76:14			
32:18, 33:7, 33:7	76:15	6 16:17, 17:16, 78:6		
42:14, 42:14, 43:7	2001 89:8, 90:11	78:6		
49:5, 49:9, 49:10	90:12	687 16:19		
52:7, 54:3, 54:17	2002 89:11, 89:12			
56:5, 72:6, 72:23	2009 30:21	7		
73:3, 73:11, 73:14	2011 28:4			
82:13, 89:13, 90:1	2012 1:4, 2:4, 105:16	7:30 2:4		
90:13, 97:20	2017 105:25	70 55:12		
Yep 76:12	20-plus 54:3	70,000 64:17, 66:17		
young 52:6, 58:18	22,000 29:5	81:19		
youngest 53:2	25 21:7	746 1:8, 105:2		
youth 12:4	25/0101.1201 1:2	752 2:11		
youth 12.1	25th 92:3	780 2:3		
	2636 105:23	7th 91:25		
Z	1	/til 91.23		
zoro 19:15 74:05	28 42:14, 82:12	-		
zero 18:15, 74:25	28-and-a-half 60:18	8		
zone 73:23, 74:18		0.50.72:20		
74:23, 75:4, 75:8	3	8:59 73:20		
75:9, 75:12, 75:17	0 1405 150 150	80 96:12, 99:5, 99:6		
76:6, 76:9, 76:11	3 14:25, 15:2, 15:2	103:8		
78:24, 91:7	15:18, 16:1, 16:14	83701-2636 105:24		
zones 16:8, 75:16	16:18, 17:4, 17:15	83702 2:12		
78:12, 78:13, 83:4	52:19, 105:25	83706 2:17		
zoning 24:4, 36:17	3,000 38:5	85 92:3		
37:11, 74:12, 83:25	3.1 16:14			
101:18, 101:20	30 49:12	9		
	30,000 65:3, 66:17			
1	300 15:18, 76:20	9:05 73:21		
	<i>77</i> :1, 91:7	9:41 104:18	,	
10 7:11, 10:10, 20:4	33 4:13	90 64:11, 91:25		
66:20, 66:20, 75:6	35 49:12	96:12		
89:13, 90:13	391 16:19, 16:21	90s 97:22		
10,000 15:16, 15:17	3A 4:9			
60:10				
100 75:5, 102:3	4			
102:3				
100,000 32:5	4 1:4, 2:4			
107 15:23, 16:12	40 15:11			,
11th 105:16	40,000 82:13			
12 42:15, 51:12, 97:8	44 1:1			
12-years-old 52:6	44.01.02 1:1			
1365 2:16	45 49:9			
14 51:6, 95:8, 97:8				
15 20:23, 21:7, 21:10	E	1		1
32:2, 32:18, 42:14	5			
57:5, 95:6	5,000 60:10			
15th 92:3	50 80:24			
18 72:23, 73:3				
•	50,000 65:2, 66:17			
1989 39:4, 62:25	81:20	1		
1i 4:12	500 90:23, 90:23			
	5th 91:25			
2				
00 15 7 15 0 55 5				
20 15:7, 15:8, 57:5				
L	l	J	l .	